INTRODUCTION

Little is known of the feeding ecology of cold-water corals, despite their widespread distribution and increased scientific interest in recent years (Roberts et al. 2006, 2009). *Lophelia pertusa* (Linnaeus 1758) is the most widespread reef framework-forming cold-water coral. It is generally found at water depths between 200 and 1000 m, making observational work difficult. However, submersible observations have shown *L. pertusa* feeding on live zooplankton (Henrich & Freiwald 1997), and laboratory experiments have shown it can feed on calanoid copepods and semi-pelagic crustaceans (Mortensen et al. 2001, Roberts & Anderson 2002). This suggests that *L. pertusa* is supported by surface production (Duineveld et al. 2004) rather than a chemosynthetic food chain, with recent spatial meta-analysis showing that cold-water corals are associated with areas where surface waters show above average primary productivity (Guinotte et al. 2006, Davies et al. 2008).
Fatty acid analysis has been used to determine prey species and trophic links for a number of marine organisms (e.g. Lee et al. 1971, Falk-Petersen et al. 1987, Graeve et al. 1997, Best et al. 2003), and is particularly useful for deep-sea organisms due to the challenge and expense of observational studies and the increased likelihood that ingested food will be expelled by animals collected at great depths (Feller et al. 1985). The fatty acid trophic marker technique is based on the premise that primary producers have a specific fatty acid signature that is transferred to primary consumers, and thence up the food chain, being modified at every step, but retaining a significant amount of information between steps (Dalsgaard et al. 2003, Iverson et al. 2004). However, it is possible that some fatty acids are biosynthesised or modified by the organism rather than transferred from food sources, as observed in studies on fatty acids in urchin gonads (Cook et al. 2000, Kelly et al. 2008).

As well as in the study of trophic interactions and the relative importance of prey items, fatty acid analysis has also been used to assess spatial variations in lipid signatures. For example, differences in the fatty acid signature of Antarctic krill Euphausia superba between areas were attributed largely to variations in algal biomass and likely dependence on polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA)-rich copepods (Cripps et al. 1999). In New Zealand, fatty acid differences in the green-lipped mussel Perna canaliculus between areas have been linked to changes in phytoplankton composition between 2 areas with differences in water temperature (Taylor & Savage 2006). Fatty acid techniques have also been used to study abyssal asteroid echnoderms where fatty acid signatures appeared to be directly related to species-specific feeding modes. Suspension-feeding species have a signature with high levels of PUFA, suggesting dependence on surface production (Howell et al. 2003). Many PUFA are synthesised de novo by phytoplankton and are highly conserved in herbivorous zooplankton (Sargent & Whittle 1981, Dalsgaard et al. 2003). PUFA are labile and tend to arrive in the deep-sea in the summer months, following spring blooms of phytoplankton, and are deposited in fresh organic matter. High proportions of PUFA were identified in some species of deep-sea holothurians, suggesting a close link to surface production (Hudson et al. 2004). Since PUFA are present in both phytoplankton and zooplankton, their presence alone cannot be used to determine the dietary source. Copepod species tend to store large quantities of lipids, mainly in the form of wax esters, and their presence, along with specific long-chain fatty alcohols within this fraction, strongly suggest copepods as a prey item when observed in an organism’s lipid profile (Lee et al. 1971, Kattner & Hagen 1995).

The majority of studies of lipid signatures in corals and anemones have focused on shallow-water zooxanthellate species. Shallow-water corals and anemones are relatively lipid-rich, with large stores of wax esters and triglycerides (Patton et al. 1977, Harland et al. 1991, Harland et al. 1993, Yamashiro et al. 1999). The accumulated wax esters are thought to be used in times of low food input, indicating a variable food supply (Lee et al. 1971, Falk-Petersen et al. 1981, Sargent & Falk-Petersen 1988). In endosymbiotic species, the fatty acids synthesised by zooxanthellae are mainly saturated fatty acids (SFA), which are transferred to the host in glycerol droplets (Patton et al. 1983). PUFA are also present in coral lipids and are thought to originate from external food sources, such as plankton, indicating the level of heterotrophy (Meyers 1979). More recent studies have found that zooxanthellae are able to synthesize PUFA and it is possible that they could be transferred to their hosts (Papina et al. 2005, Treignier et al. 2008). However, a greater proportion of unsaturated fatty acids are found in azyoxanthellate compared with zooxanthellate species (Patton et al. 1983, Latyshev et al. 1991, Yamashiro et al. 1999), suggesting that the majority of PUFA originate from external food sources. The relative proportion of PUFA also increases with depth, possibly suggesting a greater reliance on heterotrophic feeding at depth, although the effect of temperature on PUFA content has yet to be established (Yamashiro et al. 1999). The recorded δ13C values for zooxanthellate tropical coral tissue also show a change with depth, indicating a greater reliance on carbon from external sources rather than from zooxanthellae symbionts (Muscatine et al. 1989), although this has been shown to be influenced by other parameters including light (Reynaud-Vaganay et al. 2001, Omata et al. 2008).

Compared with zooxanthellate shallow-water corals, azyoxanthellate corals, especially those found in deeper, colder waters, have been less studied but some relevant studies have recently been completed. Mancini et al. (1999) identified novel hydroxypolyenoic acids in Lophelia pertusa and Madrepora oculata. Another study of these 2 species compared their fatty acid signature to that of suspended particulate organic matter (sPOM) (Kiriakoulakis et al. 2005). The corals had higher levels of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and fatty alcohols than the sPOM, suggesting a mesozooplankton food source. However, Kiriakoulakis et al. (2005) did not separate the lipids of cold-water corals into different classes. Studies at Galicia Bank and Rockall Bank recorded daily, seasonal and annual variations in phytodetritus and carbon flux to areas where L. pertusa occurs (Duineveld et al. 2004, 2007). The results from Galicia Bank suggested that the corals might feed on a mixed diet of phyto-
tius and zooplankton, whereas δ¹⁵N analysis at Rockall Bank revealed values similar to obligate filter feeders (Duineveld et al. 2004, 2007).

The aims of the present study were to quantify the major lipid classes in *Lophelia pertusa* and to identify the constituent fatty acids and alcohols to better understand likely food sources. Although previous studies have used δ¹⁵N analysis to estimate trophic level and have compared coral lipids to sPOM, detailed analysis of geographical variation in lipid content and signature has not yet been attempted. Here we compare the lipid signatures of *L. pertusa* between different areas: a relatively shallow inshore site, the Mingulay reef complex; a deeper coral carbonate mound on Rockall Bank; and seamounts off the eastern coast of the USA. This represents the most detailed study to date of the lipid and fatty acid composition of *L. pertusa* and is the first to draw seasonal and geographical comparisons.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study sites.** The lipid signature of 38 samples of *Lophelia pertusa* from 3 different geographical areas was analysed. A total of 17 samples were collected from an average depth of approximately 130 m at the Mingulay reef complex (Roberts et al. 2005, this Theme Section). The Mingulay reefs are in the Sea of the Hebrides, which separates the Scottish mainland from the Outer Hebrides (56° 49.38’ N, 7° 22.56’ W, Fig. 1). Samples were collected from the Mingulay reefs at different times of the year to determine seasonal difference in lipid signature. The RV ‘Calanus’ was used to collect samples in February (n = 3) and November (n = 4) 2005, the MY ‘Esperanza’ in May 2005 (n = 5), while samples from July 2006 (n = 5) were collected onboard the RV ‘Pelagia’ (Duineveld et al. 2006). Thirteen samples were collected in June 2006 from a deeper water site on the Rockall Bank, west of the UK, in the Logachev Mounds region at depths of approximately 900 m (Kenyon et al. 2003, Masson et al. 2003, van Weering et al. 2003, White et al. 2005) (Fig. 1). Finally, 8 samples were also collected in August 2005 from 2 seamounts in the New England Seamount chain: Manning (38° 20.00’ N, 60° 40.00’ W) and Rehoboth (37° 20.00’ N, 60° 00.00’ E), rising to water depths of 1312 and 1217 m, respectively (Fig. 1). The New England samples were collected from the RV ‘Ronald H. Brown’ using the ROVs ‘Hercules’ and ‘Argus’. Mingulay samples were collected using a video-directed van Veen grab and Rockall Bank samples were collected by box corer. Samples were stored on the ship at –20°C before being returned to the laboratory (within 12 d), where they were freeze-dried at
−60°C and then stored at −20°C before analysis (for up to 8 mo). Hydrolysis has been shown to occur when samples are frozen and stored for long periods (Ohman 1996); in the present study, samples were freeze-dried within 12 d of collection in order to reduce the possibility of hydrolysis.

**Lipid extraction and fatty acid analysis.** Coral polyp material (~5 g) was broken from each sample, ground and a portion (3 g) analysed for lipids. The remaining portion (2 g) was used to determine ash-free dry mass (AFDM) by placing in a muffle furnace (Nabertherm Controller B170) at 500°C for 4.5 h. The difference in mass before and after combustion gave the AFDM. Lipids were extracted, separated and analysed by standard methods (see online supplementary material) in order to study the major storage lipid classes: wax esters and triglycerides. Briefly, the lipids were extracted in chloroform:methanol, wax esters and triglycerides separated by thin-layer chromatography (TLC), both fractions were transesterified to give fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) and the wax ester fraction silylated to give the trimethylsilyl ethers of the fatty alcohol components. Wax ester and triglyceride fraction derivatives were each separated by gas chromatography (GC), identified on the basis of standard retention times, and quantified with respect to the internal standards added to the initial lipid extract and subsequent fractions. Where in doubt, double bond position was established by GC-MS of 4,4-dimethyl-oxazoline (DMOX) derivatives (Spitzer 1996).

**Statistical analysis.** The proportions and weights of total storage lipids (wax esters plus triglycerides) and their fatty alcohol and fatty acid components were compared geographically, and seasonally for the Mingulay samples, using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. In order to compare the relative proportions of the FAME and fatty alcohols in the wax ester fraction (ANOSIM, r = 0.057, p = 0.285) and triglyceride fraction (r = 0.168, p = 0.057) revealed no significant difference between months (Tables S1 & S2, in Supplement 1 available at: www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m397p113_app.pdf). Therefore, subsequent analysis of geographical differences used Mingulay samples pooled from all 4 mo and compared relative abundances of the lipid signature.

**RESULTS**

**Seasonal differences in lipid signature at Mingulay**

The wax esters were the largest fraction in all months. There were no significant differences in weights of the total storage lipids (Kruskal-Wallis, $H = 6.51$, df = 3, $p = 0.089$), the wax ester fraction ($H = 6.82$, df = 3, $p = 0.077$) or the triglyceride fraction ($H = 6.55$, df = 3, $p = 0.088$) between months. Analysis of the relative proportions of the FAME and fatty alcohols in the wax ester fraction (ANOSIM, $r = 0.057$, $p = 0.285$) and triglyceride fraction ($r = 0.168$, $p = 0.057$) revealed no significant difference between months (Tables S1 & S2, in Supplement 1 available at: www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m397p113_app.pdf). Therefore, subsequent analysis of geographical differences used Mingulay samples pooled from all 4 mo and compared relative abundances of the lipid signature.

**Geographical variations in lipid signature**

The total amount of storage lipids (mg g$^{-1}$) varied between New England, Rockall and Mingulay samples (Kruskal-Wallis, $H = 13.46$, df = 2, $p < 0.001$), with Mingulay samples having the highest values (Table 1). The total weight of the wax ester ($H = 13.62$, df = 2, $p < 0.001$) and the triglyceride fractions ($H = 8.43$, df = 2, $p < 0.05$) also varied between areas with the highest values found in the Mingulay samples. Wax esters were the largest fraction in all areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Storage lipid (%)</th>
<th>Weight (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Storage lipid (%)</th>
<th>Weight (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57.02 ± 6.98</td>
<td>32.09 ± 18.96</td>
<td>42.98 ± 6.98</td>
<td>22.58 ± 8.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockall</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62.01 ± 8.10</td>
<td>41.96 ± 28.08</td>
<td>37.99 ± 8.10</td>
<td>25.05 ± 20.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingulay</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>68.54 ± 6.62</td>
<td>87.18 ± 52.44</td>
<td>31.46 ± 6.62</td>
<td>37.18 ± 19.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. *Lophelia pertusa*. Mean values (±SD) for wax esters and triglycerides (% storage lipid, weight in mg g$^{-1}$ tissue dry weight) in samples collected from New England Seamounts, Rockall Bank and the Mingulay reef complex.
The predominant FAME within the triglyceride fraction of all samples was 16:0, followed by 20:5(n-3) (Table S3, in Supplement 1 available at: www.intres.com/articles/suppl/m397p113_app.pdf). Some differences appeared between areas with 18:1(n-9) and 20:4(n-6) the next most dominant FAME in the New England and Rockall samples, compared to the Mingulay samples where 22:1(n-11) and 20:1(n-9) were the next most dominant FAME. PUFA were the dominant category and the PUFA/MUFA ratio was similar between all 3 areas. The 22:6(n-3)/20:5(n-3) ratio was also similar between areas. The 18:1(n-9)/18:1(n-7) ratio was higher in both the New England and Rockall samples than the Mingulay samples.

The predominant FAME and fatty alcohols in the wax ester fraction of the samples were similar between New England and Rockall (Table S4, in Supplement 1 available at: www.intres.com/articles/suppl/m397p113_app.pdf). The dominant FAME was 18:1(n-9) followed by the fatty alcohols 16:0 and 22:1, in contrast to the Mingulay samples where the dominant wax ester components were the FAME 22:1 and the fatty alcohols 20:1 and 22:1 (Table S4). The MUFA component formed the majority of the wax ester fraction in the samples from New England, Rockall and Mingulay. The 22:6(n-3)/20:5(n-3) ratio was lowest in the Mingulay samples.

The MDS plots for the samples from different geographical areas show distinct separation in the relative proportions of FAME and fatty alcohols between areas for the wax ester fraction (Fig. 2a), a pattern most pronounced for the Mingulay samples. There is also some separation between the Rockall and New England samples. The MDS plot for the triglyceride fraction shows less separation in relative proportions of FAME for the different areas, although Mingulay samples are again distinct (Fig. 2b). The New England and Rockall samples overlap implying similarities between these 2 areas.

ANOSIM revealed significant differences in the fatty acid signature from different areas in the wax ester (r = 0.862, p < 0.01) and triglyceride fractions (r = 0.603, p < 0.01). Pairwise analysis of the data showed that there were differences between the New England and Rockall samples and the Mingulay samples in both the wax ester and the triglyceride fractions, and differences between New England and Rockall samples in the wax ester fraction (Table 2). The fatty acids/alcohols contributing to the observed differences between areas were identified using SIMPER analysis. The FAME 18:1(n-9), 22:1 and 20:5(n-3) and the fatty alcohols 22:1, 20:1 and 16:0 were the major contributors to the differences between all 3 areas (Table 3). In all cases the differences observed were caused by a large number of fatty acids and alcohols.

In the triglyceride fractions, 22:1(n-11), 20:5(n-3) and 20:1(n-9) were in greater abundance in the Mingulay samples, while 18:1(n-9) was more prevalent in the New England and Rockall samples (Table 4). These 4 FAME contributed nearly 50% to the differences between the Mingulay samples and those from New England and Rockall samples.
England and Rockall. There were no significant differences between the lipid signatures of New England and Rockall samples in this fraction.

**DISCUSSION**

**Seasonal analysis of the lipid signature of *Lophelia pertusa***

The amounts of the 2 lipid classes, wax esters and triglycerides, and the relative proportions of lipids showed no seasonal variation in the samples from Mingulay taken in February, May, July and November. Seasonal variations of lipid signatures in other marine invertebrates have previously been attributed to variations in the distribution of prey species (Cripps et al. 1999, Taylor & Savage 2006) and PUFA in particular have been seen to vary with the reproductive cycle (Pond et al. 1996, Rosa & Nunes 2002, 2003). A similar pattern might have been predicted for *Lophelia pertusa* since seasonal inputs of phytodetritus and copepods have been recorded in spring and summer months in areas where it occurs (Duineveld et al. 2004, Kiriakoulakis et al. 2004). In addition, *L. pertusa* from the Porcupine Seabight shows seasonality in reproduction, with the onset of gametogenesis in July and predicted release of gametes in January or February (Waller & Tyler 2005).

### Table 3. *Lophelia pertusa*. SIMPER analysis of the fatty acid methyl esters and fatty alcohols (as trimethylsilylated [TMS] ethers) in the wax ester fractions that contribute to at least 50% of the differences between the fatty acid signatures of samples collected from New England Seamounts and Mingulay reef complex, Rockall Bank and Mingulay reef complex, and New England Seamounts and Rockall Bank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>Average dissimilarity</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>% contribution</th>
<th>Cumulative%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New England vs. Mingulay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1(n-9)</td>
<td>19.57</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 22:1</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>16.89</td>
<td>34.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>45.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 20:1</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>56.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rockall vs. Mingulay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 22:1</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>27.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1(n-9)</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>39.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 16:0</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>50.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New England vs. Rockall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1(n-9)</td>
<td>19.57</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>18.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 22:1</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>29.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 16:0</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>38.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 20:1</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>46.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:5(n-3)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>52.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. *Lophelia pertusa*. SIMPER analysis of the fatty acid methyl esters in the triglyceride fractions contributing to at least 50% of the difference between the fatty acid signatures of samples collected from New England Seamounts and the Mingulay reef complex, and Rockall Bank and the Mingulay reef complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>Average dissimilarity</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>% contribution</th>
<th>Cumulative%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New England vs. Mingulay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1(n-11)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1(n-9)</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>26.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:1(n-9)</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>37.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:5(n-3)</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>44.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1(n-9)</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>50.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rockall vs. Mingulay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1(n-11)</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:5(n-3)</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>30.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1(n-9)</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>40.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:1(n-9)</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>48.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>55.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, within the lipid fractions there were some differences in the degree of fatty acid saturation between months. In the wax ester fraction, the amount of MUFA differed between months, with the lowest observed in July. In the triglyceride fraction, SFA and MUFA also varied between months. The lower MUFA values in July could be linked to the low availability of MUFA-rich copepods before they become more abundant in the late summer or autumn, but the differences between months do not follow a clear pattern. It is therefore difficult to determine whether these differences are due to variations in food supply or seasonal variations in metabolism.

The lack of an obvious seasonal pattern could be explained if Lophelia pertusa is able to modify its metabolism throughout the year in relation to food availability, as observed in Antarctic invertebrates (Peck et al. 1997, Brockington & Peck 2001, Fraser et al. 2002). If growth and respiration rates are lower in winter months, lipid levels could be maintained at near-constant levels throughout the year, despite a lower winter food input. Laboratory studies of L. pertusa respiration at different temperatures show its metabolic rate decreases dramatically with ambient temperature over the range experienced in the field (Dodds et al. 2007).

The present study focused on storage lipids in order to investigate food sources; however, future studies investigating seasonality should also include phospholipids and sterols because of their likely role in reproduction. Furthermore, studies of all lipid classes of Lophelia pertusa throughout seasonal cycles and in a number of locations would be required to produce a definitive seasonality study. These should be combined with histological studies of reproductive ecology and further investigation of seasonality in both food sources and metabolism.

**Lipid signature of Lophelia pertusa**

The tissue of Lophelia pertusa contained relatively large amounts of storage lipids, ranging from 5 to 12% of the AFDM of tissue, with the higher values recorded from the Mingulay reef complex. The upper end of the range is similar to levels of total lipids recorded in temperate anemones (10 to 13%; Harland et al. 1992b) and tropical scleractinians in the Red Sea (11 to 17%; Harland et al. 1993). These values are lower, however, than those recorded for Caribbean tropical scleractinians (24 to 35%; Harland et al. 1992a). The highest proportion of storage lipids was found in samples from Mingulay (12%) compared to those from Rockall (7%) and the New England Seamounts (5%). This could suggest a greater input of lipid-rich prey species and a strong link to surface production at the shallowest site examined, the Mingulay reef complex.

However, even at the deeper sites, Lophelia pertusa has a high lipid content derived from surface production. Particulate organic matter (POM) from deeper water sites in Rockall and Porcupine Seabight contained significant levels of PUFA, suggesting a strong link to surface production, albeit with variation between sites (Kiriakoulakis et al. 2007). Duineveld et al. (2004) also found a strong link to surface production at a cold-water coral area on the Galicia Bank (NW Spain), where they recorded phytodetritus as well as species of copepods and amphipods collected in near-bottom sediment traps. Variations in the metabolic requirements of corals at different depths could also cause differences in lipid storage within their tissue, reinforcing the need to study metabolism of corals collected from contrasting environments and depths. To date, only Dodds et al. (2007) have investigated respiratory physiology in L. pertusa using corals from just one area, the Mingulay reef complex.

In the present study, wax esters dominated the storage lipid signatures of Lophelia pertusa at all 3 study areas. This may imply dependence on wax ester-rich copepods since herbivorous calanoid copepods from high latitudes store large quantities of lipids as wax esters (Lee et al. 1971). L. pertusa contained an average of 62% wax ester, with the highest percentage in the Mingulay samples (69%). In contrast, shallow-water azooxanthellate corals typically have lower levels of wax esters and a diet more directly dependent on phytoplankton (Patton et al. 1983, Latsyhev et al. 1991, Yamashiro et al. 1999). Our understanding of cold-water coral metabolism is very limited and there is the possibility that L. pertusa could biosynthesise some fatty acids or fatty alcohols rather than obtaining them through the diet. For this reason results are interpreted cautiously.

As well as having significant proportions of wax ester, Lophelia pertusa had high proportions of MUFA, including the fatty acids 22:1 and 20:1 and their fatty alcohols. Calanoid copepods are the only organisms known to synthesise 22:1 and 20:1 fatty acids and alcohols de novo (Dalsgaard et al. 2003), suggesting that L. pertusa preys on these species. In contrast, shallow-water Tubastrea species had higher levels of PUFA than L. pertusa, although the composition was similar, including 20:5(n-3), 22:5(n-3) and 20:4(n-6) (Latsyhev et al. 1991). This high proportion of PUFA and the low MUFA content — other than 18:1(n-9) — led Latsyhev et al. (1991) to suggest that Tubastrea fed mainly on phytoplankton. Our findings indicate that L. pertusa is more carnivorous than these shallow-water azooxanthellate scleractinians. The lipid signature of L. pertusa is similar to the deep-sea suspension-feeding asteroids...
**Freyella elegans**, *Brisingella coronata* and *Brisinga endecacnemos*, which all contain high levels of PUFA as well as the copepod markers 20:1 and 22:1, suggesting a diet linked to the benthic-pelagic food web with copepods as an important prey item (Howell et al. 2003).

Both the present study and that of Kiriakoulakis et al. (2005) report high proportions of PUFA and MUFA in *Lophelia pertusa*. Kiriakoulakis et al. (2005) recorded a value of 1.13 for the relative abundance of PUFA divided by the relative abundance of MUFA. Our results show the equivalent value in the trilayer fraction was similar (1.3), but was much lower in the wax ester fraction (0.2). Therefore, it seems that by not separating lipid classes, Kiriakoulakis et al. (2005) underestimated the importance of MUFA in the wax ester fraction. However, they did note the relatively large proportions of MUFA and, together with the difference in the ratio of the PUFA 22:6/20:5 between the corals and the sampled sPOM, suggested a mesozoo-plankton food source for *L. pertusa*. The present study reveals dominance of wax esters and high levels of MUFA in *L. pertusa*, suggesting significant food input from copepod species.

**Geographical variations in lipid signature**

The storage lipid signature of *Lophelia pertusa* varied between sites, suggesting possible differences in diet between samples from the shallow Mingulay Reef Complex and the deeper Rockall and New England Seamount sites. The different sites are likely to experience differences in conditions that could affect the lipid signature of potential prey and therefore could explain differences in the *L. pertusa* lipid profile. The mean temperature at the Mingulay site was 9.6°C, with a similar mean of 9°C at Rockall and a somewhat lower mean temperature of 4.7°C at the New England Seamounts. The similarity in lipid profile between *L. pertusa* at the Rockall and New England sites, despite the temperature differences, suggests that temperature is not the most important factor in determining lipid content of food supplied.

There are a number of lines of evidence that suggest differences in prey sources between the different sites. Firstly, *Lophelia pertusa* from Mingulay showed high levels of calanoid copepod lipid biomarkers: the MUFA 22:1 and 20:1 and their fatty alcohols. Together these fatty acids and their associated alcohols contributed >40% to the differences in the wax ester fraction between Mingulay samples and those from New England and Rockall. These fatty acids and alcohols were also present in the Rockall and New England samples, though in smaller proportions. Herbivorous calanoid copepods therefore may be a more important prey species for *L. pertusa* at the Mingulay reef complex compared with the deeper offshore bank and seamount sites.

In contrast, the storage lipid signature of *Lophelia pertusa* from New England and Rockall, with a high prevalence of the fatty acid 18:1(n-9) and the fatty alcohol 16:0, suggests greater dependence on omnivorous or carnivorous non-calanoid copepods compared to corals from Mingulay (Table 5). The 16:0 fatty alcohol is prominent in most copepods but tends to be more prevalent in non-calanoid species (e.g. *Metridia longa*) or in euphausids (e.g. *Thysanoessa inermis*), than in calanoid copepods (e.g. *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. hyperboreus*) (Sargent & Falk-Petersen 1981, 1988).

The lipids of non-calanoid copepods are dominated by fatty acid 18:1(n-9) and fatty alcohols 14:0 and 16:0 (Kattner et al. 2003). These fatty acids and alcohols tend to indicate omnivorous or carnivorous feeding modes (Sargent & McIntosh 1974).

Other differences included higher proportions of PUFA 20:5(n-3) in *Lophelia pertusa* from New England and Rockall compared with Mingulay. This PUFA comes from phytoplankton and is often found in herbivorous copepods, where it is an important component of structural membranes (Albers et al. 1996, Graeve et al. 1997, Kattner et al. 2003). It is often recorded with PUFA 22:6(n-3), which is present in *L. pertusa* from all areas in the present study, but in lower quantities. The low 22:6(n-3)/20:5(n-3) ratio we observed suggests that these PUFA may originate from diatoms rather than from dinoflagellates, and is similar to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid</th>
<th>Mingulay</th>
<th>Lophelia pertusa</th>
<th>Rockall</th>
<th>New England</th>
<th>Oithona similis</th>
<th>Calanus finmarchicus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:1(n-9)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1(n-11)</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatty acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1(n-9)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:1(n-9)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:1(n-11)</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. *Lophelia pertusa*, *Oithona similis* and *Calanus finmarchicus*. Relative proportions (%) of the dominant fatty alcohols and fatty acids found in *L. pertusa* from 3 different areas (Mingulay, Rockall and New England Seamounts; present study), a non-calanoid copepod *O. similis* (Kattner et al. 2003) and a calanoid copepod *C. finmarchicus* (Sargent & Falk-Petersen 1988)
The abundance and biomass of zooplankton is dependent on phytoplankton abundance and therefore tends to decrease with depth (Angel & Baker 1982). In situ measurements at the Mingulay reef complex have shown that fluorescent surface water is rapidly transferred to the reefs during tidal-period downwelling events (Davies et al. 2009). This strong link to surface production at the relatively shallow Mingulay site is likely to result in greater abundance of herbivorous copepod species, whereas the deeper sites of Rockall and New England may have a reduced input of surface production and more omnivorous and/or carnivorous copepod species. *Lophelia pertusa* from New England and Rockall also had lower lipid levels, suggesting lower total food input to these areas compared with Mingulay. The lack of a seasonal pattern in storage lipid signature at Mingulay suggests seasonality is not a factor in the differences in storage lipid levels between sites.

There are no published studies on the plankton species of the Sea of the Hebrides, where the Mingulay reef complex is found. However, a long-term fjordic monitoring programme in Loch Ewe on the west coast of Scotland has recorded high numbers of calanoid copepods, especially *Calanus helgolandicus*, which occurs mainly in the late summer (FRS 2007). *C. finmarchicus* was also present in lower numbers, as were small calanoid species and small non-calanoid (cyclopoid) copepods, including *Oithona* species (FRS 2007). When plankton species on the west coast of Scotland were compared geographically, large oceanic calanoid species became more abundant with increasing distance from the coast (Mauchline 1987). It is therefore possible that zooplankton populations around the Mingulay reef complex contain more oceanic calanoid species than the coastal waters of Loch Ewe. These species are potentially important prey for *Lophelia pertusa* at Mingulay and likely contribute to high levels of wax esters and calanoid copepod lipid biomarkers.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The fatty acid composition of *Lophelia pertusa* implies a link to surface production. Copepod species appear to be a dominant food source and the geographical variations in storage lipid signature are likely to be related to variations in copepod prey species. The reduced storage lipid levels in New England and Rockall corals could imply differences in food availability and metabolism. It is therefore likely that *L. pertusa* is an opportunistic feeder able to exploit the dominant copepod species in a particular area. In recent years, changes in the distribution of copepods have been observed and related to increased

---

**Distribution of copepod species**

Further studies on the lipid signatures of zooplankton samples collected at the study sites would be useful to support the data from *Lophelia pertusa* lipid analysis. This was not possible in the present study, but the data presented here will be extremely useful for future studies of zooplankton lipid profiles in cold-water coral areas. The lipid analysis of *L. pertusa* suggested that there may be differences in copepod prey between Mingulay and the 2 deeper sites, New England and Rockall, and it is possible that this could relate to the distribution of copepod species. High concentrations of non-calanoid copepods are found in shelf regions (Paffenhofer et al. 1987): in older, upwelled water on the southeast continental shelf of the USA (Paffenhofer 1993), on the Cape Hatteras shelf (Verity et al. 1996) and in the Porcupine Seabight to the west of Ireland (Hillgruber et al. 1997). The importance of small non-calanoid copepods in zooplankton communities has recently received increasing attention (Turner 2004). Despite their small size, the high abundance of non-calanoid copepods (e.g. Oithonidae) can produce an equivalent biomass to that of calanoid species.

The geographical variation of Arctic amphipod species may relate to the feeding preference of certain species for calanoid copepods, while others prefer to feed upon omnivorous or carnivorous copepods found in greater abundance in deeper waters (Auel et al. 2002). This implies that copepod species vary with depth and consumers will exploit the species present at different locations and depths. Therefore, it seems possible that the difference in depth between areas where *Lophelia pertusa* was sampled in the present study could explain the differences in copepod prey species.
seawater temperature caused by climate change (Reid et al. 1998, Beaugrand et al. 2002, Beaugrand & Reid 2003). *L. pertusa* shows dramatic increases in respiration rate with relatively small changes in temperature (Dodds et al. 2007). It is possible that the current prey species of *L. pertusa* in particular areas will change in the coming decades. Further biomarker and feeding trial studies are needed to investigate how dependent *L. pertusa* is on the prey species identified in the present study and how adaptable this habitat-forming coral may be to future changes in seawater temperature and zooplankton distribution.
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