ABSTRACT: Polyembryony, the splitting of a single sexually produced embryo into many clonal copies, seems to involve a disadvantageous combination of sexual and asexual reproduction, but persists in a diverse range of organisms. It has been suggested that embryonic cloning in cyclostome bryozoans (colonial, sessile marine invertebrates that mate by the release, dispersal and uptake of water-borne sperm) may be a response to sperm limitation. The cyclostome *Crisia denticulata* inhabits subtidal rock overhangs. Cloned larvae are produced by a colony in a series of independent brood chambers (*gonozoooids*). Offspring from different brood chambers are genetically distinct and are, thus, the outcome of separate fertilisations. We investigated the possibility that sperm limitation reduced female reproductive success at low population density, by assessing the relationship between local colony density, as a proxy for sperm supply, and the number of brood chambers possessed by colonies, as a proxy for fertilisation success. In the patchily distributed population of *C. denticulata* we studied, the number of brood chambers varied enormously between colonies of the same size, and large colonies entirely lacking brood chambers were frequent, suggesting the occurrence of low fertilisation success within many colonies. However, in colonies with broods, only 17% of the variation in the number of broods per colony could be explained jointly by colony weight and local population density score, with population density being a non-significant predictor in the model. This suggests that sperm supply, as such, does not strongly influence female reproductive success and may, therefore, not be important for the maintenance of polyembryony, at least in the studied population. The wide variation in allocation to female function still requires explanation.
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with acting female zooids through the feeding current (Temkin 1994), but sperm collection in the Cyclostomata has not yet been described. Oogenesis in cyclostome bryozoans has been studied most fully within the genus *Crisia*, in which branching erect colonies consist of rigid internodes of several zooids in a biserial arrangement, joined by flexible, non-calcified joints (nodes). Many oogonia initially form in the developing internode, but most degrade, their host zooids differentiating into autozooids, which produce sperm; on some internodes a developing zooid (rarely >1) differentiates into a gonozooid, in which the lophophore is transitory (Borg 1926, Ryland 2000). Within the expanded brooding space of the cyclostome gonozooid, polyembryony occurs when a primary embryo repeatedly buds off clumps of cells that, with slight variation between taxa, develop into independent larvae (Harmer 1893, Robertson 1903, Borg 1926). A single gonozooid of *C. denticulata* can release 10s of larvae upon dissection, and earlier developmental stages are generally also present, indicating ongoing production of young (authors’ unpubl. obs.); Borg (1926) notes the common production of >100 embryos and larvae from the primary embryo of *Crisiella producta*. Molecular techniques have confirmed that embryos within individual gonozooids of *Crisia denticulata* are genetically identical, but different gonozooids on the same colony house genetically distinct embryos, resulting from separate fertilisation events (Hughes et al. 2005).

Several circumstances have been suggested that should favour the evolution and maintenance of polyembryony in animals, but most of these do not seem applicable to algae, plants, or colonial animals, such as cyclostome bryozoans, that mate at a distance by the remote transfer of male gametes (see Pemberton et al. 2007). More applicable explanations envisage polyembryony as making ‘the best of a bad job’ in the face of constraints on mating opportunities (Craig et al. 1997). Data from a study of *Crisia denticulata* failed to support a suggestion by Ryland (1996) that polyembryony might be a response to limited gene flow in sessile species in which ‘potential mates will differ little, and sexual reproduction may produce larvae with genotypes no less fit within the immediate vicinity than their parent’. A large proportion of the total genetic variability encompassed by 2 widely separated populations of *C. denticulata* was present within patches of colonies in small-scale rock overhangs (Pemberton et al. 2007); thus, potential mates would not be genetically similar.

Ryland (1996) also predicted (echoing an earlier suggestion made for red algae with aflagellate gametes by Searles 1980) that low sperm output from typically small cyclostome colonies, when combined with a sparse adult distribution, gave a low probability that a colony could capture enough sperm to fertilise all the eggs it could potentially produce. This appeared to tie in well with reports in the contemporary literature of sperm-limited reproductive success in many free-spawning taxa because of rapid sperm dilution (e.g. Levitan & Petersen 1995). Here, we address the suggestion by Ryland (1996) that polyembryony is advantageous because it enables cyclostomes to replicate the zygotes resulting from the capture of relatively few sperm. In circumstances where sperm were limiting, the level of brooding would reflect sperm supply. We test the prediction that the number of brood chambers (gonozooids) decreases as sperm become limiting at low population density in *Crisia denticulata*. If so, this would support Ryland’s (1996) premise that sperm limitation is important for the maintenance of polyembryony.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Data collection.** Colonies of *Crisia denticulata* were collected by wading and snorkelling at Wembury, near Plymouth, Devon, England (UK national grid reference SX518482). *C. denticulata* were found on the underside of rock overhangs in the shallow subtidal. Individual overhangs were ca. 1 to 6 m in length and ca. 0.5 to 2 m from top to bottom. Each overhang, which might contain one to several thousand colonies, was separated horizontally from adjacent overhangs by ca. 2 to 30 m.

Colonies collected during 2001 (August to October) were those also studied by Pemberton et al. (2007) and were spatially mapped, allowing estimation of local colony density. The location of collected colonies within individual overhangs was established from the $x$, $y$ position of $5 \times 5$ cm divisions on strung quadrats held against the rock wall. The density of *Crisia denticulata* across all sampled overhangs was estimated at the level of the $5 \times 5$ cm quadrat divisions (score 0 = no colonies present; 1 = <50% cover of *C. denticulata*, generally 1 or 2 colonies; 2 = >50% cover, generally 3 or more colonies). Focal colonies for further analysis were collected, transported individually back to the laboratory and preserved in ethanol as described by Hughes et al. (2005). Further details of the collection sites, including maps, are provided by Pemberton et al. (2007).

Colonies from 11 overhangs representing a range of local densities were haphazardly selected from the ethanol-preserved 2001 collections. Only specimens with well-defined holdfasts were included to ensure the complete colony was measured. Following the removal of epifauna and any other adherent material, colonies were dried at room temperature and weighed
on an analytical balance. Autozooids made up the vast majority of the weight of a colony, with a much smaller proportion being composed of rhizoids (a further zooidal polymorph with a purely structural function). Gonozooids contributed an insignificant proportion to the total weight, so they were not removed before weighing, nor were final weights adjusted. Regression analysis of a small preliminary data set showed autozooid number to be highly correlated with colony weight ($r^2 = 0.986$). There were approximately 120 autozooids mg$^{-1}$ dry weight. Numbers of gonozooids were recorded. An index of local population density was estimated by adding the 0, 1, or 2 density scores of the relevant $5 \times 5$ cm quadrat divisions surrounding each focal specimen. (The score of the $5 \times 5$ cm square in which the focal colony itself fell was not adjusted, i.e. the focal specimen was included in this calculation.) This potentially allowed estimates of local population density at various spatial scales to be made. However, independent investigation of density dependence across a range of within-overhang spatial scales was not possible, as density measures were highly positively correlated (e.g. $0.25 \times 0.25$ and $1 \times 1$ m sided squares, $r_s = 0.918$, $p < 0.0001$). Therefore, we consider here only the summed scores within a $1 \times 1$ m square surrounding the focal individual (total area = $1 \text{ m}^2$).

A supplementary collection was made from March to June 2002, but without mapping of colonies to allow estimation of local population density. The weight and number of brood chambers of colonies was determined as for the 2001 samples.

**Statistical analysis.** Following data collection it became clear that the majority of colonies lacked gonozooids (see 'Results'). Such a dominance of zero values deviated from normality assumptions of parametric statistics and presented an unsuitably large number of ties for rank-based non-parametric tests. Statistical analysis, therefore, progressed in 2 stages. The initial analysis investigated differences in weight and (for 2001) local density between colonies with and without gonozooids. The second part to the analysis focused solely on colonies from the 2001 collection that possessed gonozooids, to explore any possible 3-way relationship between local density, colony weight and gonozooid number.

**RESULTS**

**Data from collections in 2001**

Local density varied considerably, from isolated individuals to dense stands of *Crisia denticulata*; the summed density scores for a $1 \text{ m}$ square around a focal colony ranged from 1 to 108. Colony dry weight varied by $>2$ orders of magnitude (0.0022 to 0.4581 g); 88 of the 134 colonies scored (i.e. 66%) possessed no gonozooids, and these non-brooding colonies occurred across almost the entire density range; the maximum number of gonozooids on a single colony was 84 (Fig. 1A).

Colonies that lacked gonozooids weighed less (Mann-Whitney, $W = 4230.5$, $p < 0.0001$), i.e. had fewer autozooids, and were found at lower local density (Mann-Whitney, $W = 4777.5$, $p = 0.0112$) than those colonies possessing 1 or more gonozooids. However, in the 43 colonies that did possess gonozooids and for which a density score was available, no relationship existed between weight and local density ($r = 0.080$, $p = 0.611$). For these colonies, multiple regression revealed a statistically significant overall relationship between log gonozooid number (as the dependent variable), local density and weight (ANOVA, $F = 5.33$, $p = 0.009$; regression equation: log gonozooids = 0.504 + 0.00343[density] + 1.79[weight]) (Fig. 2). However, the trend was extremely weak, with both independent variables together accounting for only 16.9% ($= R^2_{adj}$) of the variation in log gonozooid number. Local density was a non-significant predictor in the multiple regression ($t = 1.69$, $p = 0.098$), while the effect of colony weight was significant ($t = 2.63$, $p = 0.012$).
Data from collections in 2002

Fifty-one colonies were scored. Colony weight varied 19-fold (0.0149 to 0.2873 g); 29 of the 51 colonies scored (i.e. 57 %) possessed no gonozooids; the maximum number of gonozooids on a single colony was 99 (Fig. 1B). Colonies that lacked gonozooids were not different in weight from those possessing 1 or more gonozooids (Mann-Whitney, \(W = 580.0, p = 0.8866\)).

A ranking of the combined 2001 and 2002 colonies by weight was divided into 9 groups with increasing weight, each of 20 or 21 colonies. With increasing weight a clear increase in the proportion of colonies with brood chambers was evident (binary logistic regression, the probability that slope = 0 was <0.001) (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, 35 to 60 % of the colonies above approximately 50 mg (ca. 6000 autozooids) lacked brood chambers.

**DISCUSSION**

Although in some species the zooids are single-sex, bryozoan colonies as a whole are hermaphroditic (Ryland & Bishop 1993). Gonochorism (i.e. single-sex colonies) has been reported in a very few bryozoan species (e.g. Robertson 1903), but in these cases the alternative of sequential hermaphroditism requires careful assessment (Borg 1926). A striking feature of the data presented here for _Crisia denticulata_ is the large proportion of colonies that lack brood chambers altogether, even in the largest size categories. A similar observation (framed as the finding of equal numbers of similar-sized colonies with and without ovijects [i.e. gonozooids]) was presented as evidence of gonochorism in _Crisia franciscana_ in the published abstract by Beauchamp (1984). Three possible patterns of gender allocation with colony growth are presented in Fig. 4. The data for _C. denticulata_ resemble Pattern A, in which female investment ranges from zero to high levels across the range of post-maturation colony sizes. Thus, _C. denticulata_ colonies with no, one, or only a few brood chambers are present at relatively large colony size (Fig. 1). Although colonies of _C. denticulata_ without brood chambers could logically be referred to as male (presuming they do produce sperm), they are probably better regarded as the extreme of a continuum of female investment within a hermaphroditic population. It is not known whether the observations underlying Beauchamp’s brief report on _C. franciscana_ related to a situation like Pattern A in Fig. 4, or to Pattern C, in which a clear separation between fully male and fully female sexual investment exists. However, our own data gave very little support for the existence of truly separate sexes in _C. denticulata_.

The extreme variability in levels of female investment in _Crisia denticulata_ requires explanation. The probability that a colony has any brood chambers at all is clearly influenced by colony size (Fig. 3), and, with a single exception, colonies with a dry weight <25 mg (n = 39) did not possess brood cham-
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Suggesting that the smallest colonies analysed were predominantly immature as females. Colony weight was a significant predictor of the number of brood chambers per colony in the 2001 data set (with the statistical analysis constrained to those colonies with 1 or more chambers). Nevertheless, the wide variation in the number of brood chambers per colony, even when comparing colonies of similar size, is notable in both the 2001 and 2002 data (Fig. 1). The main possibility we wished to investigate here was that the brooding activity of colonies was influenced by the sperm supply experienced by each, under the hypothesis that the most isolated colonies are undergoing sperm limitation, restricting the production of broods.

Local population density surrounding each focal specimen varied considerably from the absence of neighbours to dense stands of C. denticulata. This could have partially explained the variation in brooding observed and would relate to the maintenance of polyembryony within the cyclostomes. In fact, there was very little indication of an effect of estimated colony density on the number of brood chambers in colonies possessing any chambers at all, although colonies without brood chambers occurred at a lower median density than those with chambers. It seems that, at most, local population density, as estimated here, had only a minor effect on female reproductive investment. Thus, our study of the effect of local density in this population of C. denticulata presents little direct evidence that sperm limitation is a likely explanation for polyembryony.

Since Ryland (1996) speculated on the possible role of polyembryony in counteracting sperm limitation, evidence has been accumulating that the dynamics of fertilisation in species that, like Crisia denticulata, retain their eggs and release sperm into the surrounding water—so-called spermcast mating species (Pemberton et al. 2003, Bishop & Pemberton 2006) or egg-brooding free-spawners (Johnson & Yund 2004)—may differ greatly from the external fertilisation models based largely on broadcast-spawning echinoderms (McCartney 1997, Bishop 1998, Yund 2000, Pemberton et al. 2003, Johnson & Yund 2004, Bishop & Pemberton 2006). The essence of Ryland’s (1996) argument that sperm production in cyclostome bryozoans will be low compared to the better studied large-bodied external fertilisers is almost certainly correct. It is much less certain, given their ability to gather sperm from very low concentrations (e.g. Pemberton et al. 2003, Phillippi et al. 2004, Yund et al. 2007; for colonial ascidians and cheilostome bryozoans), that cross fertilisation is unreliable in suspension-feeding spermcasters. Accordingly, sperm limitation may not have been experienced within the range of local population densities investigated in the present study. However, caution may be required in extrapolating fertilisation data from other taxa, as direct tests have not been performed on any cyclostome species; cyclostomes have a relatively simple form of filter feeding (Nielsen & Røsgård 1998), which may have significance for efficiency of sperm capture and avoidance of sperm ingestion (J. S. Ryland pers. comm.). Also, some species of cyclostome are found at much lower population densities than C. denticulata (Hayward & Ryland 1985, J. S. Ryland pers. com.). Future work should address different spatial scales of potential density dependence, both in natural populations and artificial experiments, to test experimentally at what level of sperm supply female reproductive output becomes compromised.

Fig. 4. Some possible patterns of gender allocation in an organism such as Crisia denticulata. Thick line along the x-axis represents colonies lacking gonozooids. Dashed vertical line represents onset of sexual maturity, here presumed to occur at a set colony size. (A) Simultaneous hermaphroditism accompanied by extreme variability in female investment, resulting in a proportion of colonies lacking gonozooids at all sizes. (B) Simultaneous hermaphroditism accompanied by only moderate variability in female investment, so that all post-maturity colonies have at least some gonozooids. (C) Gonochorism (with moderate variability in female investment, F); post-maturity colonies without gonozooids are males (M)
In searching for an explanation for the prevalence of polyembryony within the group, we cannot completely discount the possibility that this reproductive mode was an adaptation in the common ancestor of cyclostome bryozoans and that its persistence is attributable to phylogenetic constraint (Hughes et al. 2005). However, the trade-off between the number of gonozooids per colony and the number of cloned larvae produced per gonozooid would appear highly susceptible to adjustment over evolutionary time. If polyembryony were an evolutionary relic, presently disadvantageous because of the lack of genetic diversity amongst progeny, the routine production of multiple gonozooids each producing only a few larvae (or ultimately 1) would be predicted, given sufficient sperm supply. In fact, despite the absence of a clear effect of local density, the overall pattern of occurrence of gonozooids within the study population of *C. denticulata* indicates that fertilisation success within a colony is often low, suggesting that the ‘amplification’ of relatively rare fertilisations remains a viable explanation for the current maintenance of polyembryony in cyclostomes. A parallel argument is provided by Ryland (2000), who reported considerable variation in the position of gonozooids along fertile internodes of various *Crisia* species, including *C. denticulata*, in contrast to the statement by Borg (1926). On this basis, Ryland suggested that the development of gonozooids was governed by rare fertilisation events.

The pattern of female investment noted here may not be restricted to *Crisia denticulata*; Harmer (1896, p. 72) remarked that, in most cyclostomes, ‘ovicells (gonozooids) are not present in a very large proportion of the colonies which may be examined’. This situation is not new. Studying fossilised encrusting cyclostomes from the Mesozoic era, McKinney & Taylor (1997, p. 552) noted: ‘Most colonies that reached the size at which reproduction could occur within their species failed to produce brood chambers.’ In 15 Mesozoic species, the percentage of colonies possessing brood chambers ranged from 2.5 to 88% (McKinney & Taylor 1997); the percentage for *C. denticulata* in the present study (37% over both data sets) falls near the centre of the distribution of values for the fossils. In contrast, Harmer (1896) reported that all colonies of the present-day species *Lichenopora verrucaria* possessed a brood chamber, the development of which was initiated very early in colony growth, at the 3-zooid stage. Clearly, the determinants of the very wide range of female investment in one species documented here and the exact nature and mechanism of gender allocation in criids and other cyclostomes require further study. Such work would benefit from a parallel investigation of sperm production by autozooids, which would involve techniques additional to the simple external observations reported here.
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