Drought reconstruction based on grape harvest dates for the Czech Lands, 1499–2012
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ABSTRACT: The timing of maturity of grapes depends on the weather conditions during the growing season. This study relies on the dependence of harvest dates on the air temperature and dry/wet conditions. Recorded observations show that increases in air temperature and dryness are associated with earlier grape harvests. Documentary data of grape harvests from the Bohemian wine-growing region (mainly northwest of Prague) were combined with mean Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) series starting in 1841 and ordinary least square regression with subsequent scaling to reconstruct the mean SPEI values for this area for April to August from 1499 to 2012. The reconstructed SPEI series explains 75% of the drought variability since 1841. All dry years that were detected by the reconstructed April–August SPEI values correlate well with years of excellent and good red wine of vintage quality for 1499–1840. A comparison of the reconstructed series with other SPEI reconstructions from the Czech Lands (the recent Czech Republic) based on documentary and instrumental data shows good agreement. The results demonstrate that grape harvest series may be used as a proxy for drought reconstruction in the central European region.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Documentary data are important proxies that can be used to study climate before the instrumental period (Brázdil et al. 2005, 2010, Jones et al. 2005). Grape harvest dates (GHDs) have been successfully used for several temperature reconstructions, mainly for spring/summer temperatures. GHD is mainly influenced by the local temperature during the stages before the bloom and veraison (Chuine et al. 2004, García de Cortázár-Atauri et al. 2010). GHD series have been used for temperature reconstruction over large parts of Europe, e.g. in Austria (Strömmer 2003, Maurer et al. 2009), Czech Republic (Možný et al. 2016), France (Le Roy Ladurie & Baulant 1980, Chuine et al. 2004, Le Roy Ladurie 2005, Menzel 2005, Etien et al. 2008, Garnier et al. 2011), Germany (Glaser & Hagedorn 1991), Italy (Mariani et al. 2009), Switzerland (Pfister 1981, 1984, Burkhart & Hense 1985, Meier et al. 2007) and Hungary (Kiss et al. 2011). An open-access dataset of GHD data from different parts of Europe has been compiled (Daux et al. 2012).

Temperature increases are accompanied by both an earlier onset of phenological phases, such as the
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beginning of the growing season, and a shortening of the intervals between successive phenological phases (Mozny et al. 2009, Možný et al. 2012). Harvests take place earlier with warmer temperatures and drought, and are delayed by wet conditions; however, enhanced warming from anthropogenic greenhouse gases can generate the high temperatures needed for early harvest without drought (Cook & Wolkovich 2016).

Advances in maturation dates of approximately 4 to 8 d per decade have been detected in the major wine-growing regions of Australia, France and Germany (Jones et al. 2005). Other climate variables also likely affect the ripening of grapes. For example, Webb et al. (2012) attributed the earlier ripening in Australian wine regions to temperature increases, soil drying, and/or changes in vineyard management techniques.

Several studies have reflected the growing risk of drought in the Czech Republic (e.g. Brázdil et al. 2009, 2015, 2016a this Special) and Central Europe (Spinoni et al. 2015). For example, a trend towards increased drought occurrence was recorded at most meteorological stations in the Czech Republic during 1961–2012; the greatest decreases in water reserves occurred in May and June (Trnka et al. 2015). Recent studies have also demonstrated the impact of soil moisture on extreme maximum temperatures in Europe (Hirschi et al. 2011, Whan et al. 2015). Occurrences of recent mega-heatwave temperatures have been argued to be due to the combination of soil desiccation and atmospheric heat accumulation (Miralles et al. 2014), and evapotranspiration has been shown to amplify the European summer drought (Teuling et al. 2013).

A drought may be defined as a negative deviation from the climatic water balance in a specific area over a given time interval (Brázdil et al. 2015). While the principal cause of all droughts is a deficit in precipitation over time, higher air temperatures, intense global radiation, lower relative humidity and higher wind speeds increase evapotranspiration and subsequently cause droughts (Allen et al. 1998). The Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI), which was proposed by Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010), is often used to quantify droughts. This index uses the monthly differences between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration. The SPEI has been applied extensively in recent climatological and hydrological studies (e.g. Potop 2011, Heim & Brewer 2012, Li et al. 2012, Paulo et al. 2012, Potop et al. 2012, Brázdil et al. 2013a, 2015, Beguería et al. 2014, Yu et al. 2014, Potopová et al. 2016).

The main objective of this paper was to use GHDs for the reconstruction of drought series expressed by the SPEI for the Bohemian wine-growing region for 1499–2012, applying standard palaeoclimatological methods. Present results show the relationships between the GHDs and the air temperature, effective rainfall and potential evapotranspiration (including their trends), the long-term reconstructions of SPEI from GHDs and the relationship between the SPEI and vintage quality.

2. DATA AND METHODS

2.1. Study area

Wine production in the Czech Republic is limited to 2 wine-growing regions: southern Moravia and northwestern Bohemia. Wine-growing has been a tradition in these regions for more than 1000 yr. The Bohemian wine-growing region, which is the focus of this paper, is a small historical wine region located near Prague in the northwest part of the country near the Vltava, Ohře and Elbe rivers. Wine grapes are grown on south, southwest and southeast protected slopes at elevations from 170 to 260 m a.s.l. The vineyards are located around the city of Prague and 7 towns (Kutná Hora, Karlštejn, Mělník, Slaný, Roudnice nad Labem, Litoměřice and Most; Fig. 1a). This region specializes in the cultivation of traditional grape varieties that are suited to the northerly climate and which are particularly frost-resistant and have an early harvest date.

The Czech Republic is characterized by a moderate, humid climate and 4 distinct seasons (Tolasz et al. 2007). The leaf bud burst begins on average between 20 April and 8 May, the beginning of flowering occurs between 5 and 15 June, the end of flowering occurs between 15 and 26 June, and the softening of berries occurs between 1 and 29 August (Hájková et al. 2012). Average annual air temperature in the Bohemian wine-growing region area was 8.7–8.9°C (15–15.3°C for April–August) and the mean annual precipitation was 480–540 mm (255–280 mm for April–August) from 1961 to 2000 (Tolasz et al. 2007). The entire Czech wine region falls into Region I according to the standard growing degree-days, representing the climate type ‘Cool’ according to the Huglin Index and cool climate maturity according to the average growing season temperature index.

The area of investigation is one of the driest regions in the Czech Republic (cf. Brázdil et al. 2015).
For example, the April–September SPEI data indicate probabilities of the occurrence of dry months greater than 40% between 1961 and 2012 (Potopová et al. 2016).

2.2. GHDs and vintage quality data

GHDs were taken from the PHENODATA database of the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute (CHMI). These data contain systematic phenological observations from several hundred sites in the recent Czech Republic since 1845 (Svitáková et al. 2005). For the period prior to 1845, GHDs were obtained from several documentary sources such as chronicles, town documents, aristocratic documents, ecclesiastical materials, farming calendars, personal diaries, farming records and reports. GHD observations for the Bohemian wine-growing region were compiled for the period from 1499 to 2012. A total of 5363 harvest dates were collected from 61 sites. While a greater part of the data was related to the systematic observations starting after 1921, fewer data were available before 1700. There are no temporal gaps in the data; at least one entry was found for each year. The mean GHD series for 1499−2012 used the median values of the data from all of the sites in the corresponding year.

Vintage quality data, which were expressed as the sugar content at ripeness of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot Noir by the Czech-Slovak standards (°NM), were obtained for the period from 1980 to 2015 from the Czech Statistical Office. The data from 1841 to 1980 were obtained from the database of CHMI, data from before 1841 are from the same sources as those described previously for the GHDs. Four classes were used for the vintage quality assessment: 2, excellent; 1, good; 0, average; and −1, poor.

2.3. Meteorological data

The meteorological data were taken from the CHMI CLIDATA database. Seven climatological and 33 rain-gauge stations were used to calculate representative mean meteorological time series for the entire Bohemian wine-growing region for the period 1841−2015. The ProClimDB and AnClim software (Štěpánek 2010) was used to homogenize the series using Alexandessons’s standard normal homogeneity test, the bivariate test of Maronna and Yohai, the Easterling and Peterson test, and the Vincent method (for additional details, see Štěpánek et al. 2011). We calculated the effective precipitation using the Soil Conservation Service runoff curve-number method (USDA Soil Conservation Service 1972), which uses the daily precipitation, the modeled soil water content in the root zone, the wilting-point water and the field capacity water content (Williams et al. 2012). The Penman-Monteith equation (Allen et al. 1998) was used to estimate the potential evapotranspiration.
for the period from 1961 to 2015. The soil type and available water capacity were taken from the CHMI database, and the SoilClim model (Hlavinka et al. 2011) was used to estimate the soil water saturation.

### 2.4. Calculation of SPEI

Using representative meteorological time series (cf. Section 2.3), SPEI values were calculated for the period from 1841 to 2012. The following steps were applied to calculate the SPEI (Potop et al. 2012, Potopová et al. 2016):

1. parameterization of the potential evapotranspiration based on the monthly minimum and maximum air temperatures and extra-terrestrial radiation (Hargreaves model; Hargreaves & Allen 2003);
2. a simple monthly water balance that was calculated as the difference between the monthly effective precipitation and potential evapotranspiration;
3. normalization of the climatic water balance into a log-logistic probability distribution to transform the original values to standardized units that are comparable in space and time and to the various SPEI time scales that were utilized in the study area.

### 2.5. Reconstruction of SPEI

The mean GHD series for 1499−2012 was also used as a predictor to reconstruct the SPEI for the Bohemian wine-growing region. A linear regression model (LRM) was used to calibrate the GHDs to the target SPEI values. LRMf are commonly used in paleoclimatology for climate reconstruction (Cook et al. 1994), and this model was recently applied in historical climatology for series of documentary data of (bio)physical series and temperature indices (e.g. Leijonhufvud et al. 2008, 2010, Dobrovolný et al. 2009, 2010, Brázdil et al. 2010). The quality of the LRM calibration was evaluated with the square of Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r^2$), the standard error of estimate (SE), and the Durbin-Watson test (DW). While $r^2$ and SE evaluate the quality of the regression model, the DW diagnoses the first-order autocorrelation within the regression residuals (von Storch & Zwiers 1999). DW values between 1.5 and 2.5 are generally acceptable, while those outside this range indicate problems with reconstructing multi-decadal fluctuations.

Verification was based on several measures of reconstruction skill, including $r^2$, reduction of error (RE) and the coefficient of efficiency (CE, Cook et al. 1994). While $r^2$ quantifies the amount of SPEI variability that is explained by the reconstruction, RE indicates whether a reconstruction provides a better estimate of the SPEI variability than simply using the mean value of the target SPEI in the calibration period ($\bar{x}_t$). RE is calculated as:

$$RE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x}_t)^2}$$  (1)

where $x_i$ and $\hat{x}_i$ are the target and reconstructed SPEI values for the verification period, i.e. the mean value of target SPEI in the calibration period $\bar{x}_t$. CE is similar to RE, but it tests the reconstruction skill against the mean value of the target SPEI in the verification period ($\bar{x}_v$):  

$$CE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x}_v)^2}$$  (2)

Both RE and CE can have values between 1 and minus infinity. Positive RE and CE values indicate that the linear regression model has some potential for reconstruction, and the result is better than simply using the mean of a given calibration-verification period as a ‘reconstruction’.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. GHDs and meteorological variables in 1961–2012

The beginning of the grape harvest depends on the weather patterns of the preceding months. We found a statistically significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$) between the GHDs and the mean April–August temperatures for 1961–2012 (Fig. 2a). Statistically significant relationships were also found between the GHDs and the total potential evapotranspiration for April–August (Fig. 2b; $r^2 = 0.64$, $p < 0.001$) and the total effective precipitation for April–August (Fig. 2c; $r^2 = 0.30$, $p < 0.01$).

The GHD changes in the Bohemian wine-growing region are illustrated by the example of the mean GHDs for 1961–2012. The earliest harvests were recorded in 2000–2003 (13 September) and 2003 (18 September), and
the latest occurred in 1980 (22 October) and 1965 (21 October).

The climate variability in the Bohemian wine-growing region during 1961–2012 was illustrated by variations of 3 annual series of meteorological variables in the summer half-year (April–August). Statistically significant trends towards higher total potential evapotranspiration and mean temperature were detected (2.7 mm yr⁻¹, $r^2 = 0.57$, $p < 0.01$ and 0.044°C yr⁻¹, $r^2 = 0.46$, $p < 0.01$, respectively; Fig. 3b,c). The highest mean April–August temperature and potential evapotranspiration were recorded in 2003 (17.5°C and 731 mm, respectively), while the lowest mean April–August temperature and evapotranspiration occurred in 1980 (3.3°C and 411 mm). The April–August precipitation totals did not show any significant long-term trends (Fig. 3d). Changes in precipitation that coincided with increases in temperature and evapotranspiration did not increase the risk of drought in the vine-growing season. A statisti-
A statistically significant trend toward earlier grape harvests and statistically significant relationships between the GHDs and the air temperatures, total potential evapotranspiration and total effective precipitation were observed in the study period.

### 3.2. SPEI reconstruction based on GHDs

Overlapping periods of GHDs and calculated SPEI values for 1841–2010 and the linear regression model were used to reconstruct the April–August SPEI for the Bohemian wine-growing region from 1499 to 2012. The calibration and verification were performed twice for early (1841–1925) and late (1926–2010) sub-periods, and the statistical measures described in Section 2.5 were used to evaluate the reconstruction skill. The entire process was performed for the early calibration and late verification sub-periods, and it was then repeated by switching the calibration and verification sub-periods. The final calibration was performed for the full overlapping period of 1841–2010.

Statistical measures were applied to both sub-periods to confirm the high reconstruction skill of the GHDs. The results of the Durbin-Watson test indicate that there were no problems with the autocorrelation in the residuals. The RE and CE values were highly positive and indicated that the GHDs had a high potential for SPEI reconstruction.

### Table 1. Summary of the calibration and verification statistics that were used for the SPEI reconstruction based on the grape harvest dates in the Bohemian wine-growing region for 2 sub-periods. $r^2$: variance; SE: standard error of estimate; DW: Durbin-Watson test; RMSE: root mean square error; RE: reduction of error; CE: coefficient of efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-period</th>
<th>Calibration statistic</th>
<th>Verification statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early calibration (1841−1925)/late verification (1926−2010)</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.70$, SE = 0.48, DW = 2.20</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.77$, SE = 0.48, DW = 2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early verification (1841−1925)/late calibration (1926−2010)</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.77$, SE = 0.48, DW = 2.07</td>
<td>$r^2 = 0.70$, SE = 0.47, DW = 2.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reconstructed series show that several irregular variations of drier and wetter periods occurred between 1499 and 2012. Significantly drier periods (based on unsmoothed data) were found for 1532–1556 and 1983–2012 (Fig. 5). The early GHDs indicate that the first half of the 16th century was exceptionally dry. Four significantly wetter periods, which occurred in approximately 1650, 1740, 1805 and 1913 and were separated by slightly drier intervals, were detected in the reconstruction. The driest 30 yr periods occurred from 1983 to 2012 (mean SPEI of −0.9), and the wettest occurred from 1900 to 1929 (0.13). The lowest April–August SPEI was recorded in 1540 (−3.4), and the highest was recorded in 1919 (1.9). The fluctuations of the April–August SPEI values show large inter-annual and inter-decadal variabilities and decreasing trends for the 19th century and particularly dramatic decreases since the late 1970s.

### 3.3. April–August SPEI and vintage quality

Vintage quality depends on the weather patterns of the preceding months. We found a statistically significant correlation (Spearman’s $\rho = −0.79$, $p < 0.01$) between the sugar content at ripeness of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot Noir and the calculated April–September SPEI in the Bohemian wine-growing region in the period from 1980 to 2015 (Fig. 6a). Decreases of the April–September SPEI during previous years were reflected in higher sugar contents of the grapes. Therefore, the SPEI can be considered to be an important factor for the red wine vintage quality in this region. Poor quality vintages correspond to wet patterns, such as in 1980 (SPEI = 1.2) and 1984.
In contrast, water deficit stress corresponds to very good or excellent vintages in 2015 (SPEI = −3.7), 2000 (SPEI = −3.5) and 2006 (SPEI = −2.6). A statistically significant trend towards higher sugar content was found during the period from 1980 to 2015 (0.13°NM yr⁻¹, r² = 0.91, p < 0.01; Fig. 6b). The decade 1981–1990 had the lowest mean sugar content (17.9°NM), while the highest was recorded from 2006 to 2015 (21.2°NM). The highest sugar contents occurred in 2006, 2013 and 2015 (23°NM), and the lowest occurred in 1984 (16.8°NM) and 1980 (17.2°NM).

A statistically significant correlation (Kendall’s tau = −0.68, p < 0.01) also exists between the reconstructed April–August SPEI and the vintage quality in the Bohemian wine-growing region from 1499 to 1840 (Fig. 6c). As expected, poor vintage quality corresponded to wet periods, and good vintage quality corresponded to dry patterns. The vintage quality series does not show any strong long-term trends during 1499–1840 (Fig. 6d).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Relationship between grape harvest dates and meteorological variables

Although the strong dependence between the GHDs and the air temperature of the growing season is widely accepted and has also been used to test the quality of GHDs (Daux et al. 2012), relationships with other meteorological variables are not commonly used. We found statistically significant correlation coefficients between the GHDs and other meteorological variables (temperature, potential evapotranspiration and precipitation) in the Bohemian wine-growing region in the period from 1961 to 2012. We detected a statistically significant trend towards earlier GHDs, higher total potential evapotranspiration and mean temperatures. A trend towards earlier maturity of wine grapes has been observed in several countries as a result of recent climate change (Webb et al. 2011, Malheiro et al. 2013, Vršič et al. 2014).
Earlier maturity of wine grapes has been associated with increasing temperature and decreasing soil water content during the growing season in Australia (Webb et al. 2012), but this conclusion was apparently inconsistent with long-term trends of annual rainfall (White 2013). According to Urhausen et al. (2011), who analyzed the relationship between various climatic parameters and phenological stages in viticulture, only temperature-based predictors showed sufficient skill. In contrast, Ramos et al. (2015) found high correlations between the veraison date and temperature variables as well as with precipitation−evapotranspiration data that were recorded during the bloom−veraison period. The interaction of temperature with other meteorological variables must be taken into account; for example, the warm season is often a time of higher solar radiation, lower total rainfall and higher vapor pressure deficits (Sadras et al. 2012). Zahradniček (2009) found high correlations of selected phenophases (e.g. leaf bud burst, beginning and end of flowering, softening of berries) of vines (*Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Lemberger) with the air temperature and potential evapotranspiration in the Moravian wine-growing region for 1984−2007; the relationship with the total precipitation was statistically significant. We found statistically significant correlations between the GHD and temperature as well as with precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (calculated by the Penman-Monteith equation) for the period from 1961 to 2015. We used the GHD series to reconstruct the drought index SPEI. The SPEI uses the basic water balance calculation (monthly differences between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration). The inclusion of temperature (the monthly minimum and maximum air temperatures) along with precipitation data allows SPEI to account for the impact of temperature on a drought situation. The output is applicable for all climate regimes, with the results being comparable because they are standardized. With the use of temperature data, SPEI is an ideal index when looking at the impact of climate change in model output under various future scenarios (WMO & GWP 2016).

Cook & Wolkovich (2016) investigated the climate controls of GHD from 1600–2007 in France using historical harvest and climate data. Early harvest occurred with warmer temperatures (−6 d °C−1) and were delayed by wet conditions (+0.07 d mm−1; +1.68 d PDSI−1) during spring and summer. In recent decades (1981–2007), however, the relationship
between harvest timing and drought has broken down. The Palmer drought severity index (PDSI) is calculated using monthly temperature and precipitation data along with information on the water-holding capacity of soils (WMO & GWP 2016). Brázdil et al. (2015) analyzed long-term drought fluctuations (1805–2012) in the Czech Republic. The drying trends have been driven by a major air temperature increase, leading to higher potential evapotranspiration (and therefore to a major shift in the climatological water balance). We have not seen any change in the relationship between GDH and drought in the recent period. Our results show that it is necessary to analyze the effective precipitation, which significantly affects the water reserves in the soil. In recent years, a greater proportion of the precipitation has fallen in the form of intense one-day events, and the loss of snow cover in the winter has negatively influenced the soil water saturation in the first part of the growing season (Potopová et al. 2016). An average of 44 % of the total precipitation in April–August in the Bohemian wine-growing region occurred during days with total rainfall ≥10 mm in the period from 1961 to 2000, while in 2001–2015, this proportion was 51 %. Low infiltration capacity of soils and slope vineyards cause a significant proportion of the precipita-

Fig. 6. Relationships between the calculated April–August SPEI values and (a) sugar content at ripeness of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot Noir and (b) variation in sugar content in the Bohemian wine-growing region from 1980–2015, and (c) the relationships between reconstructed April–August SPEI values and vintage-quality (*Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot Noir) and (d) the variation of vintage quality 1499–1840. Lines in (a) and (c) show regressions. The series in (b) and (d) are smoothed by a 10 yr Gaussian filter.

Vintage quality: 2, excellent, 1, good; 0, average; −1, poor
tion to be lost by surface runoff. Therefore, it is more appropriate to use the effective total precipitation than the standard total precipitation.

### 4.2. Comparison of SPEI reconstructions

The GHD-based April–August SPEI reconstruction for the Bohemian wine-growing region may be compared with the SPEI reconstructions based on documentary and instrumental data for the Czech Lands in the 1500–2015 period published by Brázdil et al. (2016a). These employed 2 series for SPEI calculations: (i) a central European temperature reconstruction (Dobrovolný et al. 2010) combining temperature indices derived from documentary data from Germany, the Czech Lands and Switzerland (1500–1854) with homogenised series from 11 central European stations (1760–2007); this series is fully representative of the territory of the Czech Lands; (ii) a precipitation reconstruction for the Czech Lands (Dobrovolný et al. 2015) combining precipitation indices derived from documentary data (1501–1854) and mean Czech areal precipitation series from instrumental measurements (1805–2015). Further, our SPEI reconstruction is compared with the March–May (MAM) SPEI, the June–August (JJA) SPEI and the April–September SPEI series for the Czech Lands compiled by Brázdil et al. (2016a) for the 1501–2012 period.

As shown in Fig. 7, the highest statistically significant correlation coefficient (0.58) was with the April–September SPEI, which differs from the highest correlation with the reconstructed GHD-based April–August SPEI by only one month (September). The correlations with the JJA and MAM SPEI are lower (0.49 and 0.39, respectively), which partially reflects the absence of March data in the reconstructed series as well as the stronger influence of the summer on the drought/precipitation patterns in the Czech Lands. All 3 SPEI series from Brázdil et al. (2016a) have relatively similar fluctuations with the GHD-based April–August SPEI, including a period of higher significant correlations up to the early 1850s followed by significant declines in the correlations until ca. 1925 (even negative correlations with the MAM SPEI) and then higher statistically significant correlation coefficients. The decrease in the correlation coefficients may be attributed to the some problems in the quality of the GHD series for these periods. Warmer and wetter weather in August–September in the mid-19th century led to a higher incidence of fungal diseases on hops and vines, which influenced timing (early harvest) and yields (Možný et al. in press). Effective synthetic pesticides and fungicides were not available until the early 1920s. The approach to vine growing and fermenting grapes changed in the late 19th century, affecting later harvest dates (Kilián 2012). Vine growers experimented for several years with harvesting a few days later, since sunny weather in October may have helped augment sugar content. Additional deeper declines in correlations were detected between 1650 and 1700 (only with the MAM SPEI) and in the late 1740s (all 3 SPEI series). Despite these fluctuations in the 31 yr running correlations, this comparison of 2 independent SPEI reconstructions confirms the high reconstruction skill of documentary data (represented by grape harvest dates as well as the combi-
nutation of documentary evidence with instrumental data) to describe long-term fluctuations of droughts.

The 2 independent SPEI reconstructions from the Czech Lands indicate that 1540 was the driest year in both the 1499–2012 and 1501–2015 periods. As documented by rich documentary evidence from the Czech Lands (using only the GHD, or the combination of documentary evidence with instrumental data), 1540 was warm and dry and was associated with crop failure, lack of water, frequent fires and shortages but also with excellent wine quality (for additional details see Brázdil et al. 2013b). Similar weather characteristics and their impacts as in 1540 in the Czech Lands have been documented for many other locations in Europe, which led Wetter et al. (2014) to use the term ‘megadrought’ to describe an unprecedented 11 mo long drought in large parts of Europe. Although Büntgen et al. (2015) argued that 1540 does not appear to be significant in many European tree-ring width chronologies, Pfister et al. (2015) supported the original concept using other arguments. Moreover, Wetter & Pfister (2013) classified 1540 as the warmest year based on records of grape harvest dates in Switzerland.

In addition to 1540, other important droughts in the pre-instrumental period were identified in the reconstructed GHD-based April–August SPEI for the Bohemian wine-growing region in 1590 (SPEI = −2.1) and 1616 (−2.3); these droughts are well-documented in available documentary evidence. Comparable or higher SPEI values occurred more than 3 centuries later in 1947 (−2.0) and particularly in the 2000s. Reconstructed SPEI values similar to that of 1540 were found for 2000 (−3.4), which was followed by 5 other dry years in 2002 (−2.5), 2003 (−2.7), 2006 (−2.2), 2007 (−2.4) and 2009 (−2.6). These years have been reported and described in many studies of the area of the recent Czech Republic (e.g. Brázdil et al. 2013a, b, 2015, 2016b this Special).

All of the dry years that were identified in the reconstructed April–August SPEI values also agreed with excellent and good vintage qualities in the Bohemian wine-growing region (Pejml 1966, Možný et al. in press). Water-deficit stress resulted in shoot growth slackening, limited berry weight and enhanced berry anthocyanin content. These results are consistent with those of Brázdil et al. (2008), who found a correlation between high-quality wine and warmer and drier periods in the Moravian wine-growing region for 1800–1912. Moreover, in French Bordeaux, the intensity of regional water deficit stress was more important than the temperatures (Van Leeuwen et al. 2009).

5. CONCLUSIONS

GHD series have primarily been used for temperature reconstructions and have shown that prior warm weather patterns result in earlier vintage beginnings and that cooler patterns cause delayed vintage beginnings. A compilation of GHD series of Vitis vinifera L. cv. Pinot Noir for the Bohemian wine-growing region from documentary data demonstrated its potential not only for temperature reconstructions (Možný et al. 2016) but also for drought reconstructions. Statistically significant correlations of GHDs with the April–August mean temperature, potential evapotranspiration and effective precipitation allowed droughts to be reconstructed using the SPEI. Standard paleoclimatological reconstruction approaches were used to obtain SPEI series for 1499–2012. The advantages of this reconstruction include the relatively long overlap period of 1841–2010 for the calibration/verification procedures and the high variance, which was stable during this period. The reconstructed series is also significantly correlated with the vintage quality; excellent and good wine quality corresponds to dry years.

The long-term April–August SPEI variations include 2 particularly important periods of high dryness: the first half of the 16th century, which included an extremely dry 1540, and the years since the late 1970s, which included a sharp increase in the dry patterns. These results demonstrate the significant influence of increasing temperatures, high total evapotranspiration and generally stable total precipitation. They also may indicate that Central Europe may be endangered by future droughts due to the continuation of global warming.

The good representativeness of the presented reconstruction is confirmed by a comparison with independent SPEI reconstructions that are based on documentary and instrumental data from the Czech Lands (Brázdil et al. 2016a). Reconstructed series that are based on GHDs can contribute to a better understanding of drought variability in Central Europe and will be used for comparisons in future studies of this topic.
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