

Vertical particle fluxes dominate integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) sites: implications for shellfish–finfish synergy

R. Filgueira*, T. Guyondet, G. K. Reid, J. Grant, P. J. Cranford

*Corresponding author: ramon.filgueira@dal.ca

Aquaculture Environment Interactions 9: 127–143 (2017)

SUPPLEMENT

Ecosystem and Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) model

Model equations:

$$\frac{dFW}{dt} = +FW_{\text{production}} - Sh_{\text{ingestion}} \pm FW_{\text{mixing}}$$

$$\frac{dFF}{dt} = +FF_{\text{production}} - FF_{\text{sinking}} - Sh_{\text{ingestion}} \pm FF_{\text{mixing}}$$

$$\frac{dShF}{dt} = +Sh_{\text{egestion}} - ShF_{\text{sinking}} - Sh_{\text{ingestion}} \pm ShF_{\text{mixing}}$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = +D_{\text{production}} - D_{\text{sinking}} - Sh_{\text{ingestion}} \pm D_{\text{mixing}} \quad , \quad D_{\text{production}} = D_{\text{sinking}}$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = +P_{\text{production}} - P_{\text{sinking}} - Sh_{\text{ingestion}} \pm P_{\text{mixing}} \quad , \quad P_{\text{production}} = P_{\text{sinking}}$$

Table S1. Carbon fluxes.

Term	Symbol	Units	Equation/source
Fish Waste in a given cell	FW	mg C m ⁻³	
Fish Waste Production	FW _{production}	mg C m ⁻³ d ⁻¹	fwr · V _f ⁻¹
Fish Waste production rate	fwr	mg C d ⁻¹ farm ⁻¹	Table 2 and conversion factors (see ‘Materials and methods’)
Farm Volume	V _f	m ³	8678.7
FW ingested by shellfish	Sh _{ingestion}	mg C m ⁻³ d ⁻¹	FW · PR _i · ρ · mw ⁻¹ · z ⁻¹
Individual pumping rate	PR _i	m ³ d ⁻¹ indiv ⁻¹	From DEB model (see ‘Materials and methods’) for 0.015 kg mussel
Mussel density per area	ρ	kg m ⁻²	30
Mussel individual weight	mw	kg indiv ⁻¹	0.015
Depth	z	m	15
Fish Waste mixing with each cell that is connected	FW _{mixing}	mg C m ⁻³ d ⁻¹	- Exch _{exit} · FW + Exch _{enter} · FW _{enter}
Exchange coefficient leaving to adjacent cell	Exch _{exit}	d ⁻¹	Figure 2 and Filgueira et al. (2012)

Term	Symbol	Units	Equation/source
Exchange coefficient entering from adjacent cell	$Exch_{enter}$	d^{-1}	Figure 2 and Filgueira et al. (2012)
Fish Waste in adjacent cell	FW_{enter}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Fish Faeces in a given cell	FF	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Fish Faeces Production	$FF_{production}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$ffr \cdot V_f^{-1}$
Fish Faeces production rate	ffr	$mg\ C\ d^{-1}\ farm^{-1}$	Table 2 and conversion factors (see 'Materials and methods')
Fish Faeces Sinking	$FF_{sinking}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$FF \cdot ffsr \cdot z^{-1}$
Fish Faeces sinking rate	$ffsr$	$m\ d^{-1}$	Table 1
FF ingested by shellfish	$Sh_{ingestion}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$FF \cdot PR_i \cdot \rho \cdot mw^{-1} \cdot z^{-1}$
Fish Faeces mixing with each cell that is connected	FF_{mixing}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$- Exch_{exit} \cdot FF + Exch_{enter} \cdot FF_{enter}$
Fish Faeces in adjacent cell	FF_{enter}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Shellfish Faeces in a cell	ShF	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Shellfish egestion	$Sh_{egestion}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$ER_i \cdot \rho \cdot mw^{-1} \cdot z^{-1}$
Individual egestion rate	ER_i	$mg\ C\ d^{-1}\ indiv^{-1}$	From DEB model (see 'Materials and methods') for 0.015 kg mussel
Shellfish Faeces Sinking	$SfF_{sinking}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$ShF \cdot shfsr \cdot z^{-1}$
Shellfish Faeces sinking rate	$shfsr$	$m\ d^{-1}$	Table 1
ShF ingested by shellfish	$Sh_{ingestion}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$ShF \cdot PR_i \cdot \rho \cdot mw^{-1} \cdot z^{-1}$
Shellfish Faeces mixing with each cell that is connected	ShF_{mixing}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$- Exch_{exit} \cdot ShF + Exch_{enter} \cdot ShF_{enter}$
Shellfish Faeces in adjacent cell	ShF_{enter}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Detritus in a given cell	D	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Detritus Production	$D_{production}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$D_{production} = D_{sinking}$
Detritus Sinking	$D_{sinking}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$D \cdot dsr \cdot z^{-1}$
Detritus sinking rate	dsr	$m\ d^{-1}$	
D ingested by shellfish	$Sh_{ingestion}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$D \cdot PR_i \cdot \rho \cdot mw^{-1} \cdot z^{-1}$
Detritus mixing with each cell that is connected	D_{mixing}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$- Exch_{exit} \cdot D + Exch_{enter} \cdot D_{enter}$
Detritus in adjacent cell	D_{enter}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Phytoplankton in a given cell	P	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	
Phytoplankton Production	$P_{production}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$P_{production} = P_{sinking}$
Phytoplankton Sinking	$P_{sinking}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$P \cdot psr \cdot z^{-1}$
Phytoplankton sinking rate	psr	$m\ d^{-1}$	
Phytoplankton ingested by shellfish	$Sh_{ingestion}$	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$P \cdot PR_i \cdot \rho \cdot mw^{-1} \cdot z^{-1}$
Phytoplankton mixing with each cell that is connected	P_{mixing}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$	$- Exch_{exit} \cdot P + Exch_{enter} \cdot P_{enter}$
Phytoplankton in adjacent cell	P_{enter}	$mg\ C\ m^{-3}$	

Table S2. Equations of the Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) model (from Filgueira et al. 2011).

Equation	Terms and parameters
$\frac{dE}{dt} = \dot{p}_A - \dot{p}_C$	E Reserve (J) \dot{p}_A assimilation rate (J d ⁻¹) \dot{p}_C mobilization rate of reserve energy (J d ⁻¹)
$\dot{p}_A = \{\dot{p}_{Am}\} T_D f V^{2/3}$	$\{\dot{p}_{Am}\}$ maximum surface-area-specific assimilation rate (J cm ⁻² d ⁻¹) f Functional response V structural volume (cm ³) T_D Arrhenius temperature function
$\{\dot{p}_{Am}\} = \{\dot{p}_{Xm}\} AE$	$\{\dot{p}_{Xm}\}$ maximum surface-area-specific ingestion rate (J cm ⁻² d ⁻¹) AE Absorption efficiency
$f = \frac{X}{X + X_K}$	X Chlorophyll concentration (μg l ⁻¹) X_K Half-saturation constant (μg l ⁻¹) κ fraction of utilized energy to somatic maintenance and growth
$\dot{p}_C = \frac{[E]}{[E_G] + \kappa[E]} \left(\frac{[E_G]\{\dot{p}_{Am}\} T_D V^{2/3}}{[E_m]} + \dot{p}_M \right)$	$[E_G]$ volume-specific costs for structure (J cm ⁻³) $[E_m]$ maximum energy density (J cm ⁻³)
$\dot{p}_M = [\dot{p}_M] T_D V$	\dot{p}_M maintenance rate (J d ⁻¹) $[\dot{p}_M]$ volume-specific maintenance costs (J cm ⁻³ d ⁻¹)
$\frac{dV}{dt} = (\kappa \dot{p}_C - \dot{p}_M) / [E_G]$	
$\frac{dE_R}{dt} = (1 - \kappa) \dot{p}_C - \left(\frac{1 - \kappa}{\kappa} \right) \cdot V \cdot [\dot{p}_M]$	E_R energy allocated to reproduction buffer (J)
$\frac{dE_R}{dt} = \kappa \dot{p}_C - \dot{p}_M \mid \kappa \dot{p}_C - \dot{p}_M < 0$	reproduction buffer dynamics when energy storage is too low
$L = \frac{V^{1/3}}{\delta_M}$	L filter-feeder length (cm) δ_M dimensionless shape coefficient

Table S3. *Mytilus edulis* DEB parameters (Filgueira et al. 2011). * $X_K = X$ in order to make the functional response =1 (see Table S2 and ‘Materials and methods’).

Parameter	Value
δ_M	0.231
$\{\dot{p}_{X_m}\}$	273
$[\dot{p}_M]$	27.8
$[E_G]$	1900
$[E_m]$	2170
κ	0.45
X_K	X^*
T_A	5800
T_L	275
T_H	296
T_{AL}	45430
T_{AH}	31376
AE	Table 1
DW:WW	0.2

LITERATURE CITED

- Filgueira R, Rosland R, Grant J (2011) A comparison of scope for growth (SFG) and dynamic energy budget (DEB) models applied to the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*). J Sea Res 66:403–410
- Filgueira R, Grant J, Bacher C, Carreau M (2012) A physical-biogeochemical coupling scheme for modeling marine coastal ecosystems. Ecol Inform 7:71–80