ABSTRACT: La Paz Bay is a distinct region within the Gulf of California whose rich cetacean community exhibits an intense annual overturn. We studied the environmental conditions that could drive this change over the course of a year. Cetacean biomass was estimated from monthly surveys, with concurrent collection of water-column measurements of temperature, salinity, nutrients, chlorophyll a (chl a), and biogenic matter fluxes. The water-column structure showed 3 major conditions: deep mixing during winter, stratified isopycnal shoaling in spring and early summer, and deep stratification during late summer and autumn. Chl a and relative fluxes of biogenic silica and calcium carbonate indicated a seasonal succession of primary producers in response to the observed evolution of hydrography. During the periods of mixing and isopycnal shoaling, the bay provided suitable habitat for blue whales, bottlenose dolphins, and common dolphins, while fin whales, Bryde's whales, and short-finned pilot whales were numerically dominant during the period of stratification. To provide a regional context to the observed seasonality, we fitted temporal least-squares to an 11 yr monthly time series of satellite-derived wind, sea surface temperature (SST), and chlorophyll concentration (CHL). Within the bay, the SST followed the annual monsoonal shift in the wind, whereas CHL showed a bi-modal pattern, with a main peak occurring under mixing conditions in winter and a second peak under isopycnal shoaling in spring/early summer. The regional fitting suggested that the latter period was driven by a localized intra-seasonal phenomenon that could be responsible for the higher biological richness of the bay compared to the surrounding gulf.
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INTRODUCTION

Biological hotspots in the epipelagic zone have been described as areas where dynamic processes in the physical environment lead to enhanced productivity and aggregation of consumers relative to their surroundings (Palacios et al. 2006). In these areas, upwelling, mesoscale eddies, and fronts may act in concert with the local geomorphology to generate conditions that greatly promote the availability of
prey for large fauna (e.g. Wingfield et al. 2011). Although these hotspots are often detectable through remote sensing of the ocean’s surface, other areas that appear oligotrophic and devoid of dynamic features at the surface may also attract large feeding predators and even influence their migration patterns (e.g. Domeier et al. 2012). In such areas, the biological production maxima may be in the subsurface in the presence of a deep/sharp thermocline, underscoring the importance of measuring hydrographic and biological parameters, both at the surface and in the water column, for the characterization of biological hotspots.

The most productive areas of the Gulf of California (hereafter ‘the gulf’; Fig. 1) are located along its eastern (continental) side and in the northern region due to winter upwelling and tidal mixing, respectively (Lluch-Cota 2000). The southwestern gulf (peninsular side) is comparatively less productive, except for La Paz Bay (hereafter ‘the bay’), whose photosynthetic pigment concentrations remain high year-round compared to its surroundings, constituting an isolated spot of high phytoplankton biomass (Santa-maria-del-Angel et al. 1994, Luch-Cota & Teniza-Guillén 2000, Kahru et al. 2004). The bay sustains a diverse megafauna that includes at least 16 cetacean species of temperate, tropical, and subtropical affinities (Flores-Ramírez et al. 1996, Salvadeo et al. 2009). It also hosts a growing colony of California sea lions Zalophus californianus (Szteren et al. 2006) and is visited by whale sharks Rhincodon typus and spine-tail devil rays Mobula japonica, which arrive in winter, spring, and early summer to feed on zooplankton (Clark & Nelson 1997, Ketchum-Mejía 2003, Croll et al. 2012). The rich cetacean community exhibits a strong annual overturn, with migratory species such as blue whales Balaenoptera musculus and humpback whales Megaptera novaeangliae occurring in winter and spring, whereas species with more tropical affinities, like Bryde’s whales Balaenoptera edeni, bottlenose dolphins Tursiops truncatus, and short-finned pilot whales Globicephala macrorhynchus, occur mostly during the summer and autumn. Fin whales Balaenoptera physalus are resident in the gulf and, together with the common dolphins Delphinus spp. occur year-round (Flores-Ramírez et al. 1996, Salvadeo et al. 2009). The hydrographic conditions that support this cetacean diversity and underlie the species’ replacement have not been studied.

In the present study, we posit that seasonal forcing of oceanographic conditions in the bay, including surface mixing driven by northwesterly winds in winter (Badan-Dangon et al. 1991) and cyclonic circulation in summer (Monreal-Gómez et al. 2001, Sánchez-Velasco et al. 2006), lead to enhanced nutrient supply to the base of the food web, attracting low trophic level prey for planktivorous and piscivorous cetaceans. Warmer conditions in summer would, in turn, be favorable for the aggregation of higher trophic level prey looking for a suitable habitat to spawn near the coast (e.g. Staaf et al. 2008), attracting teutophagous cetaceans. To assess the specific hydrographic and biological conditions underlying species’ replacement in the cetacean community of the bay, we make use of water-column data collected as part of a multidisciplinary time-series investigation, aimed at examining monthly changes in physical structure, nutrient and chlorophyll a (chl a) con-
centrations, vertical fluxes of biogenic matter, and cetacean biomasses over the course of a year. The results are put in a regional context (i.e. the southwestern gulf) using time series of remotely sensed (satellite) measurements of surface wind, temperature (SST), and chlorophyll concentration (CHL).

Although direct measurements of the prey field would have probably enhanced our understanding of the relationships between cetaceans and the dynamics of their physical habitat in the bay, collection of such data was not possible due to logistical and financial constraints. Indeed, quantitative and comprehensive assessments of the intermediate trophic levels in the southwestern gulf are lacking. However, considering that most cetacean species must constantly search for food due to their high energetic needs (Trites et al. 1997, Barlow et al. 2008), we interpret their occurrence in the bay, at least in part, as a response to the prey availability, which is in turn aggregated by suitable physical and biological mechanisms. Further, the evolutionary and ecological adaptations of cetaceans to exploit specific types of prey, such as zooplankton, small pelagic fish, or squid (Pauly et al. 1998), facilitate such interpretation. This argument has been widely used to characterize cetacean habitats (e.g. Au & Perryman 1985, Hamazaki 2002, Doniol-Valcroze et al. 2007, Praca et al. 2009) as well as to model cetacean abundance as a function of predominant environmental conditions (e.g. Becker et al. 2010, 2012, Gerrodette & Eguchi 2011, Forney et al. 2012), even when there is no direct measurement of the potential prey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

La Paz Bay is the largest and deepest embayment in the Gulf of California (Fig. 1), with an area of ~2160 km² and a maximum depth of ~410 m. Water exchange with the surrounding gulf occurs mainly through the northern channel, called Boca Grande (Salinas-González et al. 2003, Obeso-Nieblas et al. 2004). The bay lies within a tropical-subtropical transition zone that seasonally alternates between 2 well-defined periods as a result of the monsoonal regime that dominates the entire gulf. The temperate season, from November to April (winter to spring), is dominated by strong northwesterly winds that enhance evaporation and increase the surface salinity, which induces deep vertical convection (i.e. sinking). More moderate southwesterly winds blow during the warm season, from May to October (summer to autumn). During this period, the water column receives the influence of tropical waters, the thermocline deepens, and the upper layer stratifies (Badan-Dangon et al. 1991, Adams & Comrie 1997, Bordoni et al. 2004).

Hydrographic and biological conditions

The changes in the physical structure of the water column and their influence on the base of the food web, through nutrient supply, help us to identify the predominant ecological conditions that attract different cetacean species at different times of the year. A number of physical, chemical, and biological variables were measured at an oceanographic station located over the deepest part of the bay (~410 m; Fig. 1). Between 17 February 2007 and 18 February 2008, 13 CTD profiles were taken to depths ranging from 50 to 340 m. Temperature, salinity, and density data were standardized to 1 m depth means. From these values, we computed the Brunt-Väisälä frequency (cycles h⁻¹; also known as buoyancy frequency), a measure of the degree of stratification (Wahl & Teague 1983). The depth of maximum buoyancy frequency in a profile corresponds to the depth of the pycnocline. At the same site, 13 profiles of Niskin-bottle samples were taken at discrete depths according to 6 levels of light penetration (0.1, 1, 10, 33, 55, and 100%) estimated from Secchi disc measurements following the Beer-Bourguer-Lambert law (Walker 1982, Bustillos-Guzmán & Lechuga-Devéze 1989). Concentrations of dissolved silica (H₂SiO₄⁻), phosphate (PO₄³⁻), and total dissolved inorganic nitrogen (NO₃⁻+NO₂⁻+NH₄⁺) were measured from these samples (Strickland & Parsons 1972), as well as the concentration of chl a (Ritchie 2008). Since measurements were taken at different times and in some cases different depth levels, we performed an objective interpolation of these variables using a Gaussian weighting function (Jalickee & Hamilton 1977, Boyer et al. 2005) with 30 d horizontal and 1 m vertical scales to represent the temporal evolution. All data were truncated below 100 m depth since preliminary evaluation of the results showed that most of the variability was concentrated above that level.

Biogenic matter fluxes

The sinking particulate matter is indicative of the nature of biogenic components and thus the ecological succession taking place in the upper layers
(Bishop 1988, Silver & Gowing 1991, Silverberg et al. 2006), which could trigger the incursion of different cetacean species according to their feeding requirements. We analyzed samples from a Technicap® PPS 3/3 trap of 0.125 m² aperture, which was anchored and suspended at ~310 m depth at the same site as the oceanographic measurements (Fig. 1). The sinking matter was collected in separate bottles during 7 to 15 d periods each and then fixed with a preservative solution of 4% buffered formaldehyde saturated with sodium tetraborate. The total mass flux, in g m⁻² d⁻¹, was estimated from 4 sub-samples, which were centrifuged for 25 min at 3000 rpm (~1600 × g), decanted, and washed with distilled water. The collected material was weighed after a 72 h drying period at ~50°C. The lithogenic fraction of the total flux was subtracted since our interest was only related to the biological processes. From the total biogenic fractions, we analyzed the proportions of biogenic silica, or opal (SiO₂·nH₂O), and calcium carbonate (CaCO₃).

**Local and regional seasonality**

Because of their great mobility, the incursions of different cetacean species into the bay could be the result of both local and/or regional conditions. Therefore, it was important to address the larger spatial context in which the hydrographic and biological conditions within the bay occur. Also, since the small sample sizes yielded by the present study (14 monthly data points) prevented us from quantitatively correlating cetacean densities to the monthly evolution of the water column within the bay, it was important to compare those conditions to longer time series of surface variables and put them in the spatial context of the southwestern gulf. We therefore characterized the seasonality of the entire region, from north of Loreto Bay to south of La Paz Bay (Fig. 2), using an 11 yr time series of remotely sensed SST and CHL as proxies for the physical and biological environment. The monthly CHL data came from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) aboard the satellite Orbview-2 (O’Reilly et al. 1998, 2000, Hooker & McClain 2000), with a pixel resolution of 1.39 km. The monthly SST data came from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) aboard NOAA satellites (Program Pathfinder 5.0; Walton et al. 1998, Casey & Cornillon 1999, Kilpatrick et al. 2001), with a spatial resolution of 4.89 km. Additionally, we used the monthly wind velocity data from the SeaWinds sensor aboard the NASA satellite QuikSCAT (Freilich 2000). Due to the coarser spatial resolution of this product (13.9 km), only the measurement point closest to the bay was used to compute the local seasonality since the other available nearby points were on land or too far from the bay. All remotely sensed vari-
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ables were obtained through the Environmental Research Division’s Data Access Program of NOAA, NMFS, Southwest Fisheries Science Center (http://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/erddap/index.html). A spatially explicit characterization of the seasonal cycle of the southwestern gulf (Fig. 2) was done by fitting temporal least-squares with annual and semi-annual harmonics (Emery & Thomson 1998, Ripa 2002) to the remotely sensed variables. Within the polygon of the bay (see map in Fig. 3), the temporal behavior of each variable was calculated using the same analyses, applied to the mean of all monthly values. The periods of maxima and minima resulting from the local (i.e. within the bay) CHL seasonal analysis were chosen to portray the results of the regional (i.e. southwestern gulf) analysis of SST and CHL (Fig. 2).

Cetacean population density

We conducted monthly visual surveys within the bay over a systematic zig-zag arrangement of transects (Fig. 3) aboard the 28 ft (8.5 m) RV ‘CICIMAR XV’ at ~18 km h⁻¹ between 6 February 2007 and 23 March 2008. Two trained observers simultaneously searched for cetaceans with the aid of 7 × 50 hand-held binoculars (Fujinon®FMTRC-SX) equipped with compass and vertical reticles, independently covering both sides of the transect line, from the front of the vessel to an angle of 90°. A team of 4 observers rotated every 40 min. Observations were made from a platform at 5.09 m effective visual height. The perpendicular distance (x) from the transect line to the sighting was calculated following Lerczak & Hobbs (1998). The animals were approached to confirm species identification only when they were within ~1.5 km of the transect line (i.e. closing mode technique; Dawson et al. 2008). Most of the large species were easily identified beyond this distance, whereas some dolphin schools remained unidentified as well as some whales recorded too far from the transect line. Search effort was suspended during the approach and the time spent with the animals as well as when the Beaufort sea-state was higher than 3.

Monthly population densities (individuals km⁻²) were estimated using distance sampling line-transect techniques (Buckland et al. 2001) by modeling a detection probability function g(x), based on the distribution of all perpendicular distances from the transect line to the groups sighted of each species. Since the cetacean surveys have continued within and outside the bay after the completion of the present study, we used all of the perpendicular distances available through April 2012 to improve the modeling of the detection functions (Fig. 4). We established a priori truncation points (w) based on the frequency distribution of distances. The effective half-strip width (μ) was estimated from the detection function to convert the linear effort into an effectively sampled area (Thomas et al. 2002). Several mathematical functions (uniform, half-normal, and hazard-rate) and expansion series (cosine, sine, simple polynomial, and hermit polynomial) were tested, and Akaike’s information criterion (Burnham & Anderson 2002) was used to choose the best fit (Table 1). This function, evaluated at zero perpendicular distance, represents the detection probability $\hat{f}(0)$. Mean group sizes $\hat{E}(s)$ were estimated for the odontocetes and the fin whale, whereas for the blue and the Bryde’s whale, the few sightings of >1 animal were split into individual detections to avoid the increase of the variance due to the indeterminacy of the expected group size. For all species, we assumed that all animals located directly on the track-line were detected and counted (i.e. $g(0) = 1$). Finally, $\hat{f}(0)$ was used, together with the number of counted groups (n) and the total transect
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length ($L$), to estimate a point density value ($\hat{D}$) for each month (Thomas et al. 2002). The variance ($\text{var}(D)$) and the lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence intervals ($D/C, D \cdot C$) were estimated by a 999 iteration bootstrap analysis of samples (i.e. transects) at each stratum (i.e. month). For the less-frequent species, we only calculated the encounter rate of groups as the number of sightings recorded in the total linear effort in each survey. Although both the short-beaked common dolphin *Delphinus delphis* and the long-beaked common dolphin *Delphinus capensis* occur in the Gulf of California, we treated them at the genus level, given the difficulty in identifying them to species level in most sightings.

### Cetacean biomass

The population density estimates (individuals km$^{-2}$) were converted into values of biomass (t km$^{-2}$) to make the species comparable. This was done by multiplying the estimated density by the mean species-specific body mass values previously reported for the California Current (Barlow et al. 2008 and references therein). These values come from both direct measurements and regression models of body mass as a function of the mean body length (Table 2).

### RESULTS

#### Hydrographic and biological conditions

Temperature dominated the density structure in the water column (Fig. 5). Cold water (<18°C) occurred throughout the first 100 m during the winter (February 2007 and January to February 2008). During March 2007, the upper 75 m were above 20°C. From April to July, a doming of the isotherms took place, and water below 17°C penetrated the surface layer up to 10 m. From June to November, the upper 25 m warmed above 25°C. December was a transition period in which the temperature in the upper 55 m cooled below 21°C. The Brunt-Väisälä frequency (Fig. 6a) showed 3 major conditions over the year, defined by the depth and degree of stratification. High values indicate a strong stratification, whereas low values mean strong mixing.

The low buoyancy contours in February to March 2007 and January to February 2008 indicated deep mixing in the upper water column. During these winter periods, the pycnocline (i.e. the maximum buoyancy frequency along the profile) deepened to at least 100 m. Then, during the spring and early summer, the buoyancies in the upper 25 m marked a period of isopycnal shoaling, when the pycnocline almost reached the surface. This doming of isopycnals lasted 4 mo, until early August, and it was followed by a thickening of the stratified upper layer in the late summer and autumn, marking conditions of deep stratification, with the pycnocline around 40 m depth. These conditions prevailed until December 2007, when a mixed period developed again.

For nutrients, we only show the concentration (µM) of the sum of all components (Fig. 6b) since concentrations of dissolved silica, phosphate, and total dissolved inorganic nitrogen followed similar patterns over the course of the year. Relatively high concen-
Central and Eastern Indian Ocean. The mixing conditions during winter led to higher nutrient concentrations in the water column, with evident depletion in the upper 50 m during February and March 2007. The pycnocline shoaling of the spring and early summer brought higher subsurface concentrations of nutrients to just below the thermocline, reaching up to 50 μM in the top 100 m. The surface and subsurface concentrations of nutrients decreased in the late summer and autumn.

Two different types of chl a concentration peaks occurred during the winters of 2007 and 2008, with high concentrations (~1.5 mg m⁻³) recorded above the pycnocline in the upper 40 m and upper 70 m, respectively, under conditions of shallow stratification. Higher values (~2.5 mg m⁻³) occurred as 2 sub-surface maxima in May and August, just below the pycnocline. Low chl a concentrations (<0.5 mg m⁻³) characterized the deep stratified conditions of late summer (September to November). Biogenic silica contributed strongly to the total biogenic flux from winter to early summer, reaching a maximum of 60% in April 2007, whereas carbonate (CaCO₃) components dominated during the late summer and autumn, reaching 48% in October 2007. The 2 components showed completely opposite seasonal patterns. A Pearson’s test resulted in a correlation of −0.7032 (p = 0.002, 95% CI = [−0.8324, −0.5016], n = 40, effective degrees of freedom (Neff) = 17 following Davis 1978).

Local and regional seasonality

The seasonal cycle of surface wind, SST, and CHL showed different patterns within the bay. The wind followed the monsoonal cycle (Fig. 7a). The annual pattern of the temperature was unimodal, with a
maximum in September and a minimum in January (Fig. 7b). In contrast, the CHL pattern was bimodal, with a maximum in January (I in Fig. 7c), a decrease in March (II in Fig. 7c), a secondary peak at the end of May (III in Fig. 7c), and the main minimum in September (IV in Fig. 7c). Note that, except for the very warm September period when CHL values were lowest throughout the region (IV in Fig. 2), the values within the bay tended to be higher than in the gulf waters offshore. These values were similar to adjacent coastal areas in January (I in Fig. 2), lower than offshore and coastal areas in March (II in Fig. 2), and significantly higher than anywhere else in June (III in Fig. 2). The least-squares SST values within the bay were slightly warmer than elsewhere in January and cooler the rest of the year, considerably so in June.

Cetacean population density

Altogether, the effective search effort within the bay during the 14 mo totaled 3937 km (mean ± SD = 281 ± 137 km; Fig. 3). Four mysticete and 6 odontocete species were identified from 276 sightings. The blue whale, fin whale, Bryde’s whale, common dolphin, bottlenose dolphin, and short-finned pilot whale were the most frequent species observed (Table 1, Fig. 8). The humpback whale, sperm whale Physeter macrocephalus, dwarf sperm whale Kogia sima, and killer whale Orcinus Orca were only sporadically recorded (Fig. 8). Differences in the estimated effective half-strip widths (μ in Fig. 4) between species typically suggest interspecific variations that determine their detectability, such as their body sizes, grouping behavior, and/or level of surface activity (e.g. Barlow & Forney 2007, Williams & Thomas 2007). Mysticetes in general had the widest effective half-strip widths due to their larger body sizes and taller blows. Among the odontocetes, the short-finned pilot whales had the largest effective half-strip width, probably because of the combination of large groups and large body sizes. They were followed by the common dolphins, whose high level of surface activity and tendency to aggregate in very large groups make them detectable at large distances. Bottlenose dolphins had the shortest distance range, which could be attributed to their tendency to approach the vessel and to the small group sizes recorded within the bay.
Overall cetacean biomass was dominated by the mysticetes and displayed 3 major peaks (Figs. 6e & 8). The first occurred in spring, from May to June 2007, the second covered late summer and autumn (September to December), and the third and highest was in February 2008 (Fig. 6e). The odontocetes showed an opposite pattern from the mysticetes (Fig. 6e); they increased in biomass when mysticetes decreased, showing 2 main peaks during July to August 2007 and in January 2008. The first mysticete peak of the spring (0.62 t km⁻²) resulted from the co-occurrence of the 3 most frequent species but was dominated by the blue whale (Fig. 8). In contrast, the peaks of the late summer and autumn (0.73 and 0.63 t km⁻², respectively) were dominated by the fin whale in the absence of the blue whale and the occurrence of the Bryde’s whale, the latter always in low biomasses. Finally, the highest peak of mysticete biomass was...
mass (1.87 t km\(^{-2}\)) occurred during February 2008 and was also dominated by blue whales but in the presence of fin and Bryde’s whales (Fig. 8). The first peak of odontocetes biomass (0.30 to 0.27 t km\(^{-2}\), July to August 2007) resulted from the increase in bottlenose dolphins and from the incursion of short-finned pilot whales, whereas the second peak (0.35 t km\(^{-2}\)) was dominated by the common dolphins, with a moderate increase of bottlenose dolphins, which dominated the odontocete biomass during the rest of the year (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

The strong mixing in winter and the isopycnal shoaling in spring and early summer produced peaks in surface and subsurface chl \(a\) concentrations, respectively (Fig. 6c). The high proportion of opal in the biogenic sinking matter (Fig. 6d) suggests that these peaks were dominated by diatoms and silicoflagellates, whose blooms result from the input of new nutrients into the euphotic zone (Egge & Aksnes 1992) and typically favor the aggregation of krill and planktivorous fish (Kudela et al. 2008). Silicoflagellates and diatoms have been previously found as dominant among the micro- and nano-phytoplankton within the bay (Verdugo-Díaz 2003). The former have been associated with peaks of primary production in winter and early summer (Villegas-Aguiar 2009, Martínez-López et al. 2012) and are abundant in the siliceous fraction of the sediment trap samples (Álvarez-Gómez 2010). These \(2\) chl \(a\) peaks observed in the water column are in agreement with the remotely sensed CHL peaks of the seasonal analysis derived from the 11 yr least-squares regression (Fig. 7). This constitutes evidence that the isopycnal shoaling within the bay and its influence on phytoplankton is not a phenomenon particular only to the sampled year cycle but a recurring intraseasonal event of local nature. While the first CHL peak within the bay corresponds to a general pattern of high CHL values along the entire region of the southwestern gulf (I in Fig. 2), especially near the coast, the second corresponds to a local phenomenon, in which the bay gets colder and CHL-richer than the surrounding
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Fig. 8. Monthly estimates of cetacean biomass (±95% confidence intervals) for the dominant species and encounter rates (ER) for the less-frequent species (bottom panel).
gulf (III in Fig. 2). Note that even when the cold water is at subsurface during the period of isopycnal shoaling (Fig. 5), its influence on SST is also noticeable, with the surface remaining ~1.5°C cooler than the surrounding gulf.

The blue whale specializes on krill and dominated the cetacean biomass during these 2 periods of surface and subsurface chla peaks, suggesting those were suitable conditions for low trophic level prey. It is also the only migratory cetacean among all of the species recorded that feeds actively during its wintering period in the gulf (Del-Ángel-Rodríguez 1997, Gendron 2002, Bailey et al. 2009). Variations of its persistence period in the gulf (Del-Ángel-Rodríguez 1997, Gendron 2002, Bailey et al. 2009). Variations of its seasonal migration may be responses to a larger scale of interannual oceanic conditions in a manner that is still unstudied. At the seasonal and intra-seasonal scales, however, it seems that the distribution of the species within the gulf is guided by the persistence of local pulses of biological production (Pardo et al. 2011) that aggregate krill (Gendron 1992). In one of its major feeding grounds off California, the blue whale abundance also increases in response to the aggregation of krill resulting from the upwelling pulses of the California Current (Croll et al. 2005). In contrast, the migratory humpback whale has been recorded only sporadically feeding on krill within the gulf (Gendron & Urbán 1993), and its occurrence is more associated with breeding activities during winter. Although krill may also serve as prey for fin and Bryde’s whales during the winter and spring within the bay, these species can also exploit juvenile stages of Pacific sardine that aggregate along the western coast of the gulf during this period (Hammann et al. 1988, Tershy 1992, Tershy et al. 1993, Gendron et al. 2001, Jaume-Schinkel 2004) and thus reduce competition with blue whales. Small pelagic fish are also the most likely prey for common dolphins (Gallo-Reynoso 1991, Niño-Torres et al. 2006), which exploit the bay in large numbers during winter. The higher biomasses of bottlenose dolphins over the entire isopycnal shoaling period (May to August) may reflect the availability of mesopelagic fish and/or squid, which are likely prey for this opportunistic species (Pauly et al. 1998, Díaz-Gamboa 2009).

In contrast, the deep stratification of the late summer and autumn was not conducive to high near-surface nutrient or chla concentrations. The increase in the proportion of calcareous content in the settling biogenic particles (Fig. 6d) suggests the presence of coccolithophorids, foraminifera, and/or pteropods (Romero et al. 2002). Coccolithophorids are better adapted than silicoflagellates and diatoms to growth at limiting nutrient levels and tend to dominate under oligotrophic conditions (Iglesias-Rodríguez et al. 2002). Nevertheless, despite their dominance, the total flux of coccolithophorids does not increase at all during the late summer in the bay (Rochín-Bañaga 2012), and values of primary production drop (Reyes-Salinas et al. 2003, Cervantes-Duarte et al. 2005). How then might one explain the high peaks of fin and Bryde’s whales at this time? The period of high surface water temperatures near the coast frequently marks the spawning season for several pelagic fish species in the southwestern gulf (Moser et al. 1973), including a ‘warm stock’ of Pacific sardine that enters the gulf (Félix-Uraga et al. 2004). These are likely the main prey for rorqual whales during the deep stratification period, as has been suggested from the δ15N ratios between fin whales and sardines (Jaume-Schinkel 2004). Similarly, the short-finned pilot whale, along with the other teutophagous odontocetes, such as the dwarf sperm whale and the sperm whale (Clarke 1996, Pauly et al. 1998), were in the bay predominately during summer. The maximum biomass peaks of the short-finned pilot whale (August and October 2007; Fig. 8) occurred just when the surface temperature within the bay was the warmest (Fig. 5). Squid searching for warm waters near the coast to spawn typically aggregate under such conditions (Staaf et al. 2008). Thus, we surmise that spawning prey, at least the squid and the Pacific sardine, could sustain the biomass of teutophagous odontocetes and fin whales, respectively, during the deeply stratified summer conditions.

The physical origin of some of the observed water-column conditions in the bay is still not fully understood. During winter, Ekman upwelling occurs along the eastern coast of the gulf (Lluch-Cota 2000, Lavin & Marinone 2003), but most blue whale sightings (Gendron 2002) and large krill aggregations (Brinton & Marinone 2003) occur on the western side during this period. It is not clear if the series of eddies that form regularly along the gulf (Pegau et al. 2002) could be responsible for cross-gulf transport of nutrients and plankton from east to west, where the material could be retained. Nevertheless, since blooms of siliceous phytoplankton typically occur in response to new nutrient input, it is more likely that the phytoplankton biomass of the southwestern gulf is generated locally due to the strong vertical mixing (Fig. 6a) produced by the northwesterly winds blowing during winter (Fig. 7a). The high surface salinity (>35) observed during this period (Fig. 5) reinforces the hypothesis that strong northwesterly winds lead to a high rate of evaporation, which in turn enhances...
vertical mixing. The causes of the intraseasonal isopycnal shoaling, associated with the second peak in CHL within the bay, are also poorly known. Previous studies have described cyclonic circulation (Monreal-Gómez et al. 2001, Sánchez-Velasco et al. 2006) and proposed that it could be related to the wind curl and the overall seasonal circulation of the gulf (Beier 1997). This CHL peak occurs at a time when the southwesterly wind maximum takes place (Fig. 7a), which could also force the cyclonic circulation and resulting Ekman pumping, but the subject has not been investigated in detail due to the lack of high-resolution data.

Nevertheless, it is clear that the isopycnal shoaling enhances subsurface phytoplankton aggregations within the bay at a time when the rest of the southwestern gulf remains oligotrophic. Therefore, it may also be responsible for the higher annual values of CHL previously described for the bay (Santamaria-del-Angel et al. 1994, Luch-Cota & Teniza-Guillén 2000, Kahru et al. 2004). This phenomenon extends the period of phytoplankton blooms that normally would be associated only with the winter mixing. Recent results of a long-term analysis of blue whale density, comparing La Paz Bay to Loreto Bay, showed that blue whales leave Loreto in April, earlier than their departure from La Paz, where they can be seen as late as June (Pardo et al. 2011). This pattern suggests the importance of the intraseasonal isopycnal shoaling within the bay as a potential driver of krill aggregation in the southwestern gulf at a time when the surroundings are comparatively warmer and oligotrophic (III in Fig. 2).

The presence of cetaceans with different requirements over the course of the year in the bay suggests a sustained availability of prey, aggregated by high biological production or suitable physical conditions. Recent measurements of the proportion of particulate organic carbon in the sinking matter and the monthly fluxes (export production) in the bay (Silverberg 2009, Silverberg et al. 2009) show that these do not vary much seasonally, indicating that biological production extends throughout the year regardless of the type of physical forcing. The export production of the bay is more than double that of Guaymas Basin, often considered a particularly high production area in the gulf (García-Pámanes et al. 2011). All of these characteristics lead us to propose that La Paz Bay constitutes a biological hotspot in the southwestern Gulf of California, driven by the seasonal evolution of regional surface mixing conditions in winter, local isopycnal shoaling in spring and early summer, and deep stratification in late summer and autumn. This physical contrast attracts a wide variety of cetaceans foraging at different trophic levels at different times of the year and probably also favors the incursion of other species of marine megafauna.

Future work should focus on addressing the inferences drawn in the present study regarding the physical and biological mechanisms that drive cetacean occurrence in the bay. Such work would require a sampling grid aimed at resolving spatial patterns in environmental variables concurrently with measurements of the low, mid, and high trophic levels. Testing these mechanistic linkages would require a numerical modeling approach. Two species that would be particularly amenable for such work are the blue whale and the short-finned pilot whale because of their specialist diet and because they showed the most evident relationships with the environment, with blue whales using the bay during periods of cool temperature, high CHL, and a primary producer community dominated by siliceous phytoplankton, while short-finned pilot whales occurred during warm, oligotrophic periods dominated by calcareous phytoplankton. The physical mechanisms driving isopycnal shoaling in the bay during spring and early summer, which make this area biologically richer than the surrounding gulf, should be investigated through a study of the effects of the wind field in combination with the local physiography (as shown by Wingfield et al. 2011). The role of the northwesterly winds in the evaporation and subsequent mixing of the surface layer during winter should be studied to understand the reasons for the aggregation of krill and blue whales along the western coast of the gulf rather than along the upwelling-influenced eastern coast.
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