Response of deep-sea scavengers to ocean acidification and the odor from a dead grenadier
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ABSTRACT: Experiments to assess the impact of ocean acidification on abyssal animals were performed off Central California. The survival of caged megafauna (*Benthoctopus* sp., *Pachycara bulbiceps*, *Coryphaenoides armatus*) exposed to CO₂-rich and normal (control) seawater varied among species. *Benthoctopus* sp. and *P. bulbiceps* survived control conditions and month-long episodic exposure to acidic, CO₂-rich waters (pH reductions of ~0.1 U). All *C. armatus* in both treatments died, potentially due to cage-related stress, predation, and exposure to acidic waters. High survival by *P. bulbiceps* and *Benthoctopus* under month-long exposure to CO₂-rich waters indicates a physiological capacity to cope, at least temporarily, with stresses that will accompany expected future changes in ocean chemistry. The abundance of free-ranging scavengers was not correlated with variation in pH levels near fish cages. Incidental observations of abyssal scavengers collected using time-lapse cameras during these experiments were used secondarily to evaluate the hypothesis that macrourid fishes avoid the odor of dead conspecifics. Caged macrourids in view of time-lapse cameras died within 2 to 3 d, eliciting a strong response from the regional scavenger assemblage which aggregated near the cage. The pattern of scavenger visits suggests avoidance among taxa and a succession of scavengers. Macrourids, a dominant abyssal scavenger, either did not respond to the death of their congener or possibly avoided the area. Lack of a response by macrourids may be due to (1) avoidance of sites of dead or dying congeners, (2) high sensitivity to ocean acidification, (3) low nutritional value or weaker odor plumes from carcasses of abyssal versus shallow-water taxa, or (4) rapid departure from sites where a carcass is inaccessible. Camera systems were not deployed in view of carcasses at control sites (away from CO₂ pools) during each experiment, and we therefore cannot exclude the possibility that the pattern of scavenger visits was influenced by elevated carbon dioxide levels.
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INTRODUCTION

The rise in ocean CO₂ levels due to the passive flux of carbon dioxide through the air–sea boundary is causing rapid and dramatic change in the carbonate chemistry of the oceans. Higher carbon dioxide levels react with the carbonate chemistry of seawater to increase ocean acidity. Rising ocean carbon levels have caused the pH of ocean surface waters to drop by 0.1 U in only the past 50 yr (Caldeira & Wickett 2003). Anthropogenic carbon dioxide is now detectable to ocean depths of 1 to 3 km, as the surface carbon signal penetrates slowly into the deep sea (Sabine et al. 2004). Deep-ocean carbon sequestration, a concept considered to mitigate the rapid rise in atmospheric carbon levels, could also result in severe acidification of deep-sea waters, at least near disposal sites (Shirayama 1998). Projections of future ocean carbon levels indicate a reduction in surface ocean pH of 0.4 U by 2100, and may reach –1.0 U over the next few centuries (Caldeira & Wickett 2003). This signal will mix and propagate through the water column and could
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have a significant impact on ocean ecosystems (Orr et al. 2005, Raven et al. 2005). CO$_2$-related stresses for ocean organisms exposed to CO$_2$-rich, acidic waters include reduced calcification, respiratory stress, disruption of the acid–base balance, and metabolic depression (Pörtner et al. 2004a). The severity of CO$_2$-related stress is expected to be considerably greater for deep-sea organisms (Seibel & Walsh 2001), but little research has addressed this issue directly.

In the current paper we present results from a series of field experiments off Central California to evaluate the tolerance of abyssal animals to elevated CO$_2$ levels. We focus on a few species of common abyssal scavengers (the octopus *Benthotopus* sp. [Octopodidae], the eelpout *Pachycara bulbiceps* [Zoaridae], and the grenadier *Coryphaenoides armatus* [Macrouridae]), which were captured in baited cages, then held in CO$_2$-rich, acidic waters and in control locations during month-long experiments. Although the sensitivity of the 2 abyssal fishes to ocean acidification is not known, some information is available concerning the behavioral and physiological responses of deep-sea megafauna to elevated carbon dioxide levels. Seibel & Walsh (2003) showed that moderate (~0.3 pH U) acidosis of the blood of *Benthotopus* sp. reduced oxygen binding by hemocyanin by 40%. *Pachycara brachycephalum*, a bathydemersal congener of *P. bulbiceps*, undergoes a ~35% reduction in oxygen consumption in cell suspensions with a 0.6 U pH reduction (Langenbuch & Pörtner 2003), but little is known of the response of live animals. Tamburri et al. (2000) evaluated the response of bathyal scavengers exposed to CO$_2$-rich waters or filtrate from homogenized shallow water fishes or both, finding that various scavengers were attracted strongly to the food odor, even with the strong narcotizing effects of the CO$_2$-rich plume. For smaller abyssal organisms, our previous results have shown that sediment-dwelling meiofauna experience significant mortality after month-long exposure to pH reductions of ~0.1 to 0.3 U (Barry et al. 2004, Thistle et al. 2005).

A secondary focus of the present paper, based on incidental time-lapse observations of the abyssal scavenger assemblage collected during these ocean acidification experiments, was to evaluate the behavioral response of abyssal scavengers to the odor plume from dead macrourid fishes. Following the unexpected death of *Coryphaenoides armatus* held in cages during ocean acidification studies, we observed the response of the scavenger assemblage to these ‘food falls’.

A variety of factors influence the scavenger assemblage observed in baited camera studies. Scavenger assemblages vary by region, with decreasing species richness with depth (Priede & Bagley 2000, King et al. 2006), and respond variably to the type, size, and position of bait (Wolff 1976, Rowe et al. 1986, Armstrong et al. 1991, Witte 1999, Bailey et al. 2002, Kemp et al. 2006). At bathyal depths, megafaunal invertebrates are important scavengers (e.g. Smith 1985, Witte 1999), while at abyssal depths lysianassid amphipods and macrourid fishes are among the first and most abundant scavengers to arrive at food falls (Isaacs & Schwartzlose 1975, Priede et al. 1991, Jones et al. 1998, Priede & Bagley 2000). Bait used in time-lapse camera studies typically consists of shallow-water fishes or occasionally plants, and has ranged widely in size from single mackerel (Priede et al. 1994, Smith et al. 1997) to cetacean carcasses (Witte 1999, Smith et al. 2003, Kemp et al. 2006). Small to medium food falls are typically consumed within hours (Priede et al. 1994, Jones et al. 1998), resulting in a pattern of scavenger arrival and departure related to foraging behavior (Bailey & Priede 2002).

Although grenadiers (Macrouridae) are common abyssal scavengers, their response to the odor plume from congeners or conspecifics is known poorly, since nearly all available studies have used shallow-water plants or animals as bait (but see Lampitt et al. 1983). Unlike the odor plume from sunken carcasses of shallow-water species, the scent from a dying or dead conspecific may indicate a potentially dangerous site, and it may be adaptive for benthic scavengers such as grenadiers to ignore or avoid such odors. Although the cause of death is likely indeterminable from the odor plume, recent mortality may indicate elevated risk of mortality for conspecifics, such as the presence of predators or risk of infectious disease. Thus, a balance between risk of starvation and predation or infection may influence scavenger behavior (McKillup & McKillup 1992, 1994). Increased fitness associated with avoidance of sites with higher than average rates of injury or death may have led to selection for behavioral responses to remote cues indicative of increased risk. For example, elevated risk of mortality appears to reduce the abundance of vulnerable life-history phases (juveniles & brooding females) of the lysianassid amphipod *Orchomene nanus* to food falls (Moore & Wong 1995). Aversion to odor plumes from dying or recently dead conspecifics, as observed in several epibenthic scavengers (Moore & Howarth 1996), may be an evolutionarily derived adaptation to reduce exposure to potential sources of mortality. In the present paper we describe the pattern of scavenger visits in response to odor plumes from dead grenadiers, and consider the hypothesis that macrourids are averse to the odor of dead or dying conspecifics.

The goals of the experiments described in this paper focused primarily on the effects of ocean acidification on abyssal scavenger species. Unplanned observations of the response of the scavenger assemblage to the
death of grenadiers held in cages for the acidification studies formed the basis for novel observations of scavenger behavior at an abyssal food fall. This study differs from typical food fall studies in 2 significant ways. First, the time-lapse observations focus on caged macrourids that did not produce an odor plume stimulating scavengers until their death 3 to 4 d into the observations. In addition, the attractant was the odor from a decaying macrourid, rather than a shallow-water species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Effects of ocean acidification.** A series of approximately month-long experiments (E1, E2, E3) used to evaluate the tolerance of abyssal scavengers to ocean acidification was performed at 3100 to 3600 m depth on the flat, relatively featureless seabed of the continental rise off Central California (E1 & E2: 36.712° N, 123.525° W, E3: 36.7° N, 123.006° W, Fig. 1a, Table 1). During 2 experiments (E1, E2) demersal and benthopelagic scavengers (Macrouridae, *Coryphaenoides armatus*, Zoarcidae, *Pachycara bulbiceps*; Octopodidae, *Benthoctopus* sp.) were held in cages positioned at sites with CO₂-rich, acidic waters (treatment) and control sites with normal ocean chemistry. Study animals were captured during a short period (1 to 2 d) prior to each experiment, in cages baited with mackerel or squid. At the start of each experiment, the cages were locked closed to prevent further ingress or egress. For E1, a small amount of bait remained in the cage and continued to attract scavengers, at least during the beginning of the experiment. In E2, the bait was removed prior to the start of the experiment to inhibit the attraction of additional scavengers. During E3, a time-lapse camera system was deployed to observe a CO₂ corral and nearby area, but baited cages were not deployed to capture benthopelagic scavengers. Thus, no odor plume from dead or dying caged megafauna was present during this experiment, and time-lapse observations are more representative of the background densities of animals, particularly prior to injection of liquid CO₂ into the corrals. The original purpose of these experiments was to assess the effects of hypercapnia on deep-sea benthos (Barry et al. 2005).

High CO₂ conditions were created by releasing liquid carbon dioxide (20 to 100 l) into small corrals (sections of PVC pipe) placed on the seabed using the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) 'Tiburon', operated by the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. Because deep-ocean waters are undersaturated with carbon dioxide, the liquid CO₂ dissolves slowly into seawater, forming a high-CO₂, low-pH dissolution plume, which mixes with the ambient current and drifts down-current over sediments and any animals nearby.

The arrangement of the CO₂ corrals varied. For E1, a single 100 l pool was created and caged animals were positioned from 0.5 to 50 m away (Fig. 1b). In E2 a circular pattern of CO₂ pools (n = 7 corrals) was used, with cages

---

**Table 1. Summary of CO₂-tolerance experiments and time-lapse observations.** Start and end: dates for the initiation and termination of each experiment; TL: length in days of the time-lapse observations. The number of fish traps (cages) and distances (m) from CO₂ pools are also listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>TL (d)</th>
<th>Cages</th>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>36.712</td>
<td>123.525</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>2 Apr 2002</td>
<td>2 May 2002</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>36.712</td>
<td>123.525</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>19 Dec 2003</td>
<td>19 Sep 2005</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 to 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>36.700</td>
<td>123.006</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>13 Dec 2004</td>
<td>21 Jan 2005</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
placed within the circle and at a control location ~100 m away (Fig. 1c). During E3, CO2 corrals were configured similar to E2 (Fig. 1c), using 14 corrals, and no fish cages. At the end of these month-long experiments, we compared the survival of treatment (high CO2) and control (normal CO2) groups.

Physical conditions, including the strength of the dissolution plume (pH changes were used as a proxy for CO2 levels) and variation in the speed and direction of bottom currents were measured by instruments deployed near cages and CO2 pools at the experimental sites. Conductivity, depth, and pressure were measured with CTDs (SeaBird Model 19+), which were each also equipped with 2 SeaBird Model 18 pH sensors. These sensors, positioned at various distances from the animal cages and CO2 corrals during each experiment to measure variation in seawater chemistry, recorded the temperature, salinity, pressure, and pH at 5 min intervals throughout the experiment. pH sensors were positioned from 5 to 50 cm above the seabed to measure the potential spatial variation in the dissolution plume. Near-bottom currents were measured at 5 min intervals during each experiment using an acoustic doppler current meter (ADCP; RDI Sentinel, 600 mHz) mounted 2 m above the seabed, fixed in an upward-looking orientation. Measurements 7 m a.b. (meters above bottom) were the records nearest the seabed and were used to characterize the local flow pattern. Although it would be preferable to measure flow nearer the seabed (e.g. 1 m a.b.), this was not possible using the equipment available. Additional details of these experiments are available in Barry et al. (2005).

**Time-lapse camera observations.** A time-lapse imaging system was deployed during each experiment with a primary objective of observing rates of dissolution from CO2 pools and the behavior of caged animals under elevated CO2 levels. Observations of the behavior and abundance of scavengers in the vicinity of the cage before and after the death of caged macrourid fishes were incidental, but are the basis of the results presented below concerning factors influencing scavenger behavior. A single camera system was available, and it was positioned strategically to view at least part of a CO2 pool for observations of CO2 dissolution, and also in view of a cage (E1, E2) to observe animal behavior. Because scavenger observations were not an initial objective of these experiments, time-lapse observations were not made at control sites, and, thus, all time-lapse images are at CO2-treatment sites.

For E1, a monochrome, time-lapse video camera equipped with LED lights was positioned to view the CO2 pool and the nearest cage (~1 m away) containing *Coryphaenoides armatus* (*n* = 2) and *Pachycara bulbiceps* (*n* = 1) (Fig. 2a). Video (Hi-8 format) was recorded in hourly intervals (8 s interval−1) from 4 April to 1 May 2002. For E2 and E3, a Seasnap 990 camera system equipped with twin 100 mW incandescent lights was used to obtain time-lapse images. During E2, the camera system was positioned to view a CO2 corral and a full, side-view of the closest cage (Fig. 2b,c). The cage, positioned ~1 m from 1 of the CO2 corrals, contained *C. armatus* (*n* = 1) and *Benthoctopus* sp. (*n* = 1). Single digital images were captured every hour for 8.2 d from 21 to 29 August 2003, at which time the low battery power terminated the image sequence. For E3, the Seasnap system was positioned to view a CO2 corral and the nearby seabed (Fig. 2d). No caged animals were used during E3. Digital images were recorded every 2 h for 206 h from 14 to 23 December 2004.

The position and condition of the caged *Coryphaenoides armatus*, *Pachycara bulbiceps*, and *Benthoctopus* sp. were noted for each recording interval of E1 and E2. For E1, the position within the cage for *C. armatus* and *P. bulbiceps* was coded as 1 (top one-third of cage), 2 (middle one-third), and 3 (bottom one-third). For E2, the poorer quality of images restricted observations, and counts were made of the number of *C. armatus* and *P. bulbiceps* swimming in the top one-half of the cage. Spearman’s rank correlation tests comparing the position of the 2 caged species for each experiment were performed using observations during the period prior to the death of the macrourids, and after their death when scavengers were present near the carcass.

**Scavenger behavior.** In addition to quantifying the position of animals within cages, all identifiable organisms observed in each image of the time-lapse series from each experiment were identified and counted. For Seasnap images (E2 & E3) the identity of nearly all fishes and octopuses was clear, though for a few cases identification to the species level was questionable. Congeners of *Coryphaenoides armatus* and *Pachycara bulbiceps* live in the study region, but are quite rare. Therefore, we assumed that all macrourids observed were *C. armatus* and all large zoarcids were *P. bulbiceps*. This assumption is supported by collections of several individuals of each species during the studies. Due to image quality of the monochrome video system and the long exposure time (1 s) used for the Seasnap digital image system, small, rapidly moving objects were rarely identifiable, and appeared as small ‘streaks’ in images. Many of these objects could be benthopelagic scavengers such as lysianassid amphipods, but were not considered for analysis.

Observations of scavengers during each experiment were divided into several phases based on the shifting pattern of behavior or activity. The ‘Pre-cage’ period (Fig. 2b) preceding the placement of the cage in view of the camera (E2 only) was used to assess the background abundance of animals in the area. Observa-
Activity in and around the caged animals shifted markedly from a ‘Live’ period (e.g. Figs. 2d & 3a) prior to death of the caged macrourids to a ‘Scavenging’ phase (Fig. 3b,c) following their death. Large megafaunal scavengers were most active during the Scavenging phase, then dwindled in number during the ‘Consumed’ phase (Fig. 3d). During the Consumed phase, lysianassid amphipods and other small scavengers continued to consume the carcasses, but these scavengers were not clearly visible in time-lapse images.

The percentage frequency of occurrence of each organism observed was compared between periods, and Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were calculated for some pairs of species between Live and Scavenging periods to assess potential avoidance or aggregation. Correlation analyses were used to evaluate the hypothesis that scavenger abundance declined with higher CO₂-related stress, as measured by pH reductions. Thus, we calculated correlation coefficients between observed pH and the abundance of common scavenger species for each experiment.

RESULTS

Physical conditions

Near-bottom currents were similar among sites and experiments. Conditions measured during E2 are presented here to represent flow at all sites. Currents 7 m above the seabed were variable in direction and in speed, but always quite sluggish. Flow varied from 0 to 8.7 cm s⁻¹, with an average of 3 cm s⁻¹ during time-lapse observations. Net flow varied among experiments, but flow direction typically oscillated greatly, and generally rotated with the semidiurnal tides (Barry et al. 2005).
The severity and persistence of CO2 treatments were similar among experiments and distance from CO2 pools, as recorded by sensors near caged animals. Dissolution of liquid CO2 pools was slow, apparently due to the sluggish bottom currents at the sites (Barry et al. 2005), producing only mild reductions in pH. During E1, pH perturbations were episodic and short-lived, ranging from 0 to ~0.3 U at a distance of 5 m from the large CO2 pool (Fig. 4a). The largest pH changes were measured during the latter half of the experiment, after the CO2 corral was refilled with liquid CO2. pH sensors within 0.5 m of the large CO2 corral failed, but measurements close to CO2 corrals in other experiments have shown pH reductions >1 U.

During E2, pH changes in the center of the circle of CO2 corrals were also episodic and milder than in E1 (Fig. 4b). Variation in pH was greatest during the first 3 to 4 d of E2 (Live phase), with occasional excursions greater than ~0.15 U. After this period, pH variability was low and average values were near (within ~0.05 U) ambient. The CO2 corals were not refilled during E2, and much of the liquid carbon dioxide had dissolved by the end of the month-long experiment. The pattern of pH variability during E3 (not shown) was very similar to that during E2.

Effects of CO2 treatments

There was no detectable response by caged or free-ranging megafauna after a month-long exposure to the mild acidic dissolution plume emanating from pools of liquid CO2. All caged Benthoctopus sp. and Pachycara bulbiceps survived at both the CO2-treatment and control locations. In contrast, all caged Coryphaenoides armatus died, regardless of treatment. The abundances of free-ranging scavengers, either overall (total scavenger abundance) or for individual species, were not correlated to pH measured near caged fishes during E1 or E2.
Because the odor plume and CO₂-related acidification could have simultaneously affected scavenger behavior (e.g. Tamburri et al. 2000), the effects of CO₂ alone can only be assessed prior to the death of the caged fish. If the analyses of CO₂ effects are limited to the live period prior to any influence of an odor plume and when at least some significant variation in pH occurred in both experiments, there was still little evidence of a response to elevated CO₂ levels by either scavengers or non-scavenger species. No significant correlations between pH and the abundance of any of the principal species observed in E1, E2, or E3 were detected. Nor did any animals display an adverse reaction to the acidic dissolution plume.

**Caged animal behavior**

The behavior of caged animals exposed to elevated CO₂ levels varied among species. *Coryphaenoides armatus* typically swam near the top of cages, declining and dying within a few days in E1 and E2 (Fig. 5). One caged macrourid in E1 may have died after only ~30 h, based on its unchanging position on the bottom of the cage after this period (Fig. 5a). *Pachycara bulbiceps* rarely swam above the bottom of the cage (Fig. 5b), and was sedentary and generally motionless when visible during E1. Caged *P. bulbiceps* were not observed to consume *C. armatus* tissue during any of the 8 s video clips recorded in E1, even though it increased greatly in abundance outside the cage upon the macrourid’s death. Caged *Benthoctopus* sp. in E2 moved frequently within the cage, particularly during the Live period (Fig. 5d), and appeared to attack its cell-mate 2 and 2.5 d after the start of the experiment. In these instances the octopus was in a feeding position with its arms around the macrourid (Fig. 3a). During the scavenging period, the *Benthoctopus* sp. apparently fed upon the carcass for a period of ~34 h, and then mostly clung to the top of the cage for the remainder of the time-lapse observations. Over this period the macrourids were skeletonized.

There is some indication that the caged *Benthoctopus* sp. and *Coryphaenoides armatus* avoided each other during E2. A Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient calculated for the position indices of the 2 species during the Live period was –0.28 (p < 0.05). The correlation was positive (0.12), but non-significant, during the scavenging period, when the octopus was observed on the macrourid, apparently feeding, or at the top of the cage (Fig. 5).

**Abundance and behavior of free-ranging scavengers**

The abundance of scavengers and other benthos varied among phases and between experiments. Scavengers were observed during the live phase of E1 and E2, prior to the death of the caged macrourid, but were low in abundance compared to the scavenging phase. One exception to this pattern is the higher abundance of *Coryphaenoides armatus* at the beginning of E1, before its caged congener died (Fig. 6). Upon the death of the caged *C. armatus* in E1 and E2,
Fig. 5. *Coryphaenoides armatus*, *Pachycara bulbiceps* and *Benthocotopus* sp. Time series of scavenger activity inside cages. Low, mid, and high: the animal was observed in the bottom, middle, and upper third of the cage, respectively, for that image. Phases divided by dashed lines as in Fig. 4. E1: (a) *C. armatus* (Ind. 1 = , Ind. 2 = ) and (b) *P. bulbiceps* (n = 1). E2: (c) *C. armatus* (n = 1) and (d) *Benthocotopus* sp. (n = 1). Observations of apparent *Benthocotopus* attack on macrourid (live period) or apparent feeding on carcass by *Benthocotopus* (scavenging phase ). For (b,c), ( ) represents a single individual.

Fig. 6. *Coryphaenoides armatus*, *Pachycara bulbiceps* and *Benthocotopus* sp. Abundance of scavengers during E1. Hours indicate the time from the death of the caged macrourids. Phases divided by dashed lines as in Fig. 4. (a) Number of *C. armatus* in images during the experiment. Note the lack of an increase in abundance following the death of the *C. armatus* individuals. (b) *P. bulbiceps* increased in frequency and abundance immediately following the death of the caged macrourids. (c) *Benthocotopus* sp. increased in frequency of occurrence for a period following the death of the macrourid.
the frequency of occurrence and abundance of the major scavengers increased, while visits by *C. armatus* to the cage decreased. During E2, scavengers, especially *Pachycara bulbiceps* and *Bassozetus nasus* (Ophidiidae), aggregated near the cage a few hours before the caged macrourid’s death, and then increased greatly following its demise (Fig. 7). *Benthoctopus* sp. and a small unidentified zoarcid species (possibly juvenile *P. bulbiceps*) also increased in response to the death of the macrourid, but were slower to arrive or increase in frequency near the cages, and reached peak abundances only after the number of *P. bulbiceps* and *B. nasus* waned. The strong response of scavengers is also evident by changes in the percentage of images with scavengers among periods (Table 2). Scavenging fishes were not able to feed on the macrourid carcasses because of their position within cages. *Benthoctopus* sp., however, could reach into the cage and pull the carcass to the cage margin for feeding. All scavengers were rare during the Consumed phase.

Correlation analyses used to assess interactions among scavengers suggested that *Benthoctopus* sp. and the unidentified small zoarcid may have avoided interactions with *Pachycara bulbiceps* and *B. nasus*. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients for the abundance of *P. bulbiceps* or *B. nasus* and either *Benthoctopus* sp. or the unidentified zoarcid were not significant for the Live period. For the Scavenging period of E2, however, the abundance of the small zoarcid was negatively correlated to both *P. bulbiceps* (R = –0.20, p < 0.05) and *B. nasus* (R = –0.20, p < 0.05). In addition, the abundances of *Benthoctopus* sp. and *P. bulbiceps* were negatively correlated (R = –0.29, p < 0.05) during the Scavenging period.

A surprising result of the scavenger observations was the lack of response by macrourids in the vicinity to the death of the caged macrourids. *Coryphaenoides armatus* did not increase in abundance or frequency of occurrence following the death of their caged conspecifics (Figs. 6 & 7). Instead, *C. armatus* decreased in abundance and frequency upon the death of the caged macrourid during E1.

![Fig. 7. Abundance of visiting scavengers during E2. Hours indicate the time from the death of the caged macrourid. Phases divided by dashed lines as in Fig. 4. (a) Coryphaenoides armatus, (b) Pachycara bulbiceps, (c) Bassozetus nasus, (d) Benthoctopus sp., and (e) unknown zoarcid species. Note the shift in activity and visitation following the macrourid's demise.](image)
Common megafaunal invertebrates did not exhibit a strong response to the death of the macrourids. A few individuals of abyssal echinoids *Aporocidaris milleri* (Cidaridae), *Cystechinus loveni* (Urechinidae), and Ophiuroidea were observed in the camera view during all phases of E2, but did not change greatly in abundance between periods (Fig. 8). One *A. milleri* individual crawled slowly to the cage, but wandered out of view. Nor did *C. loveni* exhibit a response to the macrourid carcass. The buccinid gastropod *Mohnia vernalis* was observed occasionally. At least 1 *M. vernalis* was observed in successive images to crawl to the cage and onto the macrourid carcass. It is possible that others were present, but were obscured from view by the cage or other scavengers. Very low numbers of scavengers were observed during E3, which had no odor plume from caged fish, dead or alive. *Coryphaenoides armatus* was observed 5 times in ~100

### Table 2. Percentage of images in E2 with various deep-sea species, by experimental phase. Pre-cage: the phase prior to placement of the cage in the view of the camera. Live: the phase when the macrourid was observed swimming or moving within the cage. Scavenging: the phase after its death, when scavenging species were present in high abundances. Consumed: the phase after scavenger abundances dropped to background levels and the carcass appeared to be skeletonized. Note the increase in percentage observations for the principal scavengers (*Pachycara bulbiceps*, *Bassozetus nasus*, *Benthoctopus* sp., and the small unidentified zoarcid) during the scavenging period. Unident. = unidentified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Pre-cage</th>
<th>Live</th>
<th>Scavenging</th>
<th>Consumed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pachycara bulbiceps</em></td>
<td>Zoarcidae</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bassozetus nasus</em></td>
<td>Ophidiidae</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Benthoctopus</em> sp.</td>
<td>Octopodidae</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small unident. sp.</td>
<td>Zoarcidae</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coryphaenoides armatus</em></td>
<td>Macrouridae</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mohnia vernalis</em></td>
<td>Buccinidae</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unident. sp.</td>
<td>Ophiuroidea</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unident. sp.</td>
<td>Munnopsidae</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cystechinus loveni</em></td>
<td>Urechinidae</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amperima robusta</em></td>
<td>Elpididae</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unident. sp.</td>
<td>Pycnogonida</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 8. Abundance of minor species in time-lapse images before and following the death of the caged macrourid during the 2003 experiment. Phases divided by dashed lines as in Fig. 4. (a) Cidarid urchins, (b) *Mohnia vernalis* (Gastropoda), (c) Ophiuroidea, and (d) *Cystechinus loveni* (echinoid)](image_url)
DISCUSSION

Effects of ocean acidification on abyssal scavengers

The potential effects of elevated ocean carbon dioxide levels include reduced calcification, respiratory stress, acid–base imbalance, metabolic depression, and potentially CO₂-induced torpor (Pörtner et al. 2004b, Raven et al. 2005). Although knowledge of the effects of high CO₂ tensions on deep-sea fishes is poor, tolerance is known to vary among species, perhaps related to their ability to control osmoles (Ishimatsu & Kita 1999, Ishimatsu et al. 2005). We have observed Coryphaenoides armatus to swim in very close proximity to pools of liquid CO₂, but their tolerance to long-term ocean acidification is unknown. CO₂-related stress may have contributed to the death of the caged macrourids positioned near CO₂ pools (n = 3 in these experiments), but this could not be evaluated, since all caged macrourids died, including those within cages at control sites with no CO₂ stress (n = 3). Unfortunately, it appears that mortality associated with cage-related stress was sufficiently high that all study animals died, precluding a comparison of survival rates for macrourids exposed to hypercapnic and normocapnic waters.

In contrast to Coryphaenoides armatus, all caged individuals of the zoarcid Pachycara bulbiceps and the octopus Benthoctopus sp. survived exposure at CO₂ treatment sites. P. bulbiceps was potentially exposed to the most severe stress due to its position on the seabed and the slightly negative buoyancy of the CO₂ dissolution plume. In addition, pH perturbations were likely larger during E1 for P. bulbiceps held in cages 0.5 m from the CO₂ pool. The pH sensors failed at this distance, but data from other experiments indicate that pH likely dropped by 1 U or more. Thus, P. bulbiceps is probably tolerant of more severe bouts of elevated CO₂ levels than indicated by the pH data presented here, which were collected 5 m from CO₂ pools. Overall, we placed 16 P. bulbiceps at distances from CO₂ pools of 0.5 to 5 m, where pH perturbations were episodic, but ranged from near –0.1 to >–1.0 U, and 6 ind. at control sites with no CO₂. All P. bulbiceps survived both month-long experiments. This confirms that the impaired physiological performance observed in cellular preparations of its shallower congener, Pachycara brachycephalum, during in vitro studies with pH perturbations near –0.6 U (Langenbuch & Pörtner 2003) does not characterize the sensitivity of the intact organism. Deep-sea cephalopods, including Benthoctopus sp., are known to be highly sensitive to even small changes in pH (Pörtner et al. 1998, Seibel & Walsh 2003). Thus, it is somewhat surprising that the caged Benthoctopus sp., as well as 2 others that have been in cages near CO₂ pools, survived the month-long period within the cage. Further studies are required to evaluate the metabolic costs of CO₂ tolerance and long-term consequences of increasing ocean acidification for deep-sea animals.

Mortality of caged macrourids

Death of macrourid fishes after 2 to 3 d within cages on the abyssal seafloor was an unexpected, yet serendipitous event, providing an opportunity to observe the response of the abyssal scavenger assemblage, including conspecifics and congeners, to the odor plume following the caged macrourids’ deaths. Several factors may have contributed to their death, including the stress of confinement inside cages, increased exposure and interactions with potential predators (e.g. Benthoctopus sp., lysianassid amphipods), and the exposure to the CO₂-rich dissolution plume. Macrourids use a sensory barbel for gustation and mechanoreception while swimming in a somewhat head-down position (Bailey et al. 2007). This typical swimming behavior, along with their lack of experience with walls of any sort, may compromise their ability to detect the gaps in the cage mesh, leading to injury and death. Although each of these factors may have contributed, high mortality rates of caged macrourids have been observed by other investigators (Bailey et al. 2002).

Response of scavengers to the macrourid odor plume

The most striking result of the time-lapse observations was the indifference or possible avoidance of the odor plume by macrourids common at the study sites (mainly Coryphaenoides armatus). C. armatus was observed frequently at the study sites prior to the experiment and is a common scavenger at these depths. Baited cameras in some areas and at some depths have not been visited heavily by macrourids. Macrourids were abundant in images at 1900 m, but were not observed at 4040 m over shark carcasses deployed in the Arabian Sea (Witte 1999). Results of video surveys at the sites largely mimicked those from the baited camera, with no macrourids observed at 4040 m depth. Thus, the local scavenger species pool did not include...
macrourids at Witte’s abyssal site. In contrast, Coryphaenoides armatus is one of the most abundant benthopelagic fishes at our study site, based on non-quantitative observations during ROV dive operations, and was readily captured in cages baited with mackerel.

At least 4 hypotheses may explain the avoidance or low response of Coryphaenoides armatus or other macrourids to the death of the caged C. armatus. First, grenadiers may have avoided the area due to the presence of the CO₂-rich dissolution plume. This explanation is not supported by the combination of pH and image data and ROV observations during the experiments. Macrourids were observed frequently during the initiation of the experiment and were the only scavenging fish to be observed near the CO₂ in E3, frequently swimming near CO₂ pools during ROV operations (J. Barry pers. obs.). Observations of the indifference exhibited by bathyal scavengers exposed to CO₂-rich seawater and odor plumes (Tamburri et al. 2000) also does not support this hypothesis. Although the CO₂-rich dissolution plume may have contributed to the death of the caged macrourid, this is not possible to evaluate since caged macrourids (2 in E1 and 1 in E2) positioned in control areas 50 to 100 m from CO₂ pools (where pH perturbations were undetectable) also died.

Second, macrourids may remain near food falls only in cases where access to the carcass is possible. The location of the macrourid carcass within the fish trap precluded feeding by macrourids visiting the cage. They may reduce risk of injury or death by leaving a site once they determine that feeding is difficult or impossible, regardless of the odor plume. This hypothesis is not supported by the observation of equal or fewer visits during the Live than Scavenging period in E2. Because it would require some period of time to assess feeding potential, an odor plume, if attractive, should result in higher attendance near the bait (and in images). The observed decrease or unchanged abundance of macrourids during the scavenging period tends to refute this hypothesis. The higher frequency of visits during the Live phase of E1 was likely related to the presence of some original bait remaining in the cage, which was not removed at the beginning of the experiment as in E2.

Third, the nutritional composition of the bait is likely to affect both the size and character of the odor plume, thereby influencing the response of the scavenger guild. It is possible that the odor plume from macrourids as bait is both smaller and less appealing to scavengers due to its lower lipid and protein concentrations, compared to those of shallow-water fishes or cetaceans. Depth-related trends in the composition of fish tissues have been reported by several investigators, but remain somewhat controversial. Bailey & Robison (1986) note a decrease in lipid, caloric, and protein content among fishes with depth. Closer examination of depth-related patterns among feeding type and position (i.e. benthic or benthopelagic) of demersal fishes revealed that the depth-related trends are coupled to mobility rather than food availability (Drazen 2007), with major changes in nutritional composition restricted largely to shallower, sunlit waters. Although the protein content of C. armatus tissue (~15.6%) is high compared to that of most demersal fishes at bathyal and abyssal depths (Drazen 2007), it is lower than that found in fishes such as mackerel (~18%; Hardy & Keay 1972) or cetacean tissues (4 to 38%; Kannan et al. 1996). In addition, although the lipid-rich liver of C. armatus increases its total energy density, the lipid content of shallow-water species is far higher (e.g. mackerel tissue lipid ~15% [Hardy & Keay 1972], cetacean blubber lipid ~60% [Aguilar & Borrel 1990]). Thus, the caloric density available to scavengers from C. armatus is clearly lower than that in studies using shallow-water species as bait, and may have contributed to the pattern of macrourid scavenging observed in the present study and in the study by Lampitt et al. (1983). On the contrary, however, other typical scavengers (liparids, ophidiids, octopodids, zoarcids, lysianassids) appeared at baited cameras rapidly and abundantly in these studies, which does not support the hypothesis that the odor plume was deficient in some ways that would attract demersal scavengers.

Fourth, macrourids may either be unresponsive to or actively avoid the odor plume of conspecific or congener species. This could explain the reduced frequency of Coryphaenoides armatus observed during the Scavenging phase of E1 and the relatively constant number of macrourids observed throughout E2. We could find only 1 study (Lampitt et al. 1983) that used a macrourid (C. armatus) as bait for traps or camera studies of deep-sea scavengers. Their trap and camera deployments at the Porcupine Seabight in the North Atlantic attracted mainly lysianassid amphipods and the lipid fish Paraliparis bathybius. C. armatus was captured in the trap and was observed at the baited camera station, but in very low numbers. In contrast, camera systems baited with mackerel (Smith et al. 1997) or small cetaceans (Jones et al. 1998, Kemp et al. 2006) and deployed in the same area (23 to 315 km away) reported C. armatus as the overwhelmingly dominant scavenger. Collins et al. (1999) used bathyal toothfish Dissostichus eleginoides and squid as bait in camera systems deployed from 900 to 1750 m, in which toothfish visited the site, but did not consume either bait type. Other scavengers (hagfish, Myxineidae; stone crabs, Lithodidae; blue hake, Moridae; and amphipods) were much more abundant and consumed the
bait. Avoidance of conspecific odor plumes could confer a fitness advantage if it leads to higher survival, in spite of lost feeding opportunities at potentially dangerous sites. It is also possible that chemical releases from stressed, live animals, such as those held in cages during these experiments, could deter congeners from the area. Results from studies in shallow water, however, suggest that odors from conspecifics often deter attendance near bait (Moore & Howarth 1996 and references therein).

Non-macrourid benthic and benthopelagic megafauna were common in time-lapse images and increased greatly in abundance immediately after the death of the caged macrourids. Like grenadiers, zoarcids and ophidiids are common scavengers at deep-sea food falls in both the Pacific and Atlantic Ocean basins (Wilson & Smith 1984, Jones et al. 1998, Premke et al. 2003, Kemp et al. 2006). Pachycara bulbiceps is common near our study sites, based on ROV observations during the initiation of the experiments. It was observed frequently prior to the initiation of the experiments, and responded strongly to the death of Coryphaenoides armatus. Bassozetus nasus was observed several times during the Live period, but its response to the carcass lagged behind that of P. bulbiceps by several hours. It is possible that the odor plume was most intense near the seabed, where P. bulbiceps, a benthic species, would be more likely to encounter it than a benthopelagic species.

The pattern of visitation for Pachycara bulbiceps and Bassozetus nasus during the Scavenging period of E2 was somewhat contrary to expectations. The ophidiid B. nasus is a benthopelagic fish that typically swims or hovers above the seabed. The zoarcid P. bulbiceps is benthic and was usually motionless on the seabed. Assuming that it is principally a ‘sit and wait’ forager (Wilson & Smith 1984), due to its sedentary nature, its behavior near the cage would be expected to be similar to Bailey & Priede’s (2002) ‘sit and wait’ model (their Fig. 4). In their model, abundance rises rapidly to a peak, then drops abruptly following consumption of the bait. Instead, the pattern of visits for P. bulbiceps (Fig. 7) was much more similar to a crosscurrent foraging model (Priede et al. 1990, Bailey & Priede 2002), with a rapid rise in abundance and a rapid, but not precipitous, drop in abundance at the end of the scavenging period. Nor did B. nasus exhibit a pattern of abundance at the cage that was expected for its presumed foraging mode. Its benthopelagic mode suggests a cross-current foraging strategy, but its pattern of abundance (Fig. 7) more closely fits a ‘sit and wait’ model. Another consideration is the role of caged food falls in the area of the experiment, which could influence the pattern of scavenger visits at the camera location.

Changes in the relative abundance of scavengers suggest a successional sequence of exploitation, potentially driven by species-specific responses to the odor plume, interspecific interactions, or both. Successional changes in scavenger attendance at baited cameras have been detected for larger food falls, but rarely for small bait parcels consumed within hours. Owing to the long persistence and the heterogeneous resources available at whale falls, there is a well-documented succession of animals exploiting these sites (Smith et al. 2003). Smaller nekton falls can also have large effects on benthic communities, both from their role in carbon input as well as the physical disturbance to the seabed during active scavenging of the carcass (Smith 1985, 1986). Successional changes at food falls may also be motivated by predator avoidance or increases in predator abundance near aggregated prey (Smith 1985). Macrourids and liparids are known to consume lysianassid amphipods at food falls (Lampitt et al. 1983, Jones et al. 1998). Amphipods may be responsible for much of the consumption of some food falls, and fall prey to macrourids, liparids, zoarcids, or other predators. Typically, only large macrourids are photographed at bait (King et al. 2006), and only large specimens have carrion in their stomachs (Drazen et al. 2001), suggesting that small individuals are unable to compete for resources at food falls or actively avoid them due to predation risk. Ontogenetic increases in the size of the olfactory lobe of Coryphaenoides armatus indicate a shift from visual to olfactory cues (Wagner 2003), which may also influence the reduced response of small macrourids to odor plumes. By 4 to 9 d following their death, the macrourid carcasses, though visible in images, appeared largely consumed except for the skin, and were no longer attended by megafaunal scavengers. It is likely that smaller species, including lysianassids, ophiuroids, and molluscs, were still actively consuming the carcass, but were not visible in images. The odor plume appeared to have diminished to the point that no additional scavengers were attracted.

The Scavenging phase for macrourid carcasses in this study was considerably longer than has been observed in various other studies when a single mackerel was used for bait. We assume this is due to the limited access by megafaunal scavengers. The Benthoctopus sp. inside the cage had direct access to the macrourid carcass in E1 and appeared to feed upon it during the scavenging period, apparently moving the carcass within the cage. Other Benthoctopus sp. visiting the cage were observed to reach into the cage, apparently pulling the carcass toward them so that they may feed on it. Amphipods, sampled poorly by this study, had the greatest access to the carcass, and very likely consumed a significant portion of the carcass, as well as being fed upon by other scavengers.
Although our observations of scavenger responses to the macrourid odor plume support the hypothesis of aversion to odors from injured or dead conspecifics, our experiments were not designed to evaluate this hypothesis. Our test of this hypothesis lacks adequate controls, and the effects of odor plumes are confounded with those caused by the CO₂-rich dissolution plume from nearby CO₂ pools. Future studies of scavenger behavior should include observations of scavenger responses at several sites with and without macrourid bait, and in the absence of CO₂-laden waters. Integration of our understanding of factors influencing scavenger behavior with information concerning the impacts of exposure to CO₂-enriched waters will increase our abilities to forecast changes in deep-sea ecosystems under future changes in ocean chemistry.
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