Table S1. Results of preliminary analysis showing no relationship between initial length of transplanted macroscopic juvenile *Ecklonia radiata* sporophytes and their growth rates after 90 days.

Season	F-value	p-value
autumn	$F_{(1,54)} = 1.942$	0.169
winter	$F_{(1,65)} = 0.257$	0.614
spring	$F_{(1,56)} = 0.509$	0.479

Table S2. Regression of survivorship and growth of transplanted macroscopic juvenile *Ecklonia radiata* against patch size. Assessments were taken at 42 and 90 days after transplanting for each season, and data also pooled to produce total annual survivorship. Response variable and associated transformation is noted in the first and second columns, respectively. The factor, patch size, was log₃-transformed to best meet test assumptions. During summer 2016, juvenile sporophytes were only installed for ~42 days. Significant relationships denoted in bold and with an asterisk.

1 ,	C 1	E (10		
data	no. of days	F (<i>df</i>)	p	
SURVIVORSHIP OF TRANSPLANTED JUVENILE SPOROPHYTES				
pooled annually	42 (<i>Y</i>) ^{5.5}	$F_{(1,8)} = 1.191$	0.307	
	90 (<i>Y</i>) ^{-1.25}	$F_{(1,8)} = 1.950$	0.200	
autumn 2015	42 (<i>Y</i>) ^{1.4}	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.534$	0.485	
	90 (Y)	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.164$	0.696	
winter 2015	$42 (Y)^{4.5}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.295$	0.602	
	$90 (Y)^{0.6}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 4.384$	0.070	
spring 2015	$42 (Y)^{4.0}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.532$	0.487	
	90 (Y)	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.335$	0.579	
summer 2016	42 (Y+0.1) ^{0.6}	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.415$	0.844	
GROWTH OF TRANSPLANTED JUVENILE SPOROPHYTES				
autumn 2015	42 (<i>Y</i> +0.1) ^{0.3}	$F_{(1,58)} = 4.728$	0.034*	
	90 (Y) ^{0.15}	$F_{(1,54)} = 1.826$	0.182	
winter 2015	42 (Y) ^{0.05}	$F_{(1,84)} = 1.504$	0.224	
	$90 (Y)^{0.1}$	$F_{(1,65)} = 0.007$	0.934	
spring 2015	42 (Y) ^{0.25}	$F_{(1,80)} = 7.235$	0.009*	
	$90 (Y)^{0.4}$	$F_{(1,56)} = 1.070$	0.305	
summer 2016	42 (Y) ^{0.15}	$F_{(1,45)} = 0.017$	0.896	

Table S3. Output from linear regressions examining how above-canopy abiotic conditions vary with patch size within seasons. Response variable and associated transformation is noted in the first column. The factor, patch size, was log₃-transformed to best meet test assumptions. Significant relationships denoted in bold and with an asterisk

Data analysed	F (<i>df</i>)	p		
WATER FLOW				
autumn (<i>Y</i>) ^{-1.60}	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.002$	0.962		
winter $(Y)^{-3.50}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.005$	0.945		
spring $(Y)^{1.50}$	$F_{(1,8)} < 0.001$	0.984		
summer $(Y)^{6.00}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 1.947$	0.200		
IRRADIANCE				
autumn $(Y)^{0.15}$	$F_{(1,38)} = 75.25$	<0.001*		
winter (<i>Y</i>) ^{-1.00}	$F_{(1,38)} = 37.34$	<0.001*		
spring (<i>Y</i>)-1.00	$F_{(1,38)} = 0.071$	0.791		
SEDIMENT DEPOSITION				
autumn (<i>Y</i>) ^{-0.40}	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.053$	0.823		
winter $(Y)^{0.50}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.673$	0.436		
spring $(Y)^{0.40}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.017$	0.901		
summer $(Y)^{-0.50}$	$F_{(1,8)} = 0.501$	0.499		