Climate and season are associated with prevalence and distribution of trans-hemispheric blue crab reovirus (Callinectes sapidus reovirus 1)
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ABSTRACT: Among the many Callinectes spp. across the western Atlantic, the blue crab C. sapidus has the broadest latitudinal distribution, encompassing both tropical and temperate climates. Its life history varies latitudinally, from extended overwintering at high latitudes to year-round activity in tropical locations. Callinectes sapidus reovirus 1 (CsRV1) is a pathogenic virus first described in North Atlantic C. sapidus and has recently been detected in southern Brazil. Little information exists about CsRV1 prevalence at intervening latitudes or in overwintering blue crabs. Using a quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) method, this study investigated CsRV1 prevalence in C. sapidus across latitudinal differences in temperature and crab life history, as well as in additional Callinectes spp. and within overwintering C. sapidus. CsRV1 prevalence in C. sapidus was significantly correlated with high water temperature and blue crab winter dormancy. Prevalence of CsRV1 in C. sapidus on the mid-Atlantic coast was significantly lower in winter than in summer. CsRV1 infections were not detected in other Callinectes spp. These findings revealed that CsRV1 is present in C. sapidus across their range, but not in other Callinectes species, with prevalence associated with temperature and host life history. Such information helps us to better understand the underlying mechanisms that drive marine virus dynamics under changing environmental conditions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since the last glacial maximum, the distribution of crabs in the genus *Callinectes* has radiated poleward from a center in the Atlantic coastal region (Williams 1974). Within the genus, the blue crab *C. sapidus* has the broadest latitudinal distribution, along the Atlantic coast from Nova Scotia, Canada, to as far south as northern Argentina, with variable abundance in different regions (Williams 1974). Throughout its range, *C. sapidus* functions as both predator and prey in estuarine food webs and supports important commercial and recreational fisheries that employ thousands of harvesters and have landings worth $200 million annually (Arnold 1984, Lipcius 1986, Hines 2003, National Marine Fisheries Service 2020).

The life history of *C. sapidus* varies latitudinally because its growth, maturation, and behavior are temperature-dependent. The minimum water temperature for activity in blue crabs is approximately 10°C, below which they reduce their metabolic rate and enter dormancy (Brylawski & Miller 2006, Hines 2007). In the mid-latitudes of the northern Atlantic, where water temperatures dip below 10°C in winter, blue crabs migrate into deep waters or burrow into estuarine sediments to overwinter, displaying little activity (Hines et al. 2011). In warmer waters, such as the southern US Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea, *C. sapidus* have an extended spawning period, year-round recruitment, and are continuously active (Adkins 1972, Hsueh et al. 1993). In the higher latitudes of Brazil and south to Argentina, blue crab activity again becomes seasonal as winter water temperatures approach 10°C (Rodrigues et al. 2019).

Throughout their range, blue crabs are host to viral, bacterial, fungal, protozoan, and metazoan pathogens (Messick 1998, Small et al. 2019, Messick & Shields 2000). Various viruses have been described, with the most studied being *Callinectes sapidus* reovirus 1 (CsRV1). It was identified as a cause of mortality in captive blue crabs, particularly in soft crab aquaculture (Johnson 1977, Bowers et al. 2010, Spitznagel et al. 2019), and in the laboratory, individuals experimentally infected with CsRV1 suffered 100% mortality within 16 d (Bowers et al. 2010). The virus infects hemocytes, gills, nervous system, and connective tissue, which in turn invades the brain and thoracic globules and is associated with tremors and paralysis (Johnson 1977). CsRV1 has been previously identified in wild blue crabs from the US Atlantic and Gulf coasts and from southern Brazil (Bowers et al. 2010, Flowers et al. 2016a,b). The prevalence of CsRV1 has been found to be spatially and temporally variable along the northern Atlantic coast of the USA (Flowers et al. 2016a,b). The transmission mechanism of CsRV1 remains uncertain, but could include ingestion, cannibalism, or waterborne transport (Johnson 1977).

The prevalence of viral pathogens in aquatic environments varies geographically as a result of the interplay between pathogen, host, and the environment (Engering et al. 2013). The pathogenicity and transmissibility of viruses can be affected by both extrinsic and intrinsic factors. Extrinsic factors include climatic changes, temperature, salinity and host density, while intrinsic factors include viral virulence, host life history, behavior, and immune response (Hardy et al. 1983, Samuel et al. 2016, Behringer et al. 2018). Temperature is particularly important for the distribution of most viral pathogens (Ford & Chintala 2006, Hawley & Garver 2008, Goodwin & Merry 2011, Samuel et al. 2016), and susceptibility to infections is expected to vary with temperature due to alterations of the host’s metabolic rate, immune response, and behavioral adaptations (Brady et al. 2014, Hoberg & Brooks 2015, Franke et al. 2017, Labaude et al. 2017, Behringer et al. 2018). For example, CsRV1-infected blue crabs have upregulated immune gene expression in water temperatures exceeding 23°C (Chung et al. 2015). Behavioral adaptations of the blue crab include overwintering dormancy, peaks in mating and reproduction, and associated migrations.

The blue crab has a plastic life history (winter dormancy or active year-round) depending on its climate (temperate or tropical), providing an opportunity to investigate the effect of life history on infection dynamics and disease ecology. The prevalence of CsRV1 during summer has been reported from the US Atlantic and Gulf coasts (Bowers et al. 2010, Rogers et al. 2015b, Flowers et al. 2016b), but there has been no modern investigation using molecular detection methods of CsRV1 prevalence in lower latitudes or in winter-dormant blue crabs. The aim of this study was to measure CsRV1 prevalence in *C. sapidus* and other *Callinectes* spp. along a latitudinal distribution from the US Atlantic coast, Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean Sea, and Atlantic coast of South America. The data were analyzed to explore how different annual temperature and dormancy regimes, which drive host life history patterns, may also drive patterns of CsRV1 infection in blue crabs across space and time.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Crab sampling and environmental data

A total of 1510 *Callinectes sapidus* and 577 additional *Callinectes* spp. were collected from 22 locations along the western Atlantic coasts ranging from Massachusetts (USA) to Uruguay between 2015 and 2019 (Fig. 1, see Table 1). Collection methods included baited traps, trawls, seines, and gillnets. Crab sex, carapace width (CW; measured lateral spine-to-spine), sample date, and location were recorded for specimens when possible (exceptions: Long Island [NY], Dominican Republic, and Rio Grande do Sul). Two walking legs were removed from each crab for further analysis. Leg samples collected from Massachusetts, New York, and Delaware were placed on ice for overnight shipping, while samples from more distant locations (including those in Florida, Texas, Mexico, Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico, St Kitts & Nevis, St Lucia, Trinidad & Tobago, Paraná, Sergipe and Rio Grande do Sul of Brazil, and Uruguay) were preserved in 95% ethanol or >120 proof white rum for shipping to the Institute of Marine and Environmental Technology (IMET). At IMET, the samples were stored at −20°C until analysis. Taxonomic identifications based on their physical characteristics confirmed most specimens as *C. sapidus*, but other *Callinectes* spp. were also identified (Melo 1996, Williams 2007). Identification of some species was further confirmed by sequence analysis of the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (*cox1*) or 12S gene (Leray & Knowlton 2015, L. Plough et al. unpubl. data).

Water temperature data were obtained from nearby NOAA buoys and downloaded from the National Buoy Data Center (www.ndbc.noaa.gov) for US and Caribbean regions, and obtained from Hidrografia da Marinha (https://www.marinha.mil.br/chm/dados-do-goos-brasil/pnboia-mapa) for Brazilian locations. According to the reported threshold for *C. sapidus* activity and susceptibility to CsRV1, the number of days with water temperature below 10°C ($D_{<10}$) and above 23°C ($D_{>23}$), were calculated based on the recorded historical water temperature data of the nearby buoys (Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m647p123_supp.pdf).

A total of 469 winter-dormant *C. sapidus* were collected from the Upper Chesapeake Bay, Potomac River (Maryland, USA), Lower Chesapeake Bay (Virginia, USA), Delaware Bay (Delaware, USA), and Albemarle Sound (North Carolina, USA), during the winters of 2015, 2018, and 2019. Frozen crab legs or live crabs (at 0−4°C) were transported to IMET and were either stored at −20°C for later analysis or measured and dissected immediately. For the months of sampling, maximum and minimum temperatures for sampling locations were obtained from nearby NOAA buoys from the National Buoy Data Center (Table S2).

2.2. Dissection and RNA extraction

Crab dissections were conducted as described by Flowers et al. (2016b), with modification. Bench surfaces for dissection and crabs were cleaned with ELIMINase™ and dissections were performed using sterile wooden rods and single-use razor blades. Approximately 50 mg of muscle and epidermis tissue were dissected from a walking leg and homogenized using a Savant MP® FastPrep24 homogenizer with ceramic beads in 1.0 ml TRIzol (VWR Scientific) or homemade Trizol substitute (Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al. 2009). RNA extraction followed the protocol used by Spitznagel et al. (2019). RNA pellets were dis-
solved in 50 μl 1 mM EDTA and stored at −80°C. Negative control samples (muscle from frozen smelt) were extracted before and after sets of tested crab samples to monitor for cross contamination between samples. RNA purity and concentration were determined by NanoDrop™ spectrophotometry, and electrophoretic gel of some specimens was analyzed to verify RNA quality.

2.3. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR of CsRV1

CsRV1 infection was assessed by measuring the relative copy number of CsRV1 genomes (subject to RNA background) present in crab tissue using the quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) methods adapted from Flowers et al. (2016b), with a primer pair designed to detect a 158-bp region of the ninth genome segment of CsRV1 (GenBank entry KU311716): 5’-TGC GTG GGA TGC GAA GTG ACA AAG-3’ (RLVset1F) and 5’-GCG CCA TAC CGA GCA AGT TCA AAT-3’ (RLVset1R). Standard curves were generated by RT-qPCR amplifications of a 10-fold dilution series of purified double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) from CsRV1 containing 10−10^6 CsRV1 genome copies μl−1. Viral dsRNA was purified using CF11 cellulose affinity chromatography (Flowers et al. 2016b) from crabs heavily infected with CsRV1 (>10^8 copies mg−1 muscle), and then quantified and serially diluted in 25 ng μl −1 yeast tRNA carrier. The qPCR cycling conditions and reagents were as described by Spitznagel et al. (2019), using qScript™ One-Step qRT-PCR Kit (Quanta Bio) in 10 μl reactions containing 0.5 μM of each primer. To anneal PCR primers to dsRNA, primers and extracted RNA were combined, heated to 95°C for 5 min then cooled to 4°C prior to being added to the reverse transcriptase and Taq polymerase reaction mixture. Reverse transcription and amplification conditions were as follows: 50°C for 5 min (reverse transcription) followed by 5 min at 95°C (reverse transcriptase inactivation and template denaturation). Amplification was achieved through 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s (denaturation), and 61°C for 30 s (annealing and elongation), followed by melting temperature analysis from 60–95°C (verification of the targeted amplification product). Gene target copies were then calculated as copies per mg of crab muscle, and samples with >1000 copies mg−1 were recorded as CsRV1-positive according to Flowers et al. (2016b), which reflects an empirical threshold for field and laboratory cross contamination.

2.4. Statistical analyses

We used linear regressions to test relationships between biologically important high and low temperature thresholds and disease prevalence across the sampled range. The correlation between CsRV1 prevalence and high temperature was assessed by a linear regression model of CsRV1 prevalence against D_23. The correlation between CsRV1 prevalence and winter dormancy was assessed with a linear regression model of CsRV1 against D_210. Both models used the Pearson’s product-moment correlation test, and significant correlations were defined as those where p ≤ 0.05.

Repeated samples between summer and winter at a subset of temperate locations on the US Atlantic Coast enabled us to examine seasonal drivers of prevalence. To determine whether CsRV1 infection was affected by the categorical factors season or site, binomial (infected vs. non-infected) generalized logistic regression models were used (α = 0.05). Akaike’s information criterion was used to choose the best fit model (Aho et al. 2014). All statistical tests were conducted using RStudio v.1.1.456 with R v.3.5.1 (R Core Team 2019).

3. RESULTS

3.1. CsRV1 prevalence in different latitudinal locations

The 776 male and 544 female specimens of Callinectes sapidus ranged from 29.9–196.0 mm CW. Specimens that were PCR-positive for CsRV1 ranged from 41.0–179.0 mm CW. CsRV1 RNA prevalence in C. sapidus (n = 308) sampled from 4 locations on the northeast and mid-Atlantic coast of North America varied from 14.0−32.0%. On the southeast Atlantic coast, CsRV1 prevalence was 1.6 and 0.0% for Jacksonville and Ormond Beach (n = 184) (Florida, USA), respectively. In the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea, none of specimens from the Apalachicola Bay (Florida), Mexico, north Dominican Republic, St. Lucia, or Puerto Rico were infected with CsRV1 (n = 189). The other 6 locations in the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea had specimens positive for CsRV1, with a prevalence ranging from 1.0−5.0% (n = 491). Note that the prevalence of CsRV1 in Texas (5%; n = 119) is the average of the inshore (0%; n = 77) and offshore (14%; n = 42) samples. CsRV1 prevalence ranged from 0–33% in South America (n = 338). Specifically, no CsRV1 infections were identified in
blue crabs collected from two of the locations in Brazil (Sergipe and Paraná; n = 144), but CsRV1 was detected in Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil) and Uruguay (33.3 and 9.7%, respectively; n = 194) (Table 1).

3.2. Annual water temperature variation, crab life history, and virus prevalence

The number of days that the water temperature at each sampling site reached biologically relevant thresholds was correlated with CsRV1 prevalence. As expected, there were fewer $D_{>23}$ in higher latitudes, and an increasing number of days as latitude decreased, with most tropical and subtropical locations having water temperature above 23°C throughout the year. In contrast, there were more $D_{<10}$ in temperate locations, which corresponds to longer periods of blue crab winter dormancy at higher latitudes (Table 1). Statistically, CsRV1 prevalence in blue crabs from all tested locations fit a reciprocal linear relationship to $D_{>23}$ (CsRV1 prevalence [%] = 20.8 − 0.06 × $D_{>23}$; $R^2 = 0.56$, $F = 26.77$, df = 19, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2A); CsRV1 prevalence also fit a strong and positive linear regression model to $D_{<10}$ (CsRV1 prevalence [%] = 3.0 + 0.1 × $D_{<10}$; $R^2 = 0.4$, $F = 14.77$, df = 19, $p = 0.001$) (Fig. 2B), indicating that high temperature and blue crab life history ($D_{<10}$) were correlated with CsRV1 prevalence (Fig. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Collection date (month-year)</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>Infected N</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>$D_{&gt;23}$</th>
<th>$D_{&lt;10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Atlantic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westport River, MA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sep-18</td>
<td>41.5118</td>
<td>71.0929</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island, NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jul-18</td>
<td>40.7738</td>
<td>72.8052</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Bay, DE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aug-17</td>
<td>38.9108</td>
<td>75.5277</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albermarle Sound, NC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Oct-19</td>
<td>33.8772</td>
<td>76.1248</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Atlantic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville, FL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dec-17</td>
<td>30.3322</td>
<td>81.6557</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ormond Beach, FL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dec-17</td>
<td>29.2858</td>
<td>81.0559</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Key, FL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Jan-17</td>
<td>29.1236</td>
<td>83.0287</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apalachicola Bay, FL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>29.6718</td>
<td>85.0026</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Aransas, TXa</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jun-17, Nov-18/Mar-19</td>
<td>27.8006</td>
<td>97.3964</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Keys, FL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>24.8234</td>
<td>80.8122</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celestún, Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>20.8593</td>
<td>90.3972</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dominican Republic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jan-18</td>
<td>19.7831</td>
<td>71.7507</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dominican Republic</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jan-18</td>
<td>18.4511</td>
<td>69.2133</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Suárez, PR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jun/Apr-19</td>
<td>18.4508</td>
<td>65.9801</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Kitts &amp; Nevis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Nov/Dec-18, Feb/Mar-19</td>
<td>17.2908</td>
<td>62.6773</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>14.0149</td>
<td>60.9920</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad &amp; Tobago</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mar-17</td>
<td>10.4633</td>
<td>61.4836</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergipe, BR</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Oct-18</td>
<td>10.2548</td>
<td>36.3208</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraná, BR</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Dec-18</td>
<td>25.3340</td>
<td>48.4760</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande do Sul, BR</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Jan-15</td>
<td>30.0346</td>
<td>51.2177</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mar-19</td>
<td>34.6285</td>
<td>54.2921</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TX specimens were collected from inshore and offshore of the Gulf coast with variable latitudes/longitudes.
3.3. CsRV1 prevalence in overwintering *C. sapidus*

CsRV1 prevalence was low overall in winter-dredged crabs (Fig. 3). The average in overwintering crabs (n = 469) collected from 5 locations in the Chesapeake Bay, Potomac River, Delaware Bay, and Albemarle Sound was low, with North Carolina having the highest prevalence at 7.2% and the Potomac River having the lowest prevalence at 0%. Prevalence in the Upper Chesapeake Bay and Delaware Bay was below 2.0% and in the Lower Chesapeake Bay was below 5.0% (Table 2). Prevalence among summer active wild crabs from Delaware Bay and Albemarle Sound was 20.0 and 14.7%, respectively (Table 1). CsRV1 prevalence among active crabs from Chesapeake Bay was based on previous studies (Flowers et al. 2016b, Spitznagel et al. 2019), which found an average of 21.2% for Upper Chesapeake Bay and 12.5% for Lower Chesapeake Bay (Fig. 3). The best-fit binomial model predicted CsRV1 prevalence relative to season (slope: −1.26, p < 0.01). The factor site was not included in the best fit model, suggesting that this pattern was persuasive across 4 sampling sites.

3.4. CsRV1 in other *Callinectes* spp.

No CsRV1 infections were detected in other *Callinectes* spp. (n = 577), which included 230 *C. danae*, 13 *C. larvatus*, 29 *C. bocourti*, and 3 *C. similis*. The species were confirmed by clear morphological characteristics or *cox1* amplicon sequencing. An additional 302 crabs, determined by 12S rRNA PCR analyses not to be *C. sapidus* but an unidentified *Callinectes* spp., all showed no CsRV1 infection (Table 3).

---

Fig. 2. Prevalence of *Callinectes sapidus* reovirus 1 (CsRV1) infection versus number of days with water temperatures (A) above 23°C ($D_{>23}$) and (B) below 10°C ($D_{<10}$). Blue line: significant (p < 0.01) linear regression; grey shading: 95% CI. Blue dot in (B) highlights an outlier of the regression model (CsRV1 prevalence in Rio Grande do Sul, BR).

Fig. 3. Prevalence of *Callinectes sapidus* reovirus 1 (CsRV1) in active versus winter-dormant blue crabs in estuaries of the USA.
4. DISCUSSION

This study revealed that across 2 hemispheres, the prevalence of CsRV1 in *Callinectes sapidus* was significantly higher in temperate versus subtropical or tropical locations, which correlates with the temperature-driven presence/absence of a host overwintering period. In temperate areas, the prevalence of CsRV1 in overwintering *C. sapidus* was much lower than in crabs during summer in the same regions. We found no CsRV1 infection in other *Callinectes* spp. across all tested regions. We discuss how CsRV1 prevalence in *C. sapidus* could be related to temperature, host life history, or the mortality of infected crabs under stress, and how the host density and apparent host specificity of the virus relates to our understanding of this pathosystem.

### 4.1. High temperature

*C. sapidus* in the tropics experience higher peak temperatures for longer periods of time relative to crabs in temperate regions. The relationship between CsRV1 prevalence and $D_{23}$ suggests that higher temperatures in the tropics might result in lower CsRV1 prevalence in blue crabs (Fig. 2A). Water temperature is a key factor influencing both the likelihood and outcome of crustacean disease emergence, by mediating both host physiological and immunological responses to microbial pathogens as well as the responses of the pathogens themselves to different temperatures. It has been reported that Pacific white shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* are able to clear white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and have reduced WSSV-related mortality at high temperatures (Rahman et al. 2006, You et al. 2010) through expression of immune-related genes (Lin et al. 2011, Shields 2019). Similarly, in *C. sapidus*, CsRV1-infected crabs cultured in elevated water temperature have increased immune and metabolism-related gene expression in hemocytes and an elevated proportion of small and non-granulated hemocytes (Chung et al. 2015). Thus, the lower CsRV1 prevalence in higher temperature zones might be a result of an upregulated immune response of the host to combat the virus infection.

Alternatively, in many pathosystems there is an inverse relationship between virulence and prevalence because infections progress more rapidly to mortality. The lower CsRV1 prevalence in the tropics and subtropics could indicate that CsRV1-infected crabs die more quickly than in temperate regions. Preliminary experimental infections of CsRV1 in blue
crabs showed that virus-infected crabs die more rapidly at 29°C than at 16 or 22°C (E. J. Schott & H. A. Bowers unpubl. data). This observation is similar to the Hematodinium perezi–C. sapidus pathosystem, in which a negative relationship was found between high water temperature and the prevalence of H. perezi infections in C. sapidus megalopae because higher temperature caused faster mortality of infected crabs (Sullivan & Neigel 2017). We found that the load of CsRV1 RNA was consistently lower in warmer latitudes (Table S3), which could indicate that crabs with higher CsRV1 loads do not live long enough to be sampled. In juvenile C. sapidus infected with H. perezi, mortality rises with increasing water temperature (Huchin-Mian et al. 2018) because the transmission and proliferation of the parasite occurs more quickly at warmer temperatures and during extended warm seasons (Shields 2019).

4.2. Life history

C. sapidus become dormant at temperatures below 10°C (Brylawski & Miller 2006, Hines et al. 2011), so the correlation between CsRV1 prevalence and D<sub>10</sub> suggests that climate-driven life history variation could be associated with CsRV1 prevalence (Fig. 2B). If this relationship is accurate and dormancy drives the prevalence of CsRV1, then seasonal migrations, seasonal mating activity, and stress from entering, enduring, and awakening from dormancy could be mechanisms increasing infection rates at higher latitudes where dormancy occurs. The relationship between low temperature and CsRV1 prevalence did not fit southern Brazil, where crabs appear to experience water that drops to only about 13°C in the winter yet the population appears to have quite high prevalence (Fig. 2B). Although crabs in this region do not become fully dormant, they do have annual peaks of activity, including peaks of recruitment and reproduction (Rodrigues et al. 2019).

4.3. Stress and winter dormancy

Until this study, the only report of CsRV1 in overwintering C. sapidus was that of Messick (1998), who detected 0.3% prevalence in Chesapeake Bay using histological methods. The present study detected an average dormant-period prevalence of 4.7% using RT-qPCR methods, which is higher than that reported by Messick (1998), but still much lower than the 20% RT-qPCR based prevalence found in active C. sapidus (Fig. 3). A similar difference in H. perezi prevalence in active versus dormant C. sapidus has been reported, as has a seasonal increase in prevalence from August–November (Messick 1994, Messick et al. 1999, Shields et al. 2015). The low prevalence of CsRV1 and low copy numbers of virions in dormant C. sapidus found to be infected (Table S4) suggests that perhaps winter mortality of infected crabs is high, and only uninfected or lightly infected crabs survive to be sampled. Infected crabs that survive the winter might then serve as a reservoir for CsRV1 when they emerge the following spring. This is similar to what was seen in light and moderate H. perezi infections in dredged crabs that respond rapidly to increases in temperature (Shields et al. 2015).

4.4. Non-linear effects of temperature

The apparently paradoxical findings—that CsRV1 prevalence was lowest in the warmest areas and in the coldest seasons—reflect the non-linear effects of temperature and the complexity of the factors that contribute to disease progression. In one aspect, it seems that extreme temperatures (high or low) can inhibit either transmission or establishment of CsRV1 infection in C. sapidus and lead to lower prevalence. Similar thermal ranges have been well studied in WSSV-infected shrimps; there is little to no replication of WSSV in shrimps below 13°C and above 32°C (Shields 2019). This suggests that acclimation of crabs in tropical regions to warmer environmental conditions may make them less susceptible to CsRV1 infection. Alternatively, the decrease in CsRV1 prevalence could also be a signal of increased mortality of infected blue crabs, especially when considering the potential stresses caused by temperature extremes. These opposing hypotheses are both sufficient to explain the empirical observations, but testing them experimentally to show the mechanisms behind the pattern remains to be done.

4.5. Host density and specificity

The lower prevalence of CsRV1 at low latitudes could also be related to blue crab population density. The prevalence of infection decreases when the frequency of contact between infected and susceptible hosts is lower than the death or recovery rate of infected hosts (Lafferty 2004). A previous study observed that decreased CsRV1 prevalence in Chesa-
peake Bay coincided with an interannual decrease in *C. sapidus* population size (Flowers et al. 2018). Although *C. sapidus* are distributed along the western Atlantic coast from Nova Scotia (Canada) to northern Argentina (Williams 1974, Arnold 1984), they are less abundant in tropical areas such as the Caribbean Sea and are likely absent from the northeast coast of Brazil (Scarponi et al. 2018). Lower *C. sapidus* density in the tropics may reduce CsRV1 transmission through reductions in the interactions (mating, conspecific predation) between infected and uninfected hosts. Blue crab habitat in the Caribbean is a discontinuous patchwork of islands, which would further reduce interactions if the pathogen transmits through contact with an infected individual or consumption of infected tissue. One notable weakness with the host-density explanation is that CsRV1 prevalence in the Gulf of Mexico was low yet *C. sapidus* populations in that region are known to be high (NOAA GSMFC 2015). A prior study of diseases of *C. sapidus* in the Gulf of Mexico also found lower prevalence (7%) of CsRV1 than in the mid-Atlantic (Rogers et al. 2015a).

Throughout its tropical and subtropical range, *C. sapidus* is sympatric with numerous other *Callinectes* spp. Based on morphology, and confirmed by DNA barcoding, all of the crabs collected from the Atlantic coast of the USA and Uruguay were *C. sapidus*, while many of the collections from the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico included *C. danae*, *C. larvatus*, *C. bocourti*, and *C. similis* (Table 3). Of the other *Callinectes* spp. tested (n = 577), none were infected with CsRV1, which indicates CsRV1 is likely to be host-specific to *C. sapidus*. This further supports the hypothesis that outside of temperate areas, CsRV1 prevalence in *C. sapidus* might be limited by lower host population density. Nevertheless, other reservoir hosts are possible and should be investigated.

4.6. Concluding remarks and next steps

To better understand the various influences on this complex pathosystem, it is necessary to combine field observations with experimental data as suggested by Sullivan & Neigel (2017). Laboratory experiments are needed to test the effect of temperature on the progression of CsRV1 infections and resulting mortality rates across a wide temperature gradient representing temperate to tropical environments. Additionally, the effects of crab size on CsRV1 infection warrant further study. Many crabs were collected by fishery-dependent methods (e.g. trap, trawl, and dredge) and were above minimum landing size, so we are reluctant to draw specific connections between CsRV1 prevalence and crab size or sex in this study. Environmental variation and host life history may combine to drive the evolution of CsRV1 virulence. Sequence analyses of the CsRV1 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene (Flowers et al. 2016a) and whole genome sequences of CsRV1 (M. Zhao unpubl. data) indicate that there are different genotypes of CsRV1 at different latitudes. If differential mortality rates are caused by regionally specific genotypes of CsRV1 strains, it may help to explain geographic variation of CsRV1 prevalence.

This study suggests that CsRV1 prevalence could be affected by temperature, season, and crab life history across both hemispheres, and provides the foundation for developing testable hypotheses to determine how environmental stress, seasonality, population density, and virus genetics might combine to produce the observed prevalence of CsRV1. A better understanding of environment–host–pathogen interactions across climatic gradients also gives us foresight into what we might expect under future climate scenarios.
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