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ABSTRACT: Coastal zone ecosystems and the goods and services they provide are under increasing pressure from anthropogenic impacts. Climate change and demographic effects are particularly relevant, and it is critical to establish proper control systems (policies) to protect and conserve the wide-ranging benefits that these systems provide. The concept of ‘holistic assessment’, the Ecosystem Approach, is now being widely promoted, but the relationship between the science supporting this policy and the development of the policy itself is not always well-coordinated. This Theme Section discusses applications of science to coastal zone management and provides a critique of some approaches.
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The need for improved Coastal Zone Management (CZM) results from a strong societal requirement to control the behaviour of individuals and organisations that affect and exploit coastal marine ecosystems and their goods and services. This Theme Section, sponsored by the Marine Alliance for Science and Technology for Scotland, addresses some of the issues that arise from the often unequal development of science and science policy for coastal marine systems. The recognition of environmental impact can be slow and difficult to determine due to the complexities (and costs) involved in sampling and surveying marine systems, and the development of relevant policy for marine systems in general has lagged behind work on terrestrial habitats (Ruttenberg & Granek 2011, this Theme Section). A wide variety of stakeholders and users may need to be involved in policy decisions, since the advective movement of water and waterborne materials, including the biota, can spread problems rapidly among legislative control areas. This inherent complexity is set against the background of increasing environmental pressure on coastal systems that arises from demographic pressures, increased resource exploitation, and anthropogenic drivers such as pollution and global climate change. There is widespread recognition by local groups, national governments and international bodies that the delivery of benefits and services to society from coastal systems is under threat, and that the imbalance of knowledge between terrestrial and marine systems must be addressed. The concept of holistic system management, the Ecosystem Approach (EA), is gaining prominence for CZM. EA aims to enhance human well-being within a linked social and ecological system, based on principles of sustainable development. Hence the EA must be supported by improved description and a better functional understanding of coastal ecosystems (Holt et al. 2011, this Theme Section), which recognises that simple linear relationships between cause and effect are rare and that drivers of change may interact and vary in their effect depending on contextual circumstances. There is an urgent need to assess, provide and assure the science that is required to support policy and provide mechanisms to assess the outcome of different approaches. Many coastal systems are now being measurably affected by multiple stressors that reduce system resilience and enhance system decline: these present difficult management problems and uncertain futures (Gedan et al. 2011, this Theme Section). The coastal zone is exploited by many users, often with conflicting requirements, which makes the involvement of the widest possible community essential in the development of sensible management plans (Ruiz-Frau et al. 2011, this Theme Section). There is a difficulty in assimilating the required scientific data, and presenting this information in a manner suited to policy requirements. There is also the potential that policy development outstrips the ability of science to deliver the required fundamental basis for management decisions. Thus, in the context of marine ecosystem management, it is important to continually assess the data available and develop management approaches (Mora & Sale 2011, this Theme Section), aiming to translate research capability into policy as efficiently as possible (Cook et al. 2011, this Theme Section). In conjunction with the science required to provide information on the current status of ecosystems, there is also a need to interpret potential consequences of human activity and to develop methods of predicting how ecosystems and their associated biodiversity will respond in the future (Nobre et al. 2011, Townsend et al. 2011, both in this Theme Section). This on-going work is of increasing importance, as the impact of climate change and demographic pressures increasingly reduce the functionality of coastal systems. This Theme Section addresses modern approaches and critiques of CZM methodology.
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