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ABSTRACT: Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) involves the farming of species from different trophic positions or nutritional levels in the same system. In China, IMTA has been practiced for many decades, with dozens of species farmed in close proximity to each other at the scale of whole coastal bays. Articles in this Theme Section present results from the MoST-China Project on ‘Sustainability of Marine Ecosystem Production under Multi-stressors and Adaptive Management’ (2011–2015). This project sought to understand the interactions between biogeochemical cycles and ecosystem function in the IMTA system of Sanggou Bay, China, which produces a total of >240 000 t of seafood each year from >30 species in approximately 100 km² of production space. Results include measurements of carbon, nitrogen flow and trophic relationships among cultured species; impacts of IMTA on benthic nutrient fluxes, reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments, distribution of dissolved inorganic selenium, and nutrient cycling; distribution and seasonal variation of picoplankton; and a model for kelp growth. Combined, the articles enable a complex understanding of the dynamics between IMTA and the environment in one of the most important coastal aquaculture production systems in the world.
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Introduction

In the ‘Millennium Ecosystem Assessments’ of the United Nations, climate, water, food, and health were identified as critical issues that need to be considered in adaptive ecosystem-based management plans to sustain human well-being (www.millenniumassessment.org). Marine aquaculture is increasingly seen as an alternative to fishing to provide a growing human population with high-quality protein. Aquaculture of high value species (e.g. fish in cages) relies on external food supplies and has a negative impact on water quality. Culture of seaweeds, which can reduce nutrient loadings to the environment from fish aquaculture, has...
not been attractive in many countries as algal products typically have a low value. However, combining different species in aquaculture systems could provide more profit and have concomitant ecological benefits.

In 2011, the Ministry of Science and Technology (MoST) of China launched a 5 yr research project on ‘Sustainability of marine ecosystem production under multi-stressors and adaptive management’ (MEcoPAM; MoST Grant No. 2011CB409800). The project addressed the following questions: (1) What are the impacts of multi-stressors on biogeochemical cycles in coastal ecosystems? (2) How do ecosystem functions in the hypoxic zone of the East China Sea respond to multi-stressors? (3) What adaptive strategies are possible for coastal aquaculture systems with multi-stressors?

Implementation of MEcoPAM’s research strategy involved investigations off the Shandong Peninsula of North China, particularly in aquaculture areas of Sanggou Bay (Fig. 1). Field observations, microcosm experiments and modeling studies analyzed the combined effects of fish-catch, aquaculture, and enhancement activities on the structure and function of the coastal ecosystem, as well as responses of the ecosystem to multiple stressors. The goal was to develop adaptive management strategies for sustainable aquaculture systems.

Aquaculture in Sanggou Bay

Sanggou Bay is located on the eastern tip of Shandong Peninsula, China and is well known in the field of marine aquaculture, especially in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA). Overall, >100 km² of the 163 km² bay area are used for aquaculture, producing >240 000 t of seafood per year (China Bay Records Compiling Committee 1991, Liu et al. 2014). More than 30 important aquaculture species, including kelp, scallops, oysters, abalone and sea cucumbers, are grown using various culturing methods such as long-lines, cages, bottom sowing and enhancement, pools in the intertidal zone, and tidal flat culture (Zhang et al. 2007).

The concept of IMTA was coined in 2004 and refers to the incorporation of species from different trophic positions or nutritional levels in the same system (Chopin & Robinson 2004). However, IMTA has been successfully practiced in Sanggou Bay since the late 1980s (Fang et al. 1996). There are several IMTA modes in Sanggou Bay (Fig. 2), with benefits at the ecosystem level. For instance, co-culture of abalone and kelp provides combined benefits of a food source and waste reduction: abalone feed on kelp, and the kelp takes up nutrients released from the abalone (Tang et al. 2013). Co-culture of finfish, bivalves and kelp links organisms from different trophic levels so that the algae absorb nutrients released from finfish and bivalves, and bivalves feed on suspended fecal particles from the fish. Since kelp and Gracilaria lemaneiformis are cultured from December to May and from June to November, respectively, nutrients are absorbed by the algae throughout the year. These examples of multi-trophic culture maximize the utilization of space by aquaculture as they combine culture techniques in the pelagic and benthic zones. Implementation of IMTA in Sanggou Bay has improved economic benefits, maintained environmental quality, created new jobs, and led to culture technique innovations (Fang & Zhang 2015).

Fig. 1. Aquaculture areas in Sanggou Bay, Shandong Province, China
Fig. 2. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) modes in Sanggou Bay, China, modified from Tang et al. (2013). (A) Long-line culture of abalone and kelp, (B) long-line culture of finfish, bivalve and kelp, and (C) benthic culture of abalone, sea cucumber, clam and seaweed. DO: dissolved oxygen
Moreover, the implementation of IMTA can increase the beneficial functions of an ecosystem. For instance, cage-culture of fish produces wastes in the form of uneaten feed, which induces the release of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere (i.e. a CO$_2$ source). Fish farming in combination with seaweed culture can turn the system into a CO$_2$ sink through photosynthesis and uptake of nutrients (Tang et al. 2011).

**Studies included in this Theme Section**

During the implementation of MEcoPAM in 2011–2015, Sanggou Bay has been a focal area to examine IMTA practices through interdisciplinary studies, combining physics, chemistry, biology and fisheries research. The papers included in this Theme Section reveal important connections between growth and production of cultured organisms and environmental quality, using approaches to understand cycling of biogenic elements and the function of the microbial loop.

Mahmood et al. (2016) measured stable isotopic signatures of organic carbon ($\delta^{13}$C) and total nitrogen ($\delta^{15}$N) in suspended particulates and sediments to understand the sources of organic matter (OM), water quality and flow of organic carbon and nitrogen among IMTA species, as well as to evaluate the role of IMTA practices in accumulation and assimilation of OM during both wet and dry seasons.

Ning et al. (2016) measured benthic nutrient fluxes in Sanggou Bay in June and September 2012. In June, the early growth phase of cultured finfish and bivalves contributed little to biodeposition, and benthic nutrient fluxes tended to come from the sediment to the seawater and contributed to algal growth. In September, culture of finfish and bivalves resulted in high concentrations of nutrients in seawater and TOC in the sediment; 64% of the nitrogen and 25% of the phosphorus metabolized by bivalves were transferred from the seawater to the sediment.

Kang et al. (2016) compared reduced inorganic sulfur (including sediment acid-volatile sulfide, pyrite sulfur, elemental sulfur) and organic matter (OM) between a mariculture region of Sanggou Bay and a reference station to assess the influence of mariculture on sulfide accumulation and the benthic environment. They found that given the mariculture activities in Sanggou Bay, there was no potential threat of toxic sulfide to the benthic biomass.

Chang et al. (2016) investigated dissolved inorganic selenium concentrations in the water column, selenium content in biological species and sources of dissolved inorganic selenium entering Sanggou Bay. They discovered that the main source of dissolved inorganic selenium was water exchange with the Yellow Sea, whereas the most important sink was the intensive and widespread seaweed and bivalve aquaculture, which removed 53% of incoming selenium from bay waters.

Brown tide, caused by picoplankton, is a serious environmental problem in the world (Gastrich & Wazniak 2002, Nuzzi & Waters 2004, Zhang et al. 2012). Zhao et al. (2016) observed different patterns of picoplankton abundance and biomass, and analyzed the factors that affect the distribution and variation in abundance and biomass of picoplankton in aquaculture areas of Sanggou Bay.

Kelp *Saccharina japonica* is one of the most important mariculture species in China (Ministry of Agriculture 2015). Zhang et al. (2016) developed a dynamic growth model to evaluate environmental effects on kelp growth in Sanggou Bay. The model output provided useful information for improving the production and quality of kelp.

Aquaculture activities play an important role in nutrient cycling in Sanggou Bay (Li et al. 2016). Seasonal variations in nutrient concentrations were detected in the rivers entering the bay, particularly enrichment of dissolved inorganic nitrogen and silicate. The composition and distribution of nutrients were also affected by the species being cultured. The bivalve aquaculture was the major source of PO$_4^{3-}$, contributing 64% of total influx, and led to increased riverine fluxes of PO$_4^{3-}$. The substantial quantities of nitrogen and dissolved silicate accumulated in sediments or were transformed into other forms. Large quantities of DIN and PO$_4^{3-}$ were removed from the bay through harvesting of seaweeds and bivalves.

**Future directions**

Sustainable development in coastal ecosystems should be an important focus of modern aquaculture. Where aquaculture is to be embedded in coastal ecosystems, the inter-connections between production systems and the environment must be thoroughly understood. As a result, interest in exploring the potential for integrated aquaculture in brackish and marine ecosystems is growing (Soto 2009). The interactions among species in IMTA systems are complicated. Observational data and previous experience have shown the many positive aspects, both economic and environmental, of IMTA systems. Cur-
rently, management of large-scale IMTA areas remains difficult, principally due to limited knowledge of how the separate components interact and function as a whole. The papers in this Theme Section provide detailed knowledge of how different IMTA species interact and affect the environment in regions that practice IMTA. Constructing and applying diagnostic models based on an understanding of the connections among species in IMTA systems and the surrounding environment can provide guidance to adaptively manage IMTA systems to ensure ongoing sustainability.
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LITERATURE CITED

**INTRODUCTION**

Excess amounts of carbon and nitrogen produced either from land-based or offshore aquaculture activities are considered to be one of the main sources of pollution in coastal environments. Increasing coastal area development as well as aquaculture activities have been of particular concern to the health of coastal ecosystems. Land-based aquaculture waste is often discharged directly into shallow coastal areas, causing excessive organic and nutrient loads (Alabaster 1982). Offshore cage culture is considered to be a direct source of organic matter (OM) to the surrounding waters in the form of suspended detritus (Karakassis et al. 2000, Mazzola & Sarà 2001), which mainly consists of uneaten feed and excretion products from the cultured fish (Holby & Hall 1991, Hall et al. 1992). Furthermore, anthropogenic input provides...
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additional nutrient and OM enrichment in the coastal marine system (Evgenidou & Valiela 2002). This waste affects not only the area in close proximity to the sources but can alter a wider coastal zone at various ecosystem levels; reducing the biomass, density and diversity of the benthos, plankton and nekton, and modifying natural food webs and stimulating eutrophication (Gowen et al. 1991, Pillay 1991, Vollenweider 1992, Duarte 1995). However, the offshore cultivation of shellfish together with seaweed could reduce the impact of OM waste and nutrients on the environment, as substantiated by land-based integrated aquaculture practice (Shpigel et al. 1991, Shpigel & Neori 1996). The aquaculture-derived nutrients can be removed by seaweed biofilters (Buschmann et al. 2008). Such a combined species cultivation method, so-called integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA), is practiced in Chinese coastal zones. Besides the feasible ventures in mariculture schemes, the combination of trophic levels among cultured species in IMTA systems is also important in improving water quality. The IMTA of shellfish, seaweed and fish is common on the coast of northern China and has been in practice over 3 decades (Fang et al. 1996a,b, 2009).

Sanggou Bay (SGB) receives OM from both natural and anthropogenic sources, which subsequently impact the water quality of the bay. SGB is surrounded by a population of ca. 0.6 million in Rongcheng City of Shandong Peninsula. River runoff from Rongcheng City is considered the main source of nutrients into SGB and is composed on average of 65% crop land waste and 35% urban waste (Project SPEAR; Ferreira et al. 2007). Stable isotope analysis has been used successfully in determining sources of nutrition for consumers, evaluation of trophic relationship among organisms, understanding different sources of OM (terrestrial and marine) and environmental impact assessment (Wada et al. 1987, Risk & Erdmann 2000, Costanzo et al. 2001). Stable isotope ratios of organic carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and total nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) have also been used to determine the impact of aquaculture waste on the environment (Ye et al. 1991, Vizzini & Mazzola 2004, Yokoyama et al. 2006, Jiang et al. 2012). Aquaculture waste enters the food web and alters the natural isotopic composition of OM sources at both the base and upper trophic levels. Nitrogen-rich fish waste mainly affects $\delta^{15}N$ values without or little alteration of $\delta^{13}C$ (Vizzini & Mazzola 2004). Aquaculture and human waste can affect at different levels of the ecosystem—reducing the biomass, density and diversity of the benthos, plankton and nekton—and modify natural food webs in coastal areas (Gowen et al. 1991, Pillay 1991).

In the present study, our first goal was to investigate the carbon and nitrogen flow from (1) phytoplankton, particulate OM (POM), sediment OM (SOM) or seaweed to filter feeders and (2) trash fish (feed provided to fish in fish cages) or plankton to omnivorous fish in an IMTA system in SGB using dual isotopic technique. A second objective was to study the isotopic profile ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$) of SOM and POM to understand the sources of carbon and nitrogen in SGB. Our study focused on understanding the role of lower trophic levels in the reduction of OM and clarifying whether aquaculture- and land-derived OM impact the water quality of the bay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The SGB (37°01’ to 37°09’ N and 122°24’ to 122°35’ E) is located in Rongcheng Town, in Weihai City, on the Shandong Peninsula in northeastern China (Fig. 1). The bay is semi-enclosed and opens into the Yellow Sea (YS) in the east, covering an area of 144 km$^2$. Freshwater inputs to the bay are mainly from one large river (the Gu River) and some small rivers (Ba, Sanggan, Yetao and Xiaolou Rivers). The bay experiences seasonal terrigenous inputs, with freshwater inflow being maximum in summer and with an average discharge of 1.7 × 10$^8$ m$^3$ to 2.3 × 10$^8$ m$^3$ (Rongcheng River Report 2012, www.rcsl.gov.cn). Water in the bay is well mixed and depth varies between 7.5 and 21 m (Zhao et al. 1996). IMTA is an important commercial activity in SGB. On the basis of cultivating activities, the bay is divided into 4 culture areas. The southwest is used for shellfish and fish culture (hereafter, SF+F), the central part is dominated by polyculture of shellfish and seaweed (SF+SW), and the outer bay is cultivated with seaweed (SW) monoculture along the eastern boundary that opens into the YS (Fig. 1). Fish is cultured between May and October, while bivalve culture lasts between 1 and 2 yr. Red seaweed and kelp are cultivated from June–October and November–April, respectively (Zhao et al. 1996, SPEAR 2007). Shellfish and seaweed are cultivated in long lines around fish cages. Bivalve production includes the Chinese scallop Chlamys farreri (~60 × 10$^3$ t yr$^{-1}$) and the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas (~15 × 10$^3$ t yr$^{-1}$). Seaweed production includes kelp Saccharina japonica (~84 × 10$^3$ t yr$^{-1}$) and red alga Gracilaria lemaneiformis.
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The production of Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus* is ~24 × 10^3 t yr⁻¹ (Rongcheng Fisheries Technology Extension Station 2012 statistics [www.rchy.gov.cn], summarized in Table 1).

**Sampling and analysis**

Samples for hydrographic parameters, POM, SOM, phytoplankton, zooplankton, shellfish (oyster and scallop), seaweed, cultured fish and trash fish were collected in August 2011 (wet season, i.e. summer) and January 2012 (dry season, i.e. winter). Surface water samples were collected using a Niskin water sampler at 14 stations covering all 3 culture areas in SGB (Fig. 1). The water samples were immediately screened through a 200 µm mesh net to remove larger zooplankton and debris. They were filtered under vacuum onto prewashed, pre-combusted (450°C, 4h) and pre-weighed Whatman GF/F filter papers (0.7 µm pore size). The samples were subsequently stored at −40 °C in a freezer until laboratory analysis.
Bottom sediment samples were collected with a Van Veen grab (Hydro-bios) from a few stations and then frozen at −20°C until analysis. Salinity and chlorophyll a (chl a) were measured in situ with a multi-parameter instrument (Model: YSI Professional plus USA) and an ACLW-RS chlorophyll sensor, respectively. Cultured fish, shellfish, seaweed and trash fish samples were collected by local fishermen at some sampling sites. Phytoplankton (60 µm) and zooplankton (200 µm) nets were used to collect plankton samples. Plankton samples were filtered through Whatman GF/F filter papers, then frozen at −40 °C until analysis. All samples of fish, shellfish and trash fish were rinsed carefully with filtered seawater and guts were removed to reduce bias. Muscle of cultured fish, trash fish and shellfish, as well as sediments and particulate samples, were dried at 60°C for at least 24 h prior to stable isotope analysis. Cultured fish, trash fish and bivalve samples were soaked in 1.2 N HCl for 30 min, rinsed with distilled water, dried at 60°C and ground to a powder. The bottom sediment samples were ground and sieved through a 0.2 µm mesh, and then both the sediments and particulate samples were digested with 1 M HCl to remove carbonates and dried at 60°C for 12 h. Samples for total nitrogen concentration and isotopes were directly measured without the acid treatment (Cui et al. 2012).

Organic carbon, total nitrogen content and isotopes of carbon and nitrogen were measured using a Finnigan EA-1112 elemental analyzer interfaced with a Finnigan Delta plus XP continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios are expressed in the delta notation δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite and atmospheric nitrogen, respectively, and expressed as (Hayes 2004):

\[ \delta X = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \text{ (‰)} \] (1)

where, \( X = ^{13}\text{C} \) or \(^{15}\text{N} \), and \( R = ^{13}\text{C} : ^{12}\text{C} \) for \( \delta ^{13}\text{C} \) or \(^{15}\text{N} : {^{14}\text{N}} \) for \( \delta ^{15}\text{N} \).

Internal standards of caffeine and cellulose were used for calibration during the measurements. The average precision for organic carbon and total nitrogen measurements during this study was ±0.1 %.

Trophic levels among the cultured species were calculated using the following formula (Wan et al. 2010):

\[ \text{Trophic level} = \left[ \frac{\text{consumer } \delta ^{15}\text{N} - \text{phyto } \delta ^{15}\text{N}}{3.2} \right] + 1 \] (2)

where 3.2 represents the average enrichment of \( \delta ^{15}\text{N} \) among trophic levels in the present study, obtained by calculating the average value of \( \delta ^{15}\text{N} \) of each trophic level. This value is close to the enrichment factor of 3.1 reported by Wan et al. (2010) in a YS trophic level study.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 17.0 and Golden Software Grapher 9 were used to perform data analysis. Seasonal variation in \( \delta ^{13}\text{C} \) and \( \delta ^{15}\text{N} \) of POM and SOM were examined using 1-way ANOVA. Difference of \( \delta ^{13}\text{C} \) and \( \delta ^{15}\text{N} \) values of POM and SOM were analyzed by a paired \( t \)-test (Cui et al. 2012).

RESULTS

Hydrographic parameters

A negative correlation between salinity and chl a was observed in the wet season (\( r^2 = -0.82; p < 0.05 \)). The coastal region was dominated by low salinity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultured species</th>
<th>Cultured area (km²), total per group</th>
<th>Stocking period</th>
<th>Harvesting period</th>
<th>Culture period</th>
<th>Production (t yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shellfish (SF)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamys farreri (Chinese scallop)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>1−2 yr</td>
<td>~60 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassostrea gigas (Pacific oyster)</td>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>1−2 yr</td>
<td>~15 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seaweed (SW)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharina japonica (kelp)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>~84 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracilaria lemaneiformis (Gracilaria)</td>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>5 mo</td>
<td>~25 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish (F)</strong></td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>~24 × 10³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of aquaculture in Sanggou Bay, where species are cultured in combination (SF+F, SF+SW) and monoculture (SW) in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA). Additional details on the cultured area, annual production, and stocking, harvesting and culture periods for the different groups are also given (data from Zhao et al. 1996, Ferreira et al. 2007, Rongcheng Fisheries Technology Extension Station 2012 statistics [www.rchy.gov.cn])
and high chl a concentration. Slightly lower salinity and higher chl a concentrations were found in the SF+F culture area of the bay compared to SF+SW and SW culture areas. The other 2 culture areas showed high salinity and low chl a concentrations. The maximum salinity and minimum chl a values were observed in the SW culture region (Fig. 2). The average values of salinity and chl a during the wet season in SGB were 29.4 ± 2.0 psu and 15.5 ± 10.9 µg l⁻¹ (Fig. 2), respectively. There was no significant variation in salinity among the aquaculture areas during the dry season (Fig. 2), due to low freshwater input into the bay. Considering all culture areas of SGB in the dry season, salinity ranged between 31 and 32 psu, with an average (±SD) of 31.5 ± 0.07 psu. During the dry season, the average (±SD) chl a concentration was 1.0 ± 0.63 µg l⁻¹. Chl a was significantly higher in the SW culture area in the offshore region than in the SF+F area in the coastal region of the bay (Fig. 2).

**Stable isotope analysis of biological samples**

The weight percentages of organic carbon in cultured fish and shellfish were higher than in plankton and seaweed. The maximum values of nitrogen (% dry wt) were found in cultured fish and minimum values in plankton (Fig. 3). The C/N ratios of cultured fish, oysters, scallops and trash fish were in the range of 2.7–2.8, 3.6–4.0, 5.2–5.4 and 4.4–4.5, respectively, which were lower than the C/N ratios of phytoplankton (9.9), zooplankton (11.6) and *Gracilaria* spp. (hereafter simply *Gracilaria*) (10.0). δ¹³C versus δ¹⁵N values of SOM, POM, biological samples and the trophic level of the cultured species are shown in Fig. 4. The respective average values (±SD) of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N were −21.1 ± 0.1‰ and 9.2 ± 0.4‰ for scallops, −21.1 ± 0.2‰ and 11.2 ± 0.3‰ for oysters, −20.9 ± 0.1‰ and 6.7‰ for *Gracilaria*, −19.0 ± 0.2‰ and −21.0 ± 0.6‰ for cultured fish, and 11.1 ± 0.3‰ and 9.6 ± 1.2‰ for trash fish.

![Fig. 2. Surface distribution of (a) salinity and (b) chlorophyll a in the 3 culture areas (see Fig. 1) in Sanggou Bay during the wet and dry seasons. Box plots show the median value (line), 25 and 75% quantiles (box), 5 and 95% quantiles (whiskers), and outliers (circles)](image1)

![Fig. 3. Carbon and nitrogen contents (% dry wt) and C/N ratios of cultured species (seaweed, shellfish and fish; see Table 1) and of phyto- and zooplankton and input feed (i.e trash fish) in Sanggou Bay during the wet season. Means ± SD](image2)

![Fig. 4. δ¹⁵N‰ versus δ¹³C (‰) isotopic signatures of plankton, cultured species, trash fish, and particulate and sediment organic matter from Sanggou Bay during the wet season. Means given ±SD, if n > 1](image3)
Stable isotope analysis of SOM and POM in culture areas

The distribution of C/N, δ¹³C (%o) and δ¹⁵N (%o) of SOM (n = 26) and POM (n = 28) in the 3 culture areas of SGB during the wet and dry seasons is shown in Fig. 5. The fish cage culture and long-line culture of *Gra-cilaria* in SGB are performed during the wet season. Mixing of the bay water with the YS is higher in the SW culture area compared to the central (SF+SW) area. In the wet season, the lowest (1.16) and highest (18.68) C/N values of POM were observed in the SW culture area (Fig. 5a). For SOM, the lowest C/N value (3.93) was found in the SF+F culture area and the highest (14.09) in the SF+SW area (Fig. 5d). Highest values of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N of POM were found in the SF+F culture area (−20.74‰ and 9.43‰, respectively) and the lowest in the SW culture area (−27.35‰ and 4.68‰, respectively) (Fig. 5b,c). The δ¹⁵N values of POM showed a decreasing trend from SF+F to sea-
ward (Fig. 5c). In contrast, no significant difference was found in the distribution of $\delta^{13}$C of SOM among the 3 culture areas in the wet season (Fig. 5e). $\delta^{15}$N values of SOM also showed no significant difference among the 3 culture areas in the wet season (Fig. 5f).

In the dry season, POM maximum and minimum C/N ratios were observed in SF+F (14.77) and SF+SW (0.39) culture areas, respectively, whereas for SOM no significance difference was found in C/N ratios among the 3 culture areas (Fig. 5a,d). The $\delta^{13}$C values of POM and SOM were in the range of $-24.06\%$ to $-21.88\%$, with only minor variations being observed between POM and SOM (Fig. 5b,e). A slight, though non-significant, decrease in SOM $\delta^{15}$N was observed from SF+F to SW culture areas (Fig. 5f).

Within SGB overall, significant differences in $\delta^{13}$C, $\delta^{15}$N and C/N values of SOM and POM between wet and dry seasons ($p < 0.05$) were found. In both seasons, SOM had slightly higher values of $\delta^{13}$C than POM. In contrast, SOM had lower values of $\delta^{15}$N and C/N compared to POM in both seasons.

**DISCUSSION**

**Trophic relationships among the cultured species**

In the present study, the C/N ratio (>11) of phytoplankton (being a major fraction of POM) indicates that terrestrial material from the rivers is a major source of carbon, since these values are higher than those previously reported for marine phytoplankton (range: 6.7–10) and closer to vascular plants (>12) (Redfield et al. 1963, Holligan et al. 1984, Meyers 1994, Hedges & Oades 1997, Bale & Morris 1998, Bates et al. 2005, Lamb et al. 2006). The $\delta^{13}$C values of plankton (range: $-25.4\%$ to $-25.9\%$) in this study were lower than those reported for Narragansett Bay, USA (mean ± SD: $-22 ± 0.6\%$) and Osaka Bay in Japan (range: $-18.0\%$ to $-24.0\%$) (Gearing et al. 1984, Mishima et al. 1996). The $\delta^{15}$N values of phyto- and zooplankton (range: 7.6–7.8%) were within the range reported for marine phytoplankton (3.0–10%) (Wada et al. 1991). For oysters, we determined relatively lower values of $\delta^{13}$C (mean ± SD: $-20.03 ± 0.18\%$) and higher values of $\delta^{15}$N (8.27 ± 0.13%) compared to values reported from oysters around a fish cage area in Ailian Bay, China (mean ± SD: $-20.03 ± 0.18\%$; Jiang et al. 2012), indicating that river runoff has been a source of carbon and nitrogen in oysters of the present study. The $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values ($-19.0\%$ and 11.1%) we determined in cultured fish were lower than the average values ($-17\%$ and 13%), respectively) observed for marine fishes (Mays 2000).

The wet season in SGB is characterized by peak IMTA activities, when fish cage culture occurs in conjunction with shellfish and seaweed. In addition, maximum freshwater inputs influence the sources and flow of OM (carbon and nitrogen) among cultured species and other organisms at various trophic levels. In the wet season, along with integrated aquaculture, primary production is a large carbon source for higher trophic levels. In the summer months, SGB usually experiences comparatively high light intensity and water temperature, which promote phytoplankton growth. This is reflected by the high chl a concentrations we observed in this season and the positive correlation between chl a and the $\delta^{13}$C of POM that is dominated by phytoplankton (Fig. 6). Similar findings were reported by Lehmann et al. (2004), who showed that an increase in $\delta^{13}$C values of POC is associated with increasing primary productivity due to the seasonal environmental conditions, including water temperature and light intensity. The enhanced primary production is connected to the high input of nutrients by freshwater inflow, as indicated by depleted $\delta^{13}$C values of POM in the present study. It is possible that zooplankton in this bay feed on terrestrial detritus, which has $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values similar to POM. Shellfish are usually considered to derive a large proportion of organic carbon from phytoplankton (Xu & Yang 2007). By identifying the relative con-
tribution of aquaculture-derived OM and its impact on water quality, the present study shows that shellfish can be considered to function as biological filters in coastal integrated aquaculture, as was reported previously for land-based integrated aquaculture (Shpigel et al. 1991, Shpigel & Neori 1996). In the coastal area different kinds of POM are present that may serve as a food source for shellfish (oyster and scallop) (Dame 1996). The observed increase in δ¹⁵N from phytoplankton and POM to omnivorous fish was indicative of the trophic position of the cultured species in SGB: δ¹⁵N ranged from 6.7‰ for autotrophs to up to 11.2‰ for heterotrophs, reflecting the enrichment in δ¹⁵N with increasing trophic level. The δ¹⁵N signatures of primary producers (phytoplankton and seaweed) clearly separated the filter feeders (shellfish) from omnivorous fish (Japanese flounder) (Fig. 4). Some species shared the same trophic level, such as cultured fish and oyster (2.16), but differed in δ¹³C values (fish: −19.0 ± 0.2‰, oyster: −21.1 ± 0.2‰; mean ± SD), indicating that these species are up-taking carbon from different sources. In spite of this, cultured fish showed 2% enrichment in δ¹³C from its primary input source of feeding, i.e. trash fish, while oysters also showed a δ¹³C signature similar to trash fish with 0% enrichment. In contrast, some species, such as scallop and oyster, showed similar δ¹³C values (−21.0 ± 0.1‰ and −21.1 ± 0.2‰ [mean ± SD], respectively) indicating the same carbon source, but the difference in their δ¹⁵N values revealed that they belong to different trophic levels (1.5 and 2.16, respectively). Similar findings of the same trophic relationship (i.e. different carbon sources with same trophic level and similar carbon sources with different trophic levels) were reported in Jinghai Bay, China (Feng et al. 2014).

In the present study, scallop showed low δ¹⁵N isotopic fractionation compared to the average fractionation factor reported elsewhere (3.4; Minagawa & Wada 1984). Several studies have reported low nitrogen fractionation values for shellfish (Raikow & Hamilton 2001, Post 2002, Marin-Leal et al. 2006), suggesting that low δ¹⁵N enrichment may be due to the specific physiological characteristics of scallops. Moreover, the δ¹³C values of trash fish, seaweed and shellfish were close to each other. We did not collect faeces samples but used an average (δ¹³C = −21.8‰) of respective values from the literature (Table 2). This average value was close to the δ¹³C value of shellfish, suggesting that shellfish in SGB may also use carbon sources from faecal material released from fish cages, uneaten particles of trash fish and rotten seaweed. Therefore, shellfish cultured in SGB possibly not only help in reducing OM but may also be able to increase the economic benefit and production and survival rate of other species in the IMTA system by maintaining water quality. Based upon stable isotope analysis, a conceptual model of OM flow among the integrated aquaculture species in SGB was established (Fig. 7). The trophic level efficiency was calculated by dividing the δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values of one trophic level to the next. POM integrated both phytoplankton and zooplankton and acted as a large source of OM that could be transferred to all the upper trophic levels in the integrated food web structure of SGB. Stable isotope results indicate that scallop and oyster are taking up >80% of the OM from these sources in SGB. Bivalves accumulated approx. 90% of their carbon and 60% of the nitrogen from fish faeces and uneaten particles of trash fish, but during the wet season only; as opposed to the dry season, when shellfish mostly relied on POM, phytoplankton and zooplankton. Feeding on faeces and trash fish remains during the warm wet season probably helped to meet the high metabolic demand of the shellfish in warmer water temperatures. Alternative sources of OM in the dry season at low temperature may be provided through large-scale cultivation of kelp. Kelp culture produces a considerable amount of rotten kelp particles that can serve as a source of OM to shellfish, whereas shellfish would only be provided a minute amount (1%) of OM from Gracilaria culture. Omnivorous cultured fish obtained most of their carbon (90%) and nitrogen (60%) from trash fish, while other sources were OM from producers and herbivores. In the food web structure of the cultured species of the present study, shellfish played a crucial role in OM accumulation from various sources. In summary, the water quality of SGB is not impacted by OM generated by caged fish and shellfish culture activities; on the contrary, shellfish

### Table 2. Carbon and nitrogen isotopes signatures of fish faecal material reported in previous literature and resulting average value that was adopted as reference value for this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>δ¹⁵N (‰)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gokasho Bay, Japan</td>
<td>−24.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Yokoyama et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gokasho Bay, Japan</td>
<td>−24.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Yokoyama et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gokasho Bay, Japan</td>
<td>−20.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Yokoyama (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kat O Bay, Hong Kong</td>
<td>−21.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Wai et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nansha Bay, China</td>
<td>−17.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Jiang et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>−21.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
co-culture combined with proximity to the YS to allow for water mixing may be helpful in maintaining the water quality of the bay.

**Sources of suspended and sedimentary OM across the bay**

In the wet season, higher C/N values (>10) of POM in the SF+F (near coast) and SF+SW (central bay) culture areas indicate the influence of terrestrial OM. The lower C/N ratio in the *Gracilaria* monoculture area (near YS) may indicate the high consumption of nitrogen in this area or mixing with YS water. In the wet season, POM in the SF+F culture area showed higher values of δ¹³C with a decreasing trend towards offshore, indicating OM load in the SF+F near-coast area compared to the other 2 areas. The lower values of δ¹³C towards offshore may have resulted from the presence of degraded OM (Khodse et al. 2007). Another reason could be that high freshwater discharge during the wet season may have resulted in the rapid distribution of OM to offshore waters, preventing utilization and deposition of OM in the bay. By contrast, the higher values of δ¹⁵N of POM in the SF+F culture area (near-shore area) compared to the central SF+SW and outer SW culture areas may be attributed to nitrate derived from human activities coupled with increased denitrification (Michener & Schell 1994, McClelland et al. 1997, Chanton and Lewis 1999, Miller et al. 2010). The decreasing trend of δ¹⁵N in POM towards the sea suggests an offshore source of nitrogen (Miller et al. 2011). In the wet season, higher values of δ¹³C (−22.4 ‰ to −21.4 ‰) in SOM of 3 culture areas displayed the isotopic signature of marine-derived OM (Wada et al. 1987, Tan et al. 1991, Mishima et al. 1996, Barros et al. 2010). Similar results for SOM δ¹³C were found by Meksumpun et al. (2005) (avg. δ¹³C = −21.0 ‰) in the Gulf of Thailand, as well as in an earlier study by Gearing et al. (1984), who reported δ¹³C values indicative of a plankton source in SOM, ranging from −22.2 ± 0.6 ‰ to −20.3 ± 0.6 ‰ in Narragansett Bay, USA and an average value of −21.0 ‰ in Malaysian waters. Rela-
tively low values of $\delta^{15}$N in SOM of all culture areas in the present study indicate a marine source of the deposited OM. This is supported by an increasing trend of $\delta^{15}$N in SOM of the 3 aquaculture areas from shellfish to polyculture to seaweed, suggesting the import of OM from the sea.

In the present study, $\delta^{13}$C, $\delta^{15}$N and C/N of POM are applied to describe OM sources. The $\delta^{13}$C of POM in the wet season has either lower or higher values than SOM in the 3 culture areas. Therefore, in the wet season, due to maximum freshwater discharge into the bay, as indicated by a decreasing inshore salinity trend, fluctuations in $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values of POM among the stations imply different sources of OM. The results of the present study suggest that during the wet season, OM in SGB originates from 2 sources; marine and terrestrial. Hence to quantify the relative contribution of each source, a 2 end-member mixing model has been applied to the wet season data, using terrestrial and marine end-members values based on the model by Calder & Parker (1968).

The equation used in this model is given as:

$$\text{TC} (\%) = \frac{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{mar}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sam}}}{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{mar}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{ters}}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where TC is the terrestrial carbon, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{mar}}$ is the marine end-member, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{ters}}$ is the terrestrial end-member, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sam}}$ is the measured value of the samples at each station. Generally, terrestrial OM has relatively low values of $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N. Therefore, in our study, $\delta^{13}$C ($-27.4\%$) and $\delta^{15}$N ($4.7\%$) values of POM were selected as terrestrial end-members, which are closer to terrestrial end-member values of $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N identified in a number of previous studies (Peters et al. 1978, Wada et al. 1987, Middleburg & Nieuwenhuize 1998, Barros et al. 2010). In the present study, mean $\delta^{13}$C ($-19.0\%$) and $\delta^{15}$N ($9.4\%$) values of cultured fish and oyster, respectively, have been selected as marine end-members and are close to the values of Middleburg & Nieuwenhuize (1998). Model results indicated that during the wet season in SGB, an average of ~72% of OM in POM is derived from the land.

In contrast to the wet season, during the dry season the range and average values of $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N of POM in all culture areas were within the range of marine-derived OM reported in previous studies (Gearing et al. 1984, Wada & Hattori 1991, Meyers 1997, Lamb et al. 2006). The high C/N values observed among SF+F culture stations might have resulted from the presence of degraded OM (Khodse et al. 2007) due to limited river inflow during the dry season, while in the other 2 culture areas, C/N values were in the range of marine-derived OM (Meyers 1994). SOM of all culture areas was assumed to be derived from suspended matter during the dry season, as indicated by their mean values of $\delta^{13}$C (SOM = $-22.4 \pm 0.3\%$ and POM = $-23.2 \pm 0.6\%$; ANOVA, p < 0.05), revealing material exchange between the 2 different OM pools (Meksumpun et al. 2005).

Comparing both seasons, significant differences were found between $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values of SOM and POM (ANOVA, p < 0.05). The relatively high values of $\delta^{13}$C in SOM showed that SOM in SGB was derived from the same marine source in both seasons. The reason for this could be that sediments were receiving OM from autochthonous sources originating from diatoms, bacteria, and green macroalgae (Gao et al. 2012). The significant difference between SOM and POM in the wet season shows less exchange between the 2 OM pools, the reason being either high freshwater inflow or assimilation of terrestrial-derived OM in the upper water column. In both seasons, the $\delta^{15}$N values were also close to those reported for marine-derived OM in previous studies (Gearing et al. 1984, Wada et al. 1991). The comparison of our carbon and nitrogen isotopic signatures of the POM in SGB with that of other bays (Table 3) suggests that the water quality of SGB is not significantly impacted by land-based sources of OM.

### Table 3. Ranges of carbon and nitrogen isotope values of the present study compared to previous values reported in the literature from different coastal areas having aquaculture activities or being impacted by various sources of organic matter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Activity / source of impact</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (%$e$)</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N (%$e$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Thailand</td>
<td>Land-based aquaculture</td>
<td>-27.3 to -20.6</td>
<td>3.1–8.4</td>
<td>Kuramoto &amp; Minagawa (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaeta Gulf (Mediterranean)</td>
<td>Bivalve and cage culture</td>
<td>-25.0 to -19.8</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Mazzola &amp; Sarà (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kat O Bay, Hong Kong</td>
<td>Land-based aquaculture</td>
<td>-21.2 to -20.1</td>
<td>8.5–10.2</td>
<td>Wai et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Bay, South Africa</td>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>-24.8 to -19.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Filgueira &amp; Castro (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosirina Bay, Croatia</td>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>4.3–8.3</td>
<td>Dolenec et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanggou Bay</td>
<td>Bivalve and cage culture</td>
<td>-27.4 to -19.0</td>
<td>4.7–9.4</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
high production of phytoplankton and the $\delta^{13}C$ values in all cultured species indicate that the bay acts as source of carbon, and that this carbon is utilized by cultured species and removed from the bay at their harvest. However, the high C/N values indicate that SGB may act as a sink for anthropogenic material (river input).

CONCLUSIONS

In SGB, phytoplankton production is one of the main sources of OM to higher trophic levels during the wet season, as indicated by a positive correlation between $\delta^{13}C$ and POM, the latter of which containing a large proportion of phytoplankton. Trophic relationships showed that cultured fish and oyster take up carbon from different sources while sharing the same trophic level (2.16). On the other hand, oyster and scallop used the same carbon sources in spite of different trophic levels (2.16 and 1.52 respectively). Based on the results of the stable isotope analysis, our conceptual model for the wet season suggested that ~80% of the OM including faecal material and riverine OM in the form of POM is extracted by oyster and scallop. In the dry season, these species still mainly rely on POM but to some extent also use rotten kelp. C/N values >11 for POM indicate the partly terrestrial origin of OM in SGB; however, in the wet season the bay also functions as a source of carbon due to the high phytoplankton production and aquaculture activities, while high C/N values indicate that SGB may also be a sink of anthropogenic material (river input). Therefore, both culture areas SF+F (avg. C/N = 12.69) and SF+SW (avg. C/N = 13.11) in SGB are highly impacted by OM from river inflow and human activities in the wet season, as indicated by average C/N ratios in POM. In the dry season, POM in the near-shore SF+F culture area showed high C/N values of 11.97 ± 2.08 (mean ± SD) relative to the other 2 areas with C/N values (<10) indicative of more marine-derived OM. The outer SW culture area (near YS) is highly impacted by YS water. However, C/N values (<10, typical of a marine source) indicate the influence of YS, but the $\delta^{13}C$ values show the signature of terrestrial OM that may result from river input and degraded OM. Results from the 2 end-member mixing model revealed that for POM an average of 72% OM is derived from land during the wet season. $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ signatures show that OM in SOM during both the wet and dry seasons is mostly of marine origin. However, a detailed study on terrestrial organic input from rivers into the SGB is required to better understand the sources of OM and its influence on the water quality of the bay. In addition, studies investigating the role of benthic, non-aquaculture organisms and seagrass could further the understanding of the detailed food web structure of the bay.
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Impacts of an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture system on benthic nutrient fluxes: a case study in Sanggou Bay, China
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ABSTRACT: Benthic nutrient fluxes in an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) bay—Sanggou Bay, China—were measured in June and September 2012. The benthic nutrient fluxes and total organic carbon (TOC) of sediment in this IMTA system were significantly lower than in monoculture bays. This was due to the efficient recycling of organic matter in the IMTA system, as revealed by historical data of annual production, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentration in seawater and TOC in sediment. Benthic nutrient fluxes in the IMTA system were mainly controlled by seawater temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO) and nutrient concentrations, which were strongly related to aquaculture activities. In June, the early growth phase of cultured finfish and bivalves contributed little to biodeposition, and benthic nutrient fluxes tended to be from the sediment to the seawater and contributed to algal growth. In September, the active growth of finfish and bivalves resulted in high concentrations of nutrients in the seawater and TOC in the sediment; 64% of the nitrogen and 25% of the phosphorus metabolized by bivalves were transferred from the seawater to the sediment.

KEY WORDS: Benthic nutrient fluxes · Pore water · Core incubation · Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture · IMTA · Sanggou Bay
systems, information about the internal nutrient cycles in IMTA systems is still unavailable (Sequeira et al. 2008, Troell et al. 2009, Tang & Fang 2012, Chopin 2013). Benthic nutrient regeneration is a significant source of nutrients for primary production in coastal waters (Liu et al. 2003, Sundbäck et al. 2003, Lee et al. 2011). Conversely, nutrients can be stored in the sediments via burial and denitrification (Aller et al. 1985, Song et al. 2013). Hence, an accurate account of nutrient fluxes across the sediment–water interface and the roles of these processes in IMTA systems are of significance to fisheries management.

Many studies have focused on seawater conditions, nutrient uptake efficiency of bivalves, and aquaculture capacity and impacts in Sanggou Bay (Nunes et al. 2003, Mao et al. 2006, Zhang et al. 2009, Lu et al. 2015), but knowledge of the benthic nutrient fluxes in the IMTA system and comparisons of the environmental impacts of IMTA and monoculture are insufficient (Zhang et al. 2006). The aim of this study was to investigate the impacts of aquaculture on benthic nutrient fluxes in the IMTA system, and sedimentary mineralization processes based on nutrient data in pore water, to evaluate the environmental effects of IMTA with respect to benthic nutrient fluxes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Sanggou Bay is a typical IMTA bay located on the western margin of the Yellow Sea (Fig. 2). It is semi-enclosed, with a mean depth of 7.5 m, a total area of 144 km², and a mean salinity of 31 (Zhang et al. 2009). Kelp is cultivated mainly outside the mouth of the bay; bivalves are near the end of the bay. Polyculture of kelp and bivalves occurs centrally between the former 2, and sea cage culture of finfish occurs along the southwest coast. The annual production of kelp, finfish, scallop and oyster were 84 500, 535, 15 000 and 60 000 t in 2012 (the statistical data from the Rongcheng Fishery Technology Extension Sta-
In an IMTA system (Fig. 1) the bivalves filter suspended particulate matter, including the feces of finfish and phytoplankton; kelp assimilates nutrients from metabolic byproducts generated by the bivalves and finfish, and provides dissolved oxygen (DO) to finfish and bivalves; benthic animals are able to utilize phytoplankton and sedimentary organic detritus from aquaculture occurring in the water column, facilitating maximum nutrient recovery efficiency (Tang & Fang 2012, Chopin, 2013). The sediments are predominantly composed of clayey silt (Zhang et al. 2006).

**Seawater and sediment sampling**

Field observations were carried out in Sanggou Bay in 2012, 1–2 June and 24–27 September. Surface sediments for analysis of total organic carbon (TOC) and porosity were collected from 12 stations (Fig. 2), and 2 stations located in different aquaculture conditions (polyculture vs. fish culture) were chosen for pore water extraction and core incubation to investigate benthic nutrient fluxes. Diffusion fluxes were derived from the nutrient profiles in original (i.e. at sampling of cores and before incubation) pore water obtained in the field; incubation fluxes were directly measured from core incubation, and sedimentary mineralization processes were evaluated based on nutrient data in pore water before and after incubation.

At each station, bottom seawater was collected using a Plexiglas sampler; sediments were collected using a box-sampler; 2 sediment cores were obtained with Plexiglas tubes (i.d. = 7 cm) and sectioned at 1 or 2 cm intervals within 0.5 h. The resulting sediment sections from one core were put into plastic bag and then frozen at −20°C for later analysis, and sections from the other core were used for pore water extraction (i.e. original pore water). Pore water was extracted and filtered with Rhizon soil moisture samplers (19.21.23F Rhizon CSS) to vacuum tubes (Song et al. 2013) and then frozen at −20°C.

**Core incubation**

Each core (i.d. = 5 cm) was sealed with a gas-tight lid attached and was pre-incubated in the dark at room temperature (21°C in June and 24°C in September 2012) for 8–12 h in the presence of bottom water recirculated using a peristaltic pump (Song et al. 2015). During the following incubation period the seawater was mixed using a magnetic stirrer turning a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar at 60 rpm. At each sampling time, seawater from triplicate cores was sampled for measurement of DO and nutrients, and a sample was taken from the black bucket as a blank. Seawater for nutrient analysis was filtered with a 0.45 µm pore-size syringe filter (Song et al. 2013), and the filtrate was frozen at −20°C. At the first and last sampling time of incubation, sediment cores were sectioned at 2 cm intervals for pore water extraction (i.e. pore water before and after incubation).

**Physical and chemical analysis**

Each frozen sediment sample was freeze dried (ALPHA 1–4 LD plus freeze dryer; Martin Christ). The water content of the sediment was calculated by determining the weight difference before and after freeze-drying (Song et al. 2013), and porosity was calculated with Berner’s equation (Berner 1971). The total organic carbon (TOC) content of sediment was determined using a CHNOS Elemental Analyzer (Vario EL III, Elemental Analyzer) following removal of the carbonate fraction via reaction with 4 mol l⁻¹ HCl; this procedure had a precision <6% CV (Liu et al. 2010).

Temperature and salinity were measured by a multi-parameter instrument (Multi 350i/SET, WTW GmbH). DO concentration in seawater was measured using the Winkler titration method with a precision better than 0.5% CV (Song et al. 2015). Nutrient concentrations were determined using an autoanalyser (AutoAnalyzer 3, SEAL Analytical). The measurement precisions for the NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, NH₄⁺, PO₄³⁻, Si(OH)₄, total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) analyses were 1, 1, 2, 1, 0.2, 3 and 5% CV, respectively. Dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) concentration was calculated as TDP concentration minus PO₄³⁻ concentration, and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) concentration was calculated as TDN concentration minus dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN; sum of the NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺) concentration.

**Flux calculations and statistical analysis**

Diffusion fluxes were derived from the nutrient profiles in pore water using Fick’s first law of diffusion (Berner 1980, Liu et al. 2003):

\[ F = -\phi D_i \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \]

where \( F \) is the diffusion flux in mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, \( \phi \) is the porosity of the surface sediment, \( D_i \) is the whole
sediment diffusion coefficient and $\partial C/\partial x$ is the concentration gradient close to sediment−water interface.

Incubation fluxes, which are a direct measure of net solute fluxes across the sediment−water interface, were calculated from the slope of concentrations versus time (Song et al. 2015).

Standard deviation of the linear rate was derived from the slope standard deviation given by the regression statistic; Pearson correlation was applied to discuss the correlation analysis. Statistical significance was judged using the criterion $p < 0.05$. incubation fluxes were corrected to the in situ temperature using the Arrhenius equation (Aller et al. 1985, Song et al. 2015). In the present study, a positive flux (efflux) value represents a flux into the overlying water from the sediment, and a negative flux (influx) value represents a flux into the sediment from the overlying water.

RESULTS

Sediment and bottom seawater parameters

The TOC in surface sediments at both stations in September were approximately twice the level measured in June; porosities had a similar trend to that of TOC and were higher in September than in June but the values were similar at the different stations (Table 1). The bottom seawater temperature in September was higher than in June and was lower at Stn ST1 than at Stn MC because the water was depth greater at the former station. The salinity at both stations in September was slightly lower than in June. The nutrient concentrations in September were higher than in June. The DO concentrations showed saturated conditions at both stations in June, whereas in September the bottom seawater DO concentration was below saturation in the finfish and bivalve culture areas (Fig. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Stn</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>Porosity in sediment</th>
<th>TOC (%) in sediment</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>DO saturation (%)</th>
<th>Bottom seawater</th>
<th>NH4+ (µM)</th>
<th>NO2− (µM)</th>
<th>NO3− (µM)</th>
<th>DON (µM)</th>
<th>PO43− (µM)</th>
<th>DOP (µM)</th>
<th>Si(OH)4 (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2</td>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 24</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>28.83</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 27</td>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>16.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Biogeochemical properties of the bottom seawater and the sediment at Stns MC and ST1, during June and September 2012. TOC: total organic carbon; S: salinity; DO: dissolved oxygen; DON: dissolved organic nitrogen; DOP: dissolved organic phosphorus

Benthic fluxes from core incubations and their stoichiometric ratios

The DO content decreased linearly over time during incubations, and the linear slopes of the DO−time plots were similar in the various seasons (Fig. 3), although the TOC content was greater in September than in June. However, the higher in situ temperature in September resulted in greater DO influxes than in June (Fig. 4). The DO influx at Stn MC was higher than at Stn ST1 in June but was lower at Stn MC than at Stn ST1 in September.

In June, nutrients were released from the sediment to the seawater (the exception was PO43−, which was transferred from seawater into the sediment), and the magnitudes of benthic nutrient flux at 2 stations were similar (Fig. 5). In September: NH4+ was largely released at Stn MC, but no NH4+ flux was detected at Stn ST1 (Fig. 5a); NO2− was largely released at Stn ST1 but was transferred to sediment at Stn MC; NO3− was transferred to sediment at Stn ST1 but was released at Stn MC; DON and TDN were transferred to sediment at both stations (Fig. 5b); PO43− was transferred to the sediment at both stations, particularly at Stn MC; DOP was strongly released at Stn MC, while DOP and TDP were transferred to sediments at Stn ST1 (Fig. 5c), and the Si(OH)4 efflux was less at Stn MC than at Stn ST1 and was lower in September than in June (Fig. 5d). The O2:DIN flux ratio was higher in September than in June, and the DIN:PO43− flux ratio was lower in September than in June, while the Si(OH)4:DIN flux ratio was higher in September than in June at Stn ST1 but was lower in September than in June at Stn MC (Table 2).

Diffusion fluxes and nutrient profiles in pore water

The concentrations of NH4+, NO2− (NO2− + NO3−), PO43− and Si(OH)4 in pore water were measured
when the core sediments were sampled (original) and before and after incubation (Fig. 6). The nutrient concentrations generally increased with sediment depth; the exception was the NO$_X^-$ concentration. The nutrient diffusion effluxes were supposed to be greater in September than in June as porosities of sediment were higher in September than in June, but the result was opposite. The average diffusion fluxes of DO, NH$_4^+$, NO$_X^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and Si(OH)$_4$ were 1650, 1405, 7, 14 and 932 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively, in June and were 6470, 718, −59, 4 and 818 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively, in September.

The nutrient profiles of NH$_4^+$ were substantially greater after incubation, especially at Stn MC, but there was no difference in NH$_4^+$ concentrations before and after incubation at Stn ST1 in September; NO$_X^-$ was depleted in deep pore water and increased in surface pore water after incubation, but in September the NO$_X^-$ in surface pore water at Stn MC decreased after incubation; there were minor variations in the PO$_4^{3-}$ profiles for surface pore water, but in deep pore water a significant release of PO$_4^{3-}$ was observed after incubation; the differences in Si(OH)$_4$ concentration before and after incubation were less in September than in June.

**DISCUSSION**

**Environmental factors controlling benthic fluxes**

A most important use of DO flux is in the indirect estimation of the total benthic organic carbon mineralization rate (CO$_2$ flux), which is based on the Redfield ratio; the reported ratio between DO flux and CO$_2$ flux varies from 0.8 to 1.2, and a O$_2$:C ratio of 1:1 was used in the present study since this ratio has been widely used for studies involving shallow waters (Glud 2008, Song et al. 2015). The quantity and quality of organic matter, temperature, DO concentration and macrofauna abundance have been suggested to be factors controlling benthic DO fluxes.
Benthic DO fluxes were similar under similar incubation temperatures (Fig. 3), although the TOC values were higher in September than in June. The positive correlation between calibrated DO influx \( F_{DO} \) and seawater temperature \( T \) \( F_{DO} = -0.99T + 0.36, R^2 = 0.79 \) indicated that temperature rather than TOC is one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay sediment. This was consistent with another IMTA bay, i.e. Ailian Bay, China, in that the contribution rates of biodeposits by the shellfish and kelp to the sediments in the IMTA area were very low (Ren et al. 2014), but benthic DO fluxes were positively correlated to TOC sedimentation in monoculture areas (Carlsson et al. 2012). Moreover, the low DO saturation level at Stn MC in September resulted in a lesser DO influx than that of Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay. Benthic \( CO_2 \) fluxes removed 12 and 6% of C input via sedimentation in June and September, respectively, but other parts of the sedimentary matter were mainly transported by horizontal fluxes including bioturbation and resuspension (Fig. 7). In Jiaozhou Bay (China) the polychaete bioturbation resulted in a 25% greater DO flux than that at Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay. Benthic \( CO_2 \) fluxes removed 12 and 6% of C input via sedimentation in June and September, respectively, but other parts of the sedimentary matter were mainly transported by horizontal fluxes including bioturbation and resuspension (Fig. 7). In Jiaozhou Bay (China) the polychaete bioturbation resulted in a 25% greater DO flux than that at Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay. Benthic \( CO_2 \) fluxes removed 12 and 6% of C input via sedimentation in June and September, respectively, but other parts of the sedimentary matter were mainly transported by horizontal fluxes including bioturbation and resuspension (Fig. 7). In Jiaozhou Bay (China) the polychaete bioturbation resulted in a 25% greater DO flux than that at Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay. Benthic \( CO_2 \) fluxes removed 12 and 6% of C input via sedimentation in June and September, respectively, but other parts of the sedimentary matter were mainly transported by horizontal fluxes including bioturbation and resuspension (Fig. 7). In Jiaozhou Bay (China) the polychaete bioturbation resulted in a 25% greater DO flux than that at Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay. Benthic \( CO_2 \) fluxes removed 12 and 6% of C input via sedimentation in June and September, respectively, but other parts of the sedimentary matter were mainly transported by horizontal fluxes including bioturbation and resuspension (Fig. 7). In Jiaozhou Bay (China) the polychaete bioturbation resulted in a 25% greater DO flux than that at Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay. Benthic \( CO_2 \) fluxes removed 12 and 6% of C input via sedimentation in June and September, respectively, but other parts of the sedimentary matter were mainly transported by horizontal fluxes including bioturbation and resuspension (Fig. 7). In Jiaozhou Bay (China) the polychaete bioturbation resulted in a 25% greater DO flux than that at Stn ST1 (Fig. 4), suggesting that DO in bottom seawater is also one factor controlling \( CO_2 \) fluxes in Sanggou Bay.

Table 2. Stoichiometric ratios of benthic fluxes at Stns MC and ST1, during June and September 2012. \( PO_4^{3-} \) fluxes were diffusion fluxes. DIN: dissolved inorganic nitrogen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Stn</th>
<th>(O_2):DIN</th>
<th>DIN:(PO_4^{3-})</th>
<th>(Si(OH)_4):DIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2</td>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 24</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 27</td>
<td>ST1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mobilized particulates (Hatcher et al. 1994); therefore, these estimated values need confirmation.

Benthic N fluxes are affected by the microbial activities including nitrification and denitrification (Jansen et al. 2012). The elevated O₂:DIN flux ratio (Table 2) was much higher than the Redfield ratio (i.e. 6.6), which suggests that substantial coupled nitrification–denitrification (Cowan & Boynton 1996) occurred in September. The denitrification rate in Sanggou Bay was 0.19–0.37 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (Z. Ning et al. unpubl. data), but the high O₂:(DIN+N₂) flux ratio (10–29) (which still exceeded the Redfield ratio) indicated that 30–77% of the mineralized NH₄⁺ was retained in the Sanggou Bay sediment. The porosity of the sediment should positively relate to the benthic nutrient diffusion flux (Berner 1980); nevertheless, benthic nutrient fluxes were greater in June than in September, although porosity was higher in September than in June (Fig. 7). Although grain size was not determined in this study, grain sizes at different stations should be similar, since the porosities were similar at the 2 stations (Table 1). Hence, neither porosity nor grain size were the main factors controlling benthic nutrient fluxes in the IMTA system.

Fluxes of PO₄³⁻ depend on the PO₄³⁻ production rate, the adsorption–desorption equilibrium in the sediment, and the thickness of the diffusion boundary layer at the sediment–water interface (Sundby et al. 1992). Adsorption of PO₄³⁻ by MnO₂/FeOOH (Woulds et al. 2009) may explain why PO₄³⁻ was transferred to the sediment at both stations (Fig. 5c). Although the N loss by coupled nitrification–denitrification and NH₄⁺ adsorption onto clay minerals contributed to the low DIN efflux, the high DIN:PO₄³⁻ flux ratios (Table 2) indicated the degree to which PO₄³⁻ is retained by adsorption in Sanggou Bay. Hence, PO₄³⁻ adsorption widely occurred in monoculture (Hyun et al. 2013) and IMTA areas. The DOP fluxes were mainly affected by aquaculture activities (see ‘Aquaculture activities and benthic nutrient fluxes in different seasons’).

The benthic Si(OH)₄ fluxes in Sanggou Bay were higher than the nitrogen and phosphorus fluxes
Aquaculture activities and benthic nutrient fluxes in different seasons

In June the concentrations of nutrients in seawater were quite low because the kelp *Saccharina japonica* assimilated substantial nutrients in spring (Shi et al. 2011), and the metabolic byproducts of finfish and oysters in the early growth stages produced low levels of nutrients in seawater (Fig. 7a). In September the seaweed *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* replaced kelp, and finfish and oysters were in active growth stages and generated large quantities of metabolic byproducts (Fig. 7b). The maximum metabolic rates from Pacific oyster were recorded in July and August (Mao et al. 2006), and decomposition resulted in high nutrient concentrations in the seawater. In addition to assimilation by kelp, Si\((\text{OH})_4\) concentration was tightly related to the biomass of diatoms, as diatoms were predominant in the phytoplankton community in Sanggou Bay (Yuan et al. 2014). Hence, ratios of Si\((\text{OH})_4\):DIN concentrations were higher in September than in June, especially at Stn MC due to heavy grazing by oysters.
When discussing the impacts of aquaculture on benthic nutrient fluxes, it is important to clarify the sources of brodeposits by the marine organisms to the sediments using sediment traps or natural isotopic tracers, etc. However, TOC was not a directly controlling factor of benthic fluxes in an IMTA system as discussed in 'Environmental factors controlling benthic fluxes'; therefore, the sources of biodeposits by the marine organisms to the sediment were not an object of this study.

In June, the decrease in nutrient concentrations in seawater enlarges the concentration gradient in the sediment–water interface, which may result in larger diffusion effluxes (Berner 1980). Hence, all nutrients are released from the sediments to the seawater except PO$_4^{3-}$, and the effluxes in June were greater than in September (Fig. 5). The benthic effluxes of DIN and Si(OH)$_4$ contributed 4 and 11%, respectively, of gross primary productivity (GPP) (including the GPP of kelp). DON can be assimilated by seagrass and macroalgae (Vonk et al. 2008). Assuming DIN and DON released from the sediment was completely consumed by phytoplankton and kelp, the benthic TDN efflux contributed 8% of GPP. The benthic nutrient contributions to GPP were much smaller than that in the Mandovi Estuary (Pratihary et al. 2009), on the west coast of Sweden (Sundbäck et al. 2003) and in Jinhae Bay (Lee et al. 2011), since substantial cultivation of kelp made the highest contribution to GPP in the IMTA system. If only the GPP of kelp is taken into account, the benthic effluxes of DIN and Si(OH)$_4$ contributed 7 and 18% of algal N and Si demands. The low contribution of benthic mineralization may be due to efficient recycling of organic matter in the IMTA system, which will be discussed in 'Benthic nutrient fluxes in different aquaculture modes'. The fact that benthic PO$_4^{3-}$ fluxes made no contribution to GPP in Sanggou Bay is consistent with the finding of Hatcher et al. (1994) that suspended mussel culture had little impact on sediment phosphorus dynamics in Upper South Cove (Nova Scotia, Canada). The sedimentation flux of carbon was 82 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in June (Cai et al. 2003), which was much lower than that in September, and therefore the TOC in sediment remained at a low level (0.30%). With respect to nutrient feedback in pore water (Fig. 6), large amounts of DIN and Si(OH)$_4$ were generated after incubation, suggesting large potential DIN and Si(OH)$_4$ effluxes, while the generated PO$_4^{3-}$ was not released to the seawater; the decrease in surface PO$_4^{3-}$ after incubation was probably caused by adsorption by Mn/Fe oxides (Woulds et al. 2009). However, this was offset by the release of DOP.

### Table 3. Comparison of benthic fluxes in Sanggou Bay, China, with other regions. Ranges or means ± SD. TOC: total organic carbon; DO: dissolved oxygen; CO$_2$: total organic carbon mineralization rate, calculated from the Redfield ratio (C:O$_2$ = 1:1) using DO fluxes; DON: dissolved organic nitrogen; nd: no data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>TOC in sediment (%)</th>
<th>CO$_2$ Fluxes (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$ Fluxes (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ Fluxes (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>PO$_4^{3-}$ Fluxes (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Si(OH)$_4$ Fluxes (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquaculture bays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanggou Bay</td>
<td>0.35 to 0.68</td>
<td>−21 to −7</td>
<td>7.7 to 21</td>
<td>0 to 0.51</td>
<td>−0.030 to 0.27</td>
<td>−1.01 to 0.63</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shn MC</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>−13 ± 1.0</td>
<td>13 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.000 ± 0.000</td>
<td>−1.20 ± 0.16</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolo Harbour</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>−0.36 to 0.56</td>
<td>0.36 to 0.56</td>
<td>0.39 to 0.57</td>
<td>0.038 to 0.044</td>
<td>−0.048 to 0.048</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsens Fjord</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−30 to 0</td>
<td>30 to 0</td>
<td>3.3 to 5.9</td>
<td>0.010 to 0.025</td>
<td>0.64 to 1.5</td>
<td>Woulds et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río San Pedro creek</td>
<td>1.44 to 2.67</td>
<td>−79 to −10</td>
<td>10 to 20</td>
<td>3.4 to 21.5</td>
<td>−5.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>−5.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>Woulds et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinhae Bay</td>
<td>1.97 to 4.15</td>
<td>−328 to −58</td>
<td>58 to 328</td>
<td>6 to 41</td>
<td>−5.4 to 0.37</td>
<td>0.90 to 5.0</td>
<td>Hatcher et al. (2011), Lee et al. (2013), Hyun et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-aquaculture areas</td>
<td>0.2 to 0.5</td>
<td>5.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>5.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>5.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>5.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>5.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>Chau (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East China Sea</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>−0.44 to 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 to 0.02</td>
<td>−0.42 to 1.3</td>
<td>−0.02 to 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 to 0.02</td>
<td>Qi et al. (2006), Hwang et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Sea</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>−0.11 to 0</td>
<td>−0.11 to 0</td>
<td>−0.11 to 0</td>
<td>−0.11 to 0</td>
<td>−0.11 to 0</td>
<td>Qi et al. (2006), Hwang et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In September, an intense biodeposition resulted in high levels of TOC accumulation in sediment and high DO and DON influxes (Hatcher et al. 1994). Based on the metabolic rates of NH$_4^+$ (57 t N) and PO$_4^{3-}$ (11 t P) from the Pacific oyster (Mao et al. 2006) and benthic influxes of TDN and TDP in September, sediment may be able to take up 64% of the N and 25% of the P metabolized by oysters. With respect to nutrient feedback in pore water (Fig. 6), at Stn MC the NH$_4^+$ level in pore water was significantly increased and the NO$_x^-$ was depleted after incubation, which is consistent with high levels of NH$_4^+$ efflux and NO$_x^-$ influx at high biodeposition sites (Gilbert et al. 1997, Christensen et al. 2000). When NO$_x^-$ is depleted, MnO$_2$/FeOOH were reduced and the adsorption of PO$_4^{3-}$ substantially decreased, which explains why a marked increase in the PO$_4^{3-}$ concentration was observed in deep pore water after incubation at Stn MC. At Stn ST1 there was no obvious increase in the NH$_4^+$ concentration in pore water after incubation, probably because of the removal of N by coupled nitrification–denitrification or adsorption (discussed in ‘Environmental factors controlling benthic fluxes’).

**Benthic nutrient fluxes in different aquaculture modes**

Increased biodeposits produced by the actively growing animals can result in a substantial increase in the organic content of sediment (Hatcher et al. 1994, Christensen et al. 2000, Ferrón et al. 2009, Lee et al. 2011); the mineralization of sedimentation can release substantial nutrients from the sediment to the seawater, which may result in the deterioration of seawater quality (Chau 2002). Hence, the TOC in the sediment and benthic effluxes of nutrients in traditional aquaculture areas were extremely high (Table 3). Monoculture was implemented in Sanggou Bay in the 1970s; the extremely high TOC in the sediment and the low DIN concentration in the seawater may have resulted in great benthic nutrient fluxes in this monoculture period (Fig. 8). Since 1980 the introduction of polyculture in Sanggou Bay has resulted in the reduction of TOC in the sediment (Song et al. 2012). And the high DIN concentration in the seawater indicated that substantial organic matter was recycling in the seawater during the polyculture period. The efficient recycling of organic matter and nutrients explains why the TOC of sediment and the benthic effluxes in Sanggou Bay were significantly less than in other monoculture areas. During the polyculture period, the annual gross yield of seafood increased especially in the 2000s, and the proportion of different species changed continuously so that the optimal aquatic environment was obtained (Zhang et al. 2009). Once the IMTA was widely implemented in Sanggou Bay, the DIN concentration dropped to a moderate level; the TOC of sediment and the benthic effluxes in Sanggou Bay are comparable with that in non-aquaculture areas such as the East China Sea (Table 3), though substantial aquaculture activities have been implemented in Sanggou Bay.

In Sanggou Bay, the benthic mineralization rates (CO$_2$ fluxes) at the 2 different stations were similar, but the benthic nutrient fluxes were different, which reflected the impacts of different aquaculture modes (Table 3). In September, DO was at near saturation levels at Stn ST1 (polyculture area of kelp and oyster) but below saturation at Stn MC (the fish culture area, and near the oyster area) (Fig. 2; contours of DO saturation); the lower DO level at Stn MC led to an increase in the NH$_4^+$ efflux and a decrease in the NO$_x^-$ efflux. Hyun et al. (2013) reported DO concentrations less than saturation in bottom waters at an oyster farm, presumably because of the combination of DO consumption at the sediment–water interface and the dense suspended culture that limits seawater exchange and the replenishment of DO. Conversely, at Stn ST1, DO provided by kelp helps to maintain the DO saturation level. Hence, greater NO$_x^-$ efflux was observed at Stn ST1 than at Stn MC. The influxes of DON and PO$_4^{3-}$ were higher at Stn MC.

![Fig. 8. Historical data of yield, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentration in seawater and total organic carbon (TOC) in sediment, which were sourced from Zhang et al. (2009), Li et al. (2016, this Theme Section) and Song et al. (2012), respectively. IMTA: integrated multi-trophic aquaculture](image-url)
than at Stn ST1, probably due to the greater metabolic rates from bivalves in the oyster culture area than in the polyculture area of kelp and oyster (Cai et al. 2003). More filtration of diatoms by bivalves in the oyster culture than in the kelp and oyster polyculture area may explain why Si(OH)₄ efflux at Stn MC was lower than at Stn ST1.

In summary, the benthic nutrient fluxes were significantly lower in the IMTA system than in other monoculture areas and were impacted by DO levels at different culture stations rather than by sedimentary TOC generated from aquaculture species. Seasonal variations in benthic fluxes were controlled by temperature and nutrient concentrations related to aquaculture.
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Reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments of the mariculture region of Sanggou Bay, China
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ABSTRACT: Reduced inorganic sulfur (RIS) and organic matter (OM) in a mariculture region (Sanggou Bay, China; SGB) and at a reference station without mariculture were determined to assess the influence of mariculture on sulfide accumulation and the benthic environment. To this end, sediment acid-volatile sulfide (AVS), pyrite sulfur (pyrite-S), elemental sulfur (ES), OM, porosity, reactive iron, and pore water sulfate were measured. The results indicate that the concentration of RIS was negatively correlated with dissolved oxygen concentration. Principal component analysis showed that sulfide distribution was influenced by sediment porosity, OM, and reactive iron concentration. In addition, sulfide distribution was influenced by water current and water depth. More sulfide content accumulated at an oyster monoculture site than at a scallop/kelp polyculture site and a kelp monoculture site. We found no significant difference in ES concentration among the 3 mariculture types. While no significant influence on benthic OM accumulation was observed, except slightly enhanced reactivity of the OM (making it easily decomposable), mariculture activities in SGB significantly promoted sulfide accumulation compared to the reference station. However, there was no potential threat of toxic sulfide to the benthic biomass in SGB.

KEY WORDS: Acid volatile sulfide · Pyrite-S · Elemental sulfur · Sediment · Mariculture · Sanggou Bay

INTRODUCTION

World aquaculture output increased continuously from 1950 to 2011, and now accounts for 41.3% of the global supply of fish, crustaceans, and mollusks (FAO 2012). However, despite improved production efficiency, scallop and oyster mariculture generates massive quantities of biological deposits enriched with organic matter (OM) (Carlsson et al. 2009). The accumulation of these organic-rich deposits in sediments of mariculture regions stimulates benthic mineralization and oxygen depletion (Carlsson et al. 2009), and consequently promotes dissimilatory sulfate reduction. Major environmental and ecological issues arising from sulfate reduction include the accumulation of toxic sulfide (e.g. H₂S), acid-volatile sulfide (AVS), pyrite sulfur (pyrite-S), and elemental sulfur (ES) in the impacted sediments (Otero et al. 2006, Gao et al. 2013) and nutrient release to the overlying water (Hyun et al. 2013). The toxic sulfide can threaten benthic macrofauna and its diversity (Yokoyama 2003). Moreover, the dissolved sulfide in sediments is highly reactive and is rapidly reoxidized by oxygen, nitrate, or oxidized Fe and Mn, resulting in the deterioration of anoxic conditions. In addition, studies of reduced inorganic sulfur (RIS, e.g. AVS, pyrite-S, and ES) in sediments can contribute to better understanding of benthic miner-
alization processes related to sulfate reduction, the impacts of sulfate reduction on other element cycles (e.g. N and P cycles), and the biogeochemical behavior of trace elements in marine ecosystems (Huerta-Diaz et al. 1998, Lückge et al. 1999, Burton et al. 2006). Therefore, extensive studies related to sulfide, especially the RIS in sediments, have been conducted worldwide, including in mariculture regions (Yokoyama 2003, Holmkvist et al. 2011, Gao et al. 2013, Hyun et al. 2013, Kraal et al. 2013, Zhu et al. 2013).

Environmental responses of Sanggou Bay (SGB), Shandong Province, China, to mariculture have received more attention in recent years (Zhang et al. 2009), including nutrient conditions and benthic nutrient fluxes (Sun et al. 2010, Ning et al. 2016, this Theme Section), natural sedimentation (Cai et al. 2003), and phytoplankton (Lu et al. 2015). However, reports about the impact of mariculture on benthic biogeochemistry, especially sulfur chemistry in SGB, still remains poor. Considering that the concentration and distribution of RIS species are strongly influenced by OM inputs and thus by mariculture, high concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES in sediments receiving high OM inputs may be well coupled to each other, and the spatial coupling may be used to trace mariculture influence. To test this hypothesis, we quantified RIS and OM characteristics in sediments of SGB and analyzed their spatial patterns. The spatial patterns were then used to trace potential mariculture impacts on sediment biogeochemistry and the benthic environment of the bay. Other factors influencing sulfide distributions were also addressed in this work.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

SGB, a semi-enclosed bay located in the western Yellow Sea (YS) (Fig. 1a), occupies a total area of approximately 144 km² and has a mean depth of 7.5 m. SGB is the most important integrated multitrophic aquaculture location in northern China. Suspended multi-species aquaculture of Farrer’s scallop Chlamys farreri, Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas, and kombu kelp Saccharina japonica is well developed in SGB, occupying almost 67% of the total aquaculture area (Zhang et al. 2009), and annually supplies 15 000 and 84 500 t of scallop and kelp, respectively. Three mariculture models for scallop and kelp are currently employed in SGB, viz. monoculture of scallop, monoculture of kelp,
and polyculture of scallop/kelp. The scallop-dominant polyculture system was implemented to maximize economic gains from aquaculture. The culture ratio for scallop and kelp is 2:1, and details of the mariculture facilities can be found in Fang et al. (1996). Mariculture densities for scallop and kelp are reduced to 2/3 and 2/5 compared to their monoculture densities, respectively. Biodeposition rates have increased rapidly along with the expansion of maricultural activities, and can be up to 278.8 g m⁻² d⁻¹ (Cai et al. 2003). In integrated multi-trophic aquaculture systems, kelp assimilates nutrients and CO₂, converting them into potentially valuable biomass. Scallop cultivation can stimulate carbon migration from the water column to the sediment through filter-feeding and biodeposition (Chopin et al. 2008). Thus, SGB provides an excellent opportunity to investigate the influence of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture systems on RIS in sediments.

**Sampling**

Field expeditions aboard the RV ‘Lurong Fisher 65580’ were conducted during April 2013 in SGB. To elucidate the impacts of mariculture on RIS accumulation, 27 surface and 2 core sediments in different mariculture regions were sampled using a box corer (Fig. 1b), and a station without mariculture in the YS (Stn MC) was selected as a reference station (Stn A02; Fig. 1a). The physico-chemical characteristics were fairly similar between SGB and the YS. Previous studies showed that the nutrient conditions (Sun et al. 2010), OM and biogenic elements (e.g. matrix-bound phosphine) (Li et al. 2010), and total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations in sediment and benthic effluxes in SGB (Ning et al. 2016) are comparable to those in the YS. During the sampling process, each sediment core was sectioned on board in a nitrogen atmosphere (to prevent oxidation) at 1 cm intervals in the top 10 cm, and at 2 cm intervals in the remainder of the core (cores from Stn MC were sectioned only at 2 cm intervals for pore water extraction). The subsamples were immediately placed in plastic ziplock bags with air excluded. Pore water was extracted using Rhizon soil moisture samplers (Liu et al. 2011) and placed in polypropylene plastic bottles. All samples were stored in the dark at −20°C (Lasorsa & Casas 1996) and analyzed immediately upon return to the laboratory. The near-bottom water (1 m distance to bottom) was sampled for temperature and salinity determination.

**Analyses**

Temperature and salinity of near-bottom water were determined in situ using a multi-parameter water quality analyzer (Multi 350i, WTW). The concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO) in near-bottom water was measured using the Winkler titration method (Bryan et al. 1976). OM content in sediments was determined by weight loss upon ignition of the dried sediment at 550°C for 4 h (Santisteban et al. 2004), with a precision (relative standard deviation, RSD) of 1.0% (n = 5). Porosity was determined by weight change before and after freeze-drying the sediment. The determination of reactive Fe (FeR) followed Zhu et al. (2012), using 0.2 g of dry sediment with 25 ml of 50 g l⁻¹ sodium dithionite (buffered with 0.2 M sodium citrate and 0.35 M acetic acid to pH 4.8), and shaking for 2 h for FeR extraction. The extracts were measured by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (Thermo 6300). The relative deviations of parallel determinations were less than 5.0% (n = 5). The RIS concentration in sediments comprised the combined concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES, which were measured using the cold diffusion method followed by iodometric titration of the sulfide collected in alkaline zinc solution (Hsieh et al. 2002); the analytical precision was 4.3, 1.8, and 1.7% (n = 5) for the 3 measurements, respectively (Kang et al. 2014). The pore water sulfate was determined using an indirect titration method (Howarth 1978) that had an analytical precision of 0.2% (n = 5).

**Calculations**

The rate constant of OM decomposition, which was calculated according to Wei et al. (2005), has been used to discuss the impact of OM reactivity (ease of decomposition) on sulfate reduction in the YS and the East China Sea (Kang et al. 2014).

To calculate the sulfate reduction rates (SRRs), we assumed that the OM in sediments was oxidized by sulfate-reducing bacteria based on first-order kinetics, which was performed on the rate function. The sulfate profile was best expressed by assuming that the sulfate concentration decreased exponentially with depth (Jørgensen 1978, Bowles et al. 2014). The rate function \( f(x) = a \cdot e^{-b x} \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants and \( x \) is depth) was obtained by fitting the sulfate profile using an exponential decay (Jørgensen 1978, Bowles et al. 2014). The SRR at a given depth was then calculated based on the rate function, and the depth-integrated SRR was calculated. To facili-
tate consistent comparisons, the SRR was integrated to the bottom of the sulfate reduction zone (the integrated depth determined from extrapolations according the rate function).

Statistics

All statistical tests were performed using SPSS 19 software. Prior to each statistical analysis, the normality and homoscedasticity of the data were tested. One-way ANOVAs were applied to normalized data showing homogeneous variance. A 1-way ANOVA was used to assess differences in the OM and sulfide concentrations among various mariculture areas in the SGB. For all statistical tests, a probability of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. In factor analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation was used to study the relationship among measured parameters. The number of principal components in the PCA model was established by considering only those with an eigenvalue > 1.0 (Reid & Spencer 2009).

RESULTS

Physical and chemical parameters of near-bottom water in SGB

The spatial distribution of temperature, salinity, and the degree of DO saturation in near-bottom water is shown in Fig. 2. The temperature of near-bottom water ranged from 6.1 to 9.9°C (mean ± SD 7.8 ± 1.2°C) and decreased from the inner bay to the mouth. Salinity ranged from 30.10 to 31.44 (30.71 ± 0.49) and decreased from the mouth to the inner bay.

Quality and quantity of OM in sediments of SGB and YS

The OM concentration ranged from 0.9 to 9.2% (mean 5.4%) in surface sediment of SGB, and increased from the mouth of the bay (4.08 ± 0.95%) to the inner bay (6.26 ± 2.08%; Table 1), particularly in the scallop and oyster monoculture areas (Fig. 3a). The OM concentration decreased to a minimum of 3.5% with increasing depth in the deep layer at Stns MC and ST1 (Fig. 3b). The OM rapidly decreased in the upper 7 cm and then remained stable with depth at Stn A02. The rate constant of OM decomposition was 0.072, 0.033, and 0.001 yr⁻¹ at Stns MC, ST1, and A02, respectively, which indicated that the OM reactivity of Stn MC was the highest among the 3 stations.

Sulfate in pore waters and sulphate reduction rate in SGB and YS

The pore water sulfate concentration was high and fluctuated with increasing depth at Stns ST1, MC, and A02 (Fig. 4). The depth-integrated SRR was 1.89 and 0.54 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (X. Kang et al. unpubl. data) at Stns ST1 and A02, respectively. The SRR at Stn MC was not calculated because of the limited available pore water sulfate data.
Table 1. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in near-bottom water and organic matter (OM) and sulfide concentrations in sediments at stations in areas involved in 4 major types of mariculture in Sanggou Bay. We did not compile the data from fish monoculture regions, as only a limited number of stations were available. AVS: acid-volatile sulfide, ES: elemental sulfur, RIS: reduced inorganic sulfur

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Scallops monoculture</th>
<th>Oysters monoculture</th>
<th>Scallop/kelp polyculture</th>
<th>Kelp monoculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO mg l⁻¹</td>
<td>8.53–10.60</td>
<td>9.20 (0.85)</td>
<td>8.38–9.22</td>
<td>8.82 (0.35)</td>
<td>8.77–10.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM % by dry wt</td>
<td>4.71–7.48</td>
<td>6.06 (0.93)</td>
<td>4.09–9.18</td>
<td>6.26 (2.08)</td>
<td>4.47–7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVS µmol g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.58–5.00</td>
<td>2.54 (1.83)</td>
<td>2.51–12.56</td>
<td>5.87 (5.79)</td>
<td>0.20–5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite-S µmol g⁻¹</td>
<td>8.78–51.52</td>
<td>21.63 (14.65)</td>
<td>15.06–24.01</td>
<td>21.40 (4.18)</td>
<td>5.45–38.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES µmol g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.24–1.10</td>
<td>0.65 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.28–0.86</td>
<td>0.52 (0.28)</td>
<td>0.16–0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIS µmol g⁻¹</td>
<td>12.68–53.19</td>
<td>24.82 (13.62)</td>
<td>18.02–37.06</td>
<td>25.71 (7.20)</td>
<td>5.81–39.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distribution of reactive Fe in surface and core sediments of SGB and YS**

Content of Fe₉ in surface sediments of SGB showed a wide range from 20.7 to 102.1 µmol g⁻¹. A peak value was observed in the scallop monoculture region (Fig. 5a). This spatial distribution was generally coupled to OM (Fig. 3a). The concentrations (in µmol g⁻¹) of Fe₉ were 26.0–93.6 (mean 60.6) at Stn MC, 18.0–148.3 (mean 62.0) at Stn ST1, and 29.8–126.1 (mean 82.5) at Stn A02, and all exhibited a decrease with greater core depth (Fig. 5b).

**Sediment porosity of surface and core sediments of SGB and YS**

The porosity of surface sediment ranged from 0.40 to 0.77 in SGB, presenting higher values in the inner bay with oyster or scallop monoculture and lower values in the mouth of the bay with kelp monoculture (Fig. 6a). The porosity at Stns ST1, MC, and A02 all exhibited a decrease with greater core depth, especially at Stn MC. The porosity of Stn ST1 decreased in the upper 5 cm and then remained stable with depth (Fig. 6b).

**Sulfur species in surface and core sediments of SGB and YS**

The concentrations (in µmol g⁻¹) of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES in surface sediments of SGB were 0.20–12.56 (mean 2.20), 0.57–51.52 (mean 17.17), and 0.16–1.10 (mean 0.49), respectively. The differences in AVS, ES, and pyrite-S between stations in the SGB were significant at the 95% confidence level. The AVS showed high concentrations in the southwest part of the bay (with oyster monoculture; Fig. 7). Compared
with AVS and pyrite-S, the concentrations of ES were lower throughout the bay, especially at the mouth. Pyrite-S was the predominant sulfide mineral in sediments of the bay, accounting for 58.2 to 96.9% (mean 85.0%) of the RIS, and its concentration was particularly high in the northwest (with scallop monoculture) and south part (with oyster monoculture) of the bay.

The variations in AVS, ES, and pyrite-S with depth were significant at the 95% confidence level. The AVS concentration was <12.56 µmol g⁻¹ in core sediments of SGB, as its accumulation was limited by transformation to pyrite-S. At Stn ST1, the AVS concentration gradually increased in the upper 7 cm and then stabilized, while the pyrite-S concentration peaked at 2−3 cm depth, then leveled off below this depth (Fig. 8). At Stn MC, we observed 2 peaks of AVS and pyrite-S concentration. The ES concentration was stable with increasing depth at Stns ST1 and MC; pyrite-S was the primary sulfide at these 2 stations. For Stn A02, the AVS concentration was low and only peaked at 8 cm. ES and pyrite-S increased with depth at this station and were comparable to SGB. The distribution of ES was similar to that of pyrite-S at Stn A02. Pearson’s correlation analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between the ES and pyrite-S concentrations (r = 0.62, p < 0.001).

**PCA**

We performed PCAs of sulfur speciation, OM, FeR and porosity. The total variances explained by the first 3 and 2 principal components were 86.45% and
78.04\%, respectively, for surface and core sediments. The degree of association between each variable and each principal component was given by its loading on that principal component.

For surface sediments (Table 2), PC1 accounted for 38.17\% of the total variance and was correlated primarily with ES, pyrite-S, and RIS. PC2 accounted for 32.83\% of the total variance and correlated with FeR, OM, and porosity. PC3 accounted for 15.45\% of the total variance and was primarily characterized by a positive loading of AVS and a negative loading of ES.

For core sediments (Table 3), PC1 accounted for 55.20\% of the total variance, and was positively associated with AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS, and negatively correlated with OM, FeR, and porosity. PC2 accounted for 22.84\% of the total variance and was positively correlated with ES and negatively correlated with AVS.

**DISCUSSION**

**Factors controlling RIS partitioning and spatial distribution**

Sulfide formation is influenced by many factors, including oxygen concentration, reactivity and quantity of OM, sediment grain size (Martinez-Garcia et al. 2015), presence of reactive iron buried in sediments, the amount of sulfate in pore water (Berner 1984), and other factors.

Fig. 6. Porosity of (a) surface and (b) core sediments of Sanggou Bay and the Yellow Sea (see Fig. 1 for station locations)

Fig. 7. Horizontal distributions of acid-volative sulfide (AVS), elemental sulfur (ES), and pyrite-S (µmol g⁻¹) in surface sediments of Sanggou Bay
Sulfate reduction is a strictly anaerobic process and mainly occurs in anoxic environments (Berner 1982, Jørgensen 1982, Aller & Rude 1988). However, the primary effects of aerobic environments on sulfate reduction are through the re-oxidation of sulfide and the activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). Aerobic mineralization may take place in oxic surface sediments in SGB. The extent of aerobic mineralization depends on the DO concentration in bottom water, which subsequently influences the extent of sulfate reduction (Brüchert et al. 2003). The SRR has been shown to be negatively correlated with bottom water oxygen concentration in the seasonally hypoxic Eckernförde Bay in the Baltic Sea (Bertics et al. 2013). In the present study, slightly lower DO concentrations were found in the scallop and oyster monoculture areas of SGB (Fig. 2c), which were related to the relatively high oxygen consumption associated with the process of OM decomposition. Low DO concentrations would have facilitated the activity of SRB and reduced the possibility of sulfide re-oxidation, leading to high concentrations of sulfide (especially the AVS and pyrite-S) in the scallop and oyster monoculture areas of SGB (Table 1). Thus, the DO concentration might regulate the distribution of RIS. Pearson’s correlation analysis indicated a significant negative correlation between the DO concentration and the RIS concentration ($r = -0.48$, $p < 0.05$), indicating that the RIS concentration increased with decreasing DO concentration.

**Reactivity of OM**

The reactivity and quantity of OM are important factors controlling sulfate reduction (Berner 1984). The labile OM in mariculture regions would favor sulfate reduction (Otero et al. 2006). Although the reactivity of OM in SGB was not measured directly, it can be evaluated by calculating the TOC:total nitrogen (TN) ratio. Holmer & Kristensen (1992) noted
that OM with a low TOC:TN ratio (5.7–7.0) can be decomposed rapidly, within several months of closure of a fish farm. The TOC:TN ratio of OM in sediments of SGB has been reported to be in the range of 7.04 to 8.93 (Zhang et al. 2006), which is similar to the mean TOC:TN ratio (6.6) of labile OM in the ocean (Babbin et al. 2014). The decreased OM concentration in the top 4 cm of sediment at Stn ST1 might be related to decomposition of kelp mariculture debris and scallop fecal material. Compared to Stn ST1, a continuous decline in the OM concentration from the sediment surface to 7 cm depth was found at Stn MC, suggesting somewhat higher reactivity of OM in oyster monoculture areas. The high OM decomposition rate constant found at Stn MC also confirmed this phenomenon. The low decomposable characteristics of OM at Stn A02 are also confirmed by the low OM decomposition rate constant (0.001 yr⁻¹).

**Sulfate reduction**

Sulfate reduction is independent of the sulfate concentration until the concentration is <3 mM (Boudreau & Westrich 1984). High concentrations of sulfate in pore water of the sediments of SGB and the reference station (A02) showed that sulfate was not a limiting factor for sulfate reduction. The SRR at Stn ST1 was higher than that at Stn A02, which might be related to the high reactivity of OM in the mariculture regions (Otero et al. 2006). The SRR in sediments of SGB was much lower than that in a marine fish farm (9–34 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) in Kolding Fjord, Denmark, with higher OM concentration (up to 23%) in sediments (Holmer & Kristensen 1992).

Other factors that influence sulfide distribution

Sediment grain size often influences the OM decomposition and sulfate accumulation in marine ecosystems (Martinez-Garcia et al. 2015). The grain size was not determined in this study, and data of the porosity were used here because finer-grain sediments tend to have higher porosities than coarser materials (Buckingham 2005). Our PCA results showed that porosity could influence the distribution of Fe₈ and OM in surface and core sediments, and subsequently affected the profiles of AVS and pyrite-S. In addition, Fe₈ was closely associated with OM-rich sediments. AVS could oxidize to ES, and the latter had a significant influence on the accumulation of pyrite-S in surface sediments, as highlighted by the PCA results (Table 2). The high ratio (>3) of pyrite-S to AVS in the core sediments and the PCA results both showed that the AVS could convert to pyrite-S effectively.

The current velocity can also influence the sulfide distribution; for example, the decreased current velocity (up to 54%) (Grant & Bacher 2001) caused by the presence of dense mariculture restricted the DO exchange between SGB and the water outside the bay. In addition, the movement of OM could also be influenced by the current. These 2 cases can influence the sulfate reduction, and consequently influence the sulfide distribution. Our results showed that high concentrations of sulfide distribution coupled well with lower current velocity (Grant & Bacher 2001). Furthermore, the sulfide distribution could also be influenced by water depth, and there was a significant negative correlation between the RIS concentration and water depth (Pearson r = −0.41, p < 0.05).

**Mariculture impacts on sulfide accumulation and the benthic environment**

Mariculture impacts on sulfide accumulation

Although in addition to mariculture, natural factors can also impact the sulfide accumulation in sediments, the weak impact of natural factors could be ignored in our study area. For example, river input carries an annual sediment load of up to 17.1 × 10⁴ t, with a mean OM concentration of 4.5% in sediment of rivers around SGB (Xia 1991), which was lower than that in SGB (5.4%). RIS concentration in sediment of the Xiaoluo River (the second largest river around SGB) was determined in our previous study (X. Kang et al. unpubl.), and concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES were 0.56, 6.63, and 0.66 µmol g⁻¹, respectively, much lower than those in SGB. Thus, natural factors were not considered in the current study; instead, we focused on the influence of mariculture on sulfide accumulation.

The concentrations of sulfide in various mariculture areas are shown in Table 1. We found no significant difference in the AVS concentration between any 2 regions, except between the oyster monoculture and the scallop/kelp polyculture areas. The AVS concentration in the oyster monoculture areas was significantly higher (by a factor of 3.74) than in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). AVS concentrations accumulated in oyster monoculture areas were 1.5-fold higher than values in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas owing to dense
mariculture. This additional AVS accumulation might be related to the sedimentation rate in the oyster monoculture areas, which was significantly higher than in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas in spring (Cai et al. 2003). High sedimentation rates favor the retention of AVS in sediments (Gagnon et al. 1995). In addition, dense mariculture also influences the current velocity, which consequently influences the AVS accumulation. We observed no significant difference in the pyrite-S and RIS concentrations between 2 randomly selected regions, except between the oyster and kelp monoculture areas. The pyrite-S and RIS concentrations in the oyster monoculture areas were significantly higher than in the kelp monoculture areas (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), with the mean concentrations in the former areas being higher by a factor of 2.0. The higher concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS in the oyster monoculture areas were related to the higher OM and lower DO concentrations (Table 1). In addition, release of DO to the water column through photosynthesis would be occurring in the kelp mariculture areas. There was no significant difference in the ES concentration between 2 randomly selected regions. It may be that as an intermediate form of sulfide, ES is reduced to H₂S under reducing conditions, and oxidized to sulfate in oxic environments (Lovley & Phillips 1994).

Mariculture also influences sulfur accumulation in core sediments. Pyrite-S and RIS were significantly higher at Stn MC than Stn ST1 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), although the OM was significantly lower at MC compared to ST1 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). This phenomenon may have been induced by the higher reactivity of OM at Stn MC. However, there was no significant difference in AVS, ES, and Fe₅₋₇ between Stns ST1 and MC (1-way ANOVA, p > 0.05). AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS concentrations at Stns ST1 and MC were significantly higher than at the reference station (A02) (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). However, there was no significant difference of Fe₅₋₇ among Stns ST1, MC, and A02 (1-way ANOVA, p > 0.05). In addition, the OM at ST1 and MC was significantly lower than at A02 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). The relatively lower OM at Stns ST1 and MC induced high concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS and may be related to its high reactivity owing to mariculture. ES was significantly higher at A02 than at MC and ST1 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), which might be related to the AVS oxidation.

Compared with the RIS concentration in other mariculture areas (Table 4), the AVS concentration in SGB was in the same range as reported for Laizhou Bay, Zhangzi Island, for scallop and sea cucumber mariculture (Gao et al. 2013), Bohai Bay for clam mariculture (Jiang et al. 2005), and Jiaozhou Bay for clam and shrimp mariculture (Huo et al. 2001). Hyun et al. (2013) compiled the SRRs for various mariculture regions, and noted that SRR was related to sedimentation rates of organic carbon, hanging mussel biomass, the length of time a farm had been in operation, and temperature. It is difficult to say which factor(s) accounts for the similar concentrations of RIS among these mariculture areas. In general, the RIS concentrations in shellfish farms (including scallop, oyster, and clam farms) were lower than in fish farms, including the Dapengao Bay fish cage mariculture farm (Gan et al. 2003). The difference in RIS concentration between shellfish and fish farms is largely because of the higher sedimentation rate of food pellets in fish farms, which provide additional OM. Compared with non-mariculture regions, such as the adjacent YS (Pu et al. 2008, Kang et al. 2014), there was no significant accumulation of AVS in SGB. The RIS concentration in SGB was lower than that in the East China Sea (Lin et al. 2002, Kang et al. 2014), the Black Sea (Holmkvist et al. 2011), and the Mediterranean Sea (Henneke et al. 1997). As SGB is an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture bay, kelp may assimilate nutrients and release DO into the water column through photosynthesis. The lower TOC concentrations and aerobic environment may explain the lower RIS concentrations in SGB.

Mariculture impacts on the benthic environment

One of the main impacts of mariculture on the benthic environment is OM enrichment of sediments through biodeposition. The OM concentration in the scallop monoculture and the scallop/kelp polyculture areas were slightly higher (by factors of 1.49 and 1.35, respectively) than in the kelp monoculture areas (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). However, the OM concentration in the surface sediments of SGB was comparable to that in the adjacent YS (Fig. 3) (Kang et al. 2014). Crawford et al. (2003) noted that the effect of shellfish farming on organic enrichment of the seabed was small, and much less than that caused by finfish farming. In addition, the resuspension of surface sediment, driven by wind, waves, and currents, can be observed in spring in SGB (Jiang et al. 2012); these factors can resuspend and move most of the accumulated OM (Holmer & Kristensen 1992). Dissimilatory sulfate reduction through OM decomposition may also be responsible for the low OM concentration in SGB.
The mean benthic carbon oxidation rate in SGB was 8.97 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Z. Ning et al. unpubl. data), calculated from the Redfield stoichiometric C:O\(_2\) ratio (1:1) and oxygen utilization (Ning et al. 2016). Similarly, from the stoichiometric conversion of sulfate reduction to C oxidation (i.e. C:S = 2:1) (Hyun et al. 2013), the contribution of sulfate reduction to total C oxidation was estimated. Calculation of the C oxidation rate, based on the SRR at Stn ST1 and its proportion of the total carbon oxidation rate, suggests that 42.1% of total C oxidation occurs via sulfate reduction in sediments of SGB. In addition, the contribution of denitrification to total C oxidation ranges from 4 to 10% in SGB (Ning et al. 2016). The remaining C oxidation may be a consequence of aerobic respiration, iron reduction, manganese reduction, and methanogenesis in SGB. In addition, the contribution of denitrification to total C oxidation ranges from 4 to 10% in SGB (Ning et al. 2016). The remaining C oxidation may be a consequence of aerobic respiration, iron reduction, manganese reduction, and methanogenesis in SGB. However, the contribution of sulfate reduction to C oxidation in SGB may be underestimated, because Stn ST1 was located in the polyculture area, where sulfate reduction was relatively weak, in part as a result of the low OM concentration. The contribution of sulfate reduction to C oxidation in SGB is higher than that at Stn A02 (30.0%) and lower than that found in July in the Jinhae-Tongyeong coastal mariculture region (64.4%) (Hyun et al. 2013) and that found in May in marine fish farm sediments of Kolding Fjord, Denmark (59.0%) (Holmer & Kristensen 1992).

Among the environmental and ecological issues arising from sulfate reduction in OM-enriched coastal sediments is the release of nutrients, including phosphate and ammonium, into the overlying water (Hyun et al. 2013). The benthic fluxes were −21 to −7.7, 7.7 to 21, 0 to 0.51, −0.030 to 0.27, −1.01 to 0.63, and 0.83 to 1.76 (mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) for DO, CO\(_2\), NH\(_4\), NO\(_X^-\) (NO\(_2^-+NO_3^-\)), dissolved organic nitrogen, PO\(_4^{3-}\), and Si(OH)\(_4\), respectively, in SGB (Ning et al. 2016), and the benthic nutrient fluxes were significantly lower in polyculture areas than in the monoculture areas.

### Ecological implications of sulfide accumulation in SGB

Sulfide tolerance (e.g. H\(_2\)S) of benthic fauna has previously been used to study the ecological significance of sulfide in sediment (Vismann 1991). The viability of fish farming can be at risk from the release of H\(_2\)S via sulfate reduction from sediments to the water column (Yokoyama 2003). Thus, we evaluated the ecological state of SGB according to the sulfide distribution. The direct determination of H\(_2\)S was difficult in SGB as a result of the low OM concentration. The contribution of sulfate reduction to C oxidation in SGB is higher than that at Stn A02 (30.0%) and lower than that found in July in the Jinhae–Tongyeong coastal mariculture region (64.4%) (Hyun et al. 2013) and that found in May in marine fish farm sediments of Kolding Fjord, Denmark (59.0%) (Holmer & Kristensen 1992).

Table 4. Concentrations of various sulfur species in the sediments of Sanggou Bay in comparison to other sea regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>AVS (µmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Pyrite-S (µmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ES (µmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>TOC (%/weight)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mariculture present</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanggou Bay</td>
<td>0.20–12.56</td>
<td>0.57–51.52</td>
<td>0.16–1.10</td>
<td>0.48–0.70a</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern of Bohai Bay</td>
<td>1.02–13.68</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Jiang et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laizhou Bay</td>
<td>1.22–7.60</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12–2.18</td>
<td>Gao et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhangzi Island</td>
<td>0.71–11.03</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12–2.18</td>
<td>Gao et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiaozhou Bay</td>
<td>5.06–19.11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Huo et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mariculture absent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Sea</td>
<td>0.02–17.14</td>
<td>0.61–113.1</td>
<td>0–44.4</td>
<td>0.22–0.94</td>
<td>Kang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East China Sea</td>
<td>0.01–25.02</td>
<td>0.61–54.82</td>
<td>0.14–16.84</td>
<td>0.32–1.05</td>
<td>Kang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Yellow Sea</td>
<td>0–11.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Pu et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern East China Sea</td>
<td>0–25</td>
<td>0–240</td>
<td>0–16</td>
<td>0.33–15.00</td>
<td>Holmkvist et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Sea</td>
<td>0–36</td>
<td>0–380</td>
<td>0–16</td>
<td>0.50–9.50</td>
<td>Henneke et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>50–350</td>
<td>0–25</td>
<td>0.50–9.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Song et al. (2012)
AVS (range 0.20–12.56 µmol g⁻¹) in sediments never exceeded this critical value in SGB.

Sulfur is also of ecological significance in the energy dynamics of sediments in SGB. The following discussion is based on calculations and assumptions detailed by Howarth (1984). During the process of sulfate reduction, generally 75% of the energy in the OM is transferred and fixed as hydrogen sulfide (Howarth 1984). Therefore, given that 42.1% of the total carbon oxidation was caused by sulfate reduction in SGB sediments, 31.6% (75% of 42.1%) of the energy transferring through the sediment would be conserved as hydrogen sulfide. Most of the hydrogen sulfide quickly forms iron sulfide, of which 80 to 99% is reoxidized under aerobic conditions (Howarth 1984). Based on the given calculation method of Howarth (1984) in Limfjorden sediments, approximately 10.0% of reduced sulfur was assumed to be buried in SGB sediments per year, and thus the energy released from reduced sulfur reoxidation would account for 28.4% (90% of 31.6%) of the benthic OM decomposition here. Thus, the released energy would total 1.3 KJ m⁻² d⁻¹, corresponding to a total respiration of 4.6 KJ m⁻² d⁻¹ (0.11 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) in SGB sediments. This released energy could be used by chemolithoautotrophs for CO₂ fixation, with energy utilization efficiency ranging from 21 to 37% in sediments (Howarth 1984). Subsequently, new organic carbon (0.007 to 0.012 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) was input to SGB sediments. This new organic carbon produced at the oxic–anoxic interface by chemolithoautotrophic production could be used as food for benthic animals (Howarth 1984).

CONCLUSION

We found that under the combined effects of mariculture activities and physical factors, the RIS accumulation in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas demonstrated the environmental benefits of this culture practice. We observed no significant influence of mariculture on the benthic environment after several years of mariculture in SGB, although it promoted sulfate accumulation to some extent compared to the reference station. The lower intensity of culture activities, better hydrodynamic conditions, and the polyculture of scallops and kelp might explain the healthy benthic environment in SGB (Zhang et al. 2009). In addition, the ecological state of SGB can be assessed using the RIS concentration in sediment.

Although we investigated the effect of mariculture activities on RIS accumulation, the seasonal evolution of the system was not considered in this study, and should therefore be assessed in future research. In addition, the mariculture facilities should be considered further to better evaluate the influence of mariculture.
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INTRODUCTION

Selenium is known to be a ‘double-edged sword’ element, having one of the narrowest ranges of beneficent effects on organisms, depending on its concentration and chemical form. Dissolved inorganic selenium (DISe) concentration in the water column and selenium content in biological species were investigated in a typical aquacultural area in Sanggou Bay, China. In addition to sampling within Sanggou Bay, the main sources of DISe into Sanggou Bay were sampled to estimate selenium transport from different sources. Results showed that DISe and selenite [Se(IV)] concentrations averaged, respectively, 0.69 nmol l\(^{-1}\) and 0.28 nmol l\(^{-1}\), with ranges 0.21 to 1.36 nmol l\(^{-1}\) and 0.07 to 0.58 nmol l\(^{-1}\), in the surface water of Sanggou Bay. The DISe in Sanggou Bay remained well below the toxic levels. The DISe and Se(IV) concentrations varied temporally, with lows in summer and highs in spring and autumn. Concentrations showed strong horizontal gradients from the coast to offshore areas within the bay, as significantly influenced by the intensive and widespread seaweeds and bivalves aquaculture activity in the bay. The highest selenium content (mean ± SD) was observed in scallops (3.6 ± 0.7 µg g\(^{-1}\)), followed by oyster (1.6 ± 0.4 µg g\(^{-1}\)), phytoplankton (0.9 ± 0.3 µg g\(^{-1}\)), *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* (0.063 ± 0.008 µg g\(^{-1}\)) and kelp (0.032 ± 0.005 µg g\(^{-1}\)). The main source of DISe in Sanggou Bay was water exchange with the Yellow Sea, whereas the most important sink was biological activity, which removed 53 ± 12% of the incoming selenium from bay waters.

KEY WORDS: Dissolved inorganic selenium · Aquaculture · Seaweed · Bivalve · Sanggou Bay
nium. To understand the biological function of selenium in aquatic organisms, it is necessary to know the levels of different selenium species in the water column. The behavior of selenium in natural waters is complicated by the presence of several oxidation states (−II, IV, VI) and organic species (Conde & Sanz Alaejos 1997). Selenite [Se(IV)] and selenate [Se(VI)] are depleted in surface water and enriched in deep water, and exhibit a nutrient-type profile consistent with other bioactive trace elements in the ocean (Cutter & Bruland 1984, Cutter & Catter 1995, 2001).

China is one of the largest marine shellfish and seaweed producers in the world (Zhang et al. 2009). Sanggou Bay, located in Shandong Province, is an important aquacultural production area in China (Guo et al. 1999) (see Fig. 1), and is mainly used to culture seaweed and bivalves (Fang et al. 1996). Sanggou Bay has been the focus of research for ~20 yr, and extensive studies have been conducted on hydrodynamic characteristics (Zhao et al. 1996), sediment chemistry (Cai et al. 2003), nutrients (Liu et al. 2004), heavy metals (Jiang et al. 2008), ecosystem services (Zheng et al. 2009), and the sustainable management of aquaculture (Zhang et al. 2009, Shi et al. 2011). Until now, however, little research has been conducted on selenium in the aquacultural areas. Studies have indicated that selenium can stimulate the growth of seaweed (Fries 1982, Horne 1991) and that, through metabolism, seaweed can accumulate over 50 times more inorganic selenium in Se(IV) enriched culture medium than in seawater (Yan et al. 2004). In addition, the selenium assimilation efficiency of bivalves can be as high as 70 to 95% when feeding on the cytoplasm of the prey alga (Wang & Fisher 1996, Reinfelder et al. 1997); these levels may be threatening to upper trophic level birds and fish (Lemly 1995). Further studies are necessary to investigate the distributions of selenium species in aquaculture areas like Sanggou Bay, and to understand the mechanisms controlling the speciation of selenium in natural waters more generally.

The goal of this research was to investigate the distribution of dissolved inorganic selenium (DlSe), selenite [Se(IV)] and selenate [Se(VI)] in Sanggou Bay, and in the river and groundwater along the coastline of the bay, in order to (1) determine the distribution of inorganic selenium species under different aquaculture conditions, and (2) estimate the input and output fluxes of selenium species. The results of this study will improve understanding of how the mariculture of seaweed and bivalves affects selenium biogeochemistry in aquacultural areas.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

Sanggou Bay is situated on the eastern tip of Shandong Peninsula to the northwest of the Yellow Sea (37°01' to 37°09' N, 122°24' to 122°35' E), with a total area of approximately 144 km² and mean depth of 7.5 m (Zhang et al. 2009). The bay has been used for aquaculture with seaweed and bivalves in different regions for >30 yr. Monoculture of seaweeds, including kelp *Saccharina japonica* and *Gracilaria lemaneiformis*, occurs mainly near the mouth of the bay (hereafter ‘S-region’) from December to May (winter and spring) and from June to November (summer and autumn), respectively. Monoculture of bivalves (in the ‘B-region’), including scallops (*Chlamys farreri*) and oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), is mainly located near the end of the bay, while the middle part of the bay is occupied by seaweed–bivalve polyculture (‘SB-region’) (Fig. 1). The annual production of kelp and *G. lemaneiformis* is approximately 8.45 × 10⁸ and 25.4 × 10⁹ t dry weight, respectively, while the annual production of bivalves is approximately 7.5 × 10⁹ t (Rongcheng Fisheries Technology Extension Station 2012). The rivers that enter the bay include the Gu (Chinese name: Guhe), Yatou (Yatouhe), Sanggan (Sangganhe), and Shili (Shilhe) rivers, as well as several other smaller creeks. The annual discharge of these seasonal rivers is from 0.17 × 10⁹ to 0.23 × 10⁹ m³ (Editorial Board of Annals of Bays in China 1991).

**Sample collection**

Samples were collected from marine areas in and adjacent to Sanggou Bay and from major rivers and groundwater along the coastline of the bay. Marine samples were collected during cruises in Sanggou Bay from 22 to 26 April (spring), 21 to 29 July (summer), and 17 to 20 October (autumn) of 2013, to investigate selenium levels in different seasons and various aquacultural activities. During each cruise, approximately 21 marine stations (Stns SG1 to SG19) were sampled (Fig. 1). Surface water was collected upstream and to the side of the boat while the boat moved forward, using a plastic pole sampler 3 to 4 m in length with an acid-cleaned polyethylene bottle attached to the end. Near-bottom water samples were taken with a 5 l organic glass hydrophore. Sediment cores (about 0 to 4 cm depth) were collected using a box core (15 × 15 cm) at Stns SG5 and SG6 in July 2013. Porewaters were extracted and filtered.
Chang et al.: Influence of mariculture on selenium from the sediment cores using 19.21.23F Rhizon CSS soil moisture samplers (Liu et al. 2011) and were frozen until analysis. River water and groundwater sampling was undertaken in April and July 2013 (Fig. 1). On each occasion, a total of 5 river water samples (from the Gu River [2 stations], Shili River, Yatou River, and Bahe Reservoir; Fig. 1) were collected using a 5 l clean plastic bucket from relatively fast-moving areas located away from urban regions. Three groundwater samples (from Stns GW-1, GW-2 and GW-3; Fig. 1) were collected from wells along the coastline of Sanggou Bay. Water temperature and salinity were measured in situ using an YSI Professional Plus meter at the time of sample collection. Water samples were filtered in the laboratory within 8 h of collection through precleaned 0.40 µm Nuclepore filters on a class 100 clean bench. The filtrates were placed in acid-cleaned polyethylene bottles and kept frozen until analysis.

Phytoplankton were collected by net tows using a net with a mesh size of 70 µm in April 2015. After the trawl, the plankton were filtered through a 200 µm mesh to remove the zooplankton and then were stored in plastic bottles previously decontaminated with dilute HCl solution. These bottles were kept from the sun and heat in an insulated box containing ice throughout the sampling process and transported to the laboratory. Phytoplankton were collected on the weighed 0.40 µm Nuclepore filters and freeze dried until analysis. Kelp, *G. lemaneiformis*, scallops and oysters samples were also collected in the bay during April, July, July and October 2013, respectively, stored in zip-closure plastic bags and kept in an insulated box containing ice throughout the sampling process. The seaweeds were washed with distilled water to remove salts and small invertebrates and freeze dried. The muscle was collected from bivalves and freeze dried. Dried samples were ground into powder to pass through an 80 mesh (180 µm) sieve before analysis.

**Analytical methods**

Measurement of dissolved selenium concentrations

The analytical techniques for Se(IV) and Se(VI) by hydride generation combined with sector field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HG-ICP-MS) (Element 2™ ICP-MS, Thermol) have been described elsewhere (Zhang & Combs 1996, Chang et al. 2014). Briefly, Se(IV) at an acidity of 2 mol l⁻¹ HCl was reacted with NaBH₄ to produce hydrogen selenide and was then quantified using HG-ICP-MS. Se(VI) was quantitatively reduced to Se(IV) by heating a sample acidified with 3 mol l⁻¹ HCl to 97°C for 75 min, then quickly cooling the sample to room temperature using an ice-water bath, and finally following the steps for Se(IV) determination to yield the concentration of dissolved inorganic selenium (DISe). The reduction recovery ranged from 95 to 103%. This reduction method avoided the problematic variation in Se(VI) reduction behavior with different matrices, and kept the reduction rate at nearly 100% for a longer period of time than previous methods (e.g. Cutter et al. 1978, Yao & Zhang 2003). The Se(VI) concentration was calculated as the difference between DISe and Se(IV). The de tection limits for Se(IV) and Se(VI) were 0.025 and 0.030 nmol l⁻¹, respectively. The measurement precisions for Se(IV) and Se(VI) in
river water were 3.4 and 3.9%, respectively, and those in seawater were 3.1 and 3.4%, respectively. The spiked standard Se(IV) or Se(VI) recovery ranged from 97 to 103%. The accuracy of the methods was tested with standard solutions, Se(IV) 50031-94 and Se(VI) GBW10032, and showed differences within −3.0 and 0.7%, respectively. The concentration of chlorophyll a (chl a) was measured using an ACLW-RS chlorophyll turbidity temperature sensor.

Measurement of selenium content of biological tissues

For total selenium content determination, complete digestion of the biological species tissues was performed with a microwave digestion system (MARSXpress, CEM). Samples (about 0.2 g) of dry tissue were soaked in 6 ml concentrated HNO₃. The digestion program was as follows: the sample was heated to 100°C for 10 min, held for 5 min, and then heated to 150°C for 5 min, held for 5 min, and finally heated to 180°C within 5 min and held for 45 min. After cooling, the solution was evaporated at 150°C to dryness using a heating block within about 2.5 h. Subsequently, the residue was dissolved in 5 ml 4 mol l⁻¹ HCl, and heated in 110°C using the heating block to reduce Se(VI) to Se(IV) for 45 min. After cooling, samples were added 15 ml H₂O and diluted with 1 mol l⁻¹ HCl to 50.0 ml in volumetric flask. The selenium concentration was determined by HG-ICP-MS. The biological standard reference materials GBW 010024 (sea scallop), GBW010025 (spiral algae) and GBW010050 (prawn) were measured, with results of 1.49 ± 0.05, 0.23 ± 0.008 and 5.12 ± 0.05 µg g⁻¹ (2σ, n = 6), respectively, with relative error −0.6, +3.8, and +0.3%, respectively, confirming the accuracy of the method.

Data statistics and analysis

The statistics software package Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 16.0 (SPSS) was used for all data analyses. Differences were tested for significance using 1-way and 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and p < 0.05 was taken to indicate significant difference. Mean values are presented with standard deviation throughout.

Flux estimates

A steady-state box model based on the Land-Ocean Interactions in the Coastal Zone (LOICZ) Biogeochemical Modeling Guidelines was used to construct a DISe budget for Sanggou Bay from water budgets and non-conservative distribution of DISe, which were in turn constrained by the salt balance under steady-state conditions (Gordon et al. 1996).

The vertical diffusional flux of dissolved selenium from the bottom sediment was estimated using a modified form of Fick’s first law (Meseck & Cutter 2012):

$$ J = \theta^m D_0 \left( \frac{\Delta S e}{\Delta z} \right) $$

where $J$ is the diffusional flux, $\theta$ is the porosity, $m$ has a value of 3 for surface sediments (Ullman & Aller 1982), $D_0$ is the effective diffusion coefficient ($-4.87 \times 10^{10}$ m² s⁻¹) (Meseck & Cutter 2012), and $\Delta S e/\Delta z$ is the observed concentration gradient of porewater selenium. The selenium concentration at $z = 0$ m (Se₀) in water from the near-bottom of the core was used as the initial point in the concentration gradient. A negative value of $J$ indicates that dissolved selenium is fluxing out of the sediments, while a positive $J$ results from dissolved selenium fluxing into the sediments. The value of $\theta$ in Sanggou Bay was 0.7 (Ning et al. 2016, this Theme Section).

RESULTS

Hydrographic properties in Sanggou Bay

The water temperature in the Sanggou Bay displayed a significant horizontal gradient, decreasing from the coast to offshore in spring and summer, with a reversed gradient occurring in autumn (Fig. 2a−c). Mean water temperatures ranged from 7.7 to 20.7°C between seasons, reflecting a remarkable seasonal variation (Table 1). The salinity increased from the coast to offshore (Fig. 2d−f) as a result of water exchange with the Yellow Sea. The mean salinity varied slightly, from 28.3 to 31.4, with lows in July and October due to rainfall and freshwater discharge (Table 1). The average concentrations of phytoplankton biomass, measured as chl a, varied between 0.83 µg l⁻¹ in spring and autumn, and 6.9 µg l⁻¹ in summer (Table 1).

Seasonal variations of inorganic selenium species

Concentrations of DISe ranged from 0.21 to 1.36 nmol l⁻¹ for all surface water samples in the bay, with a mean of 0.69 nmol l⁻¹ (Table 1). The critical selenium limit in water is classified as 126 nmol l⁻¹ in China,
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The minimum mean concentrations of DISe occurred during summer (0.33 nmol l⁻¹), while the maximum occurred during autumn (0.89 nmol l⁻¹) (Table 1). The minimum mean concentrations of Se(IV) also occurred during summer (0.12 nmol l⁻¹), but the maximum occurred during spring (0.39 nmol l⁻¹) (Table 1). One-way ANOVA showed that the concentrations of DISe and Se(IV) were not significantly different between spring and autumn (p > 0.05), but that the values in summer were significantly lower than those in spring and autumn (p < 0.0001). It is clear from Table 1 that mean concentrations of DISe and Se(IV)
showed similar seasonal patterns, with low values in summer and high values in spring and autumn. The mean Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratios for spring, summer, and autumn were 1.07, 0.64, and 0.51, respectively (Table 1). One-way ANOVA showed that the Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio was not significantly different between summer and autumn (p = 0.25), whereas values in spring were significantly higher than those in summer and autumn (p < 0.0001). The Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio indicated that Se(VI) was the dominant species of inorganic selenium in the bay during summer and autumn, but Se(IV) was the dominant species in the large proportion along the coast of the bay during spring.

**Horizontal distributions of inorganic selenium species**

The horizontal distributions of DISe, Se(IV), and the Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio in surface waters of Sanggou bay show similar features, such as a strong horizontal gradient from the coast to offshore (Fig. 2g−o). The concentrations of DISe along the coast during spring were lower than concentrations in the rest of the bay (Fig. 2g). While DISe showed a strong zonal distribution along the coast during autumn, decreasing offshore from 1.2 to 0.6 nmol l⁻¹, it was rather evenly distributed in summer (Fig. 2h,i). The distribution of Se(IV) in the bay exhibited a similar pattern to DISe in spring, summer, and autumn (Fig. 2j−l). The Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio had a zonal distribution along the coast during spring and autumn, decreasing offshore from 2 to 0.6 and 0.7 to 0.3, respectively (Fig. 2m,o); however, during summer, the ratio was higher along the coast and at the mouth of the bay (0.8) as compared with in the central region (0.4) (Fig. 2n).

A 2-way ANOVA was conducted that examined the effect of season and space (i.e. S-region, B-region and SB-region, representing the 3 main types of aquaculture in Sanggou Bay) on Se(IV) and Se(VI) distribution. Both season and space significantly affected Se(IV) and Se(VI) concentrations (p = 0.0001). There was also significant interaction between season and space (p = 0.0001). Se(IV) concentrations in the S-region and B-region were significantly less than those in the SB-region in spring (p = 0.046) (Fig. 3a). In contrast, Se(VI) concentrations during spring in the B-region were significantly lower than those in the S-region and SB-region (p = 0.007) (Fig. 3b). During summer, Se(IV) concentrations in the S-region were slightly higher than those in the SB-region and B-region (p = 0.015) (Fig. 3a). There was no significant difference in Se(VI) concentrations between different regions during summer (p = 0.235). In autumn, Se(IV) concentrations in the bay increased in the following order: S-region, SB-region and B-region (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3a). Se(VI) concentrations shared the same pattern (p < 0.02), with low values in the S-region and high values in the B-region (Fig. 3b).

**Riverine input of selenium to Sanggou Bay**

As shown in Table 2, the DISe and Se(IV) concentrations in 4 riverine waters were not significantly different between spring and summer (p > 0.2). Overall, DISe concentrations in riverine water ranged from 0.69 to 1.5 nmol l⁻¹ with a mean of 1.0 nmol l⁻¹; these were slightly higher than those observed in the water column in Sanggou Bay (0.68 ± 0.29 nmol l⁻¹) during this study (Tables 1 & 2). The mean concentration of Se(IV) was 0.14 nmol l⁻¹ in both spring and
summer; this concentration was comparable to the one observed in the water column in Sanggou Bay during summer, but was lower than those observed during spring and autumn (Tables 1 & 2). The mean Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio was 0.16, with a range of 0.08 to 0.25, indicating that Se(VI) was the major inorganic species in riverine water. The DlSe concentrations in the Sanggan River during spring and summer were lower than those in the Gu River, the Shili River and Bahe Reservoir; however, concentrations of DlSe and Se(IV) varied only slightly among rivers.

Groundwater input of selenium to Sanggou Bay

The salinity values of groundwater samples were <0.1, indicating that they are freshwater. The DlSe and Se(IV) concentrations in groundwater showed little temporal variation and were not significantly different between spring and summer (p > 0.1). DlSe was >25 nmol l−1 in the sample from Stn GW-1, while it was <6 nmol l−1 in samples from Stns GW-2 and GW-3 (Table 2). The low concentrations of 226Ra in sample GW-1 (Wang et al. 2014) indicates the low activity of rock–water interactions. Moreover, the dissolved inorganic arsenic concentration was nearly 10 times higher in sample GW-1 than samples GW-2 and GW-3 (Li et al. 2014). Stn GW-1 was excluded from the calculation of average selenium concentration. The mean DlSe and Se(IV) concentrations were 3.91 ± 1.05 nmol l−1 and 0.56 ± 0.26 nmol l−1, respectively. The mean Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio was 0.15, indicating that Se(VI) was the predominant species in the groundwater. The mean DlSe concentration in groundwater (3.91 nmol l−1) was nearly 4 times higher than that in riverine water (1.0 nmol l−1). Compared with surface water, groundwater usually contains higher content due to greater contact time for rock–water interactions (Fordyce 2013).

Selenium content in aquaculture species

The lowest content of Se was present in kelps (0.032 ± 0.005 µg g−1), followed by G. lemaneiformis (0.063 ± 0.008 µg g−1) (Fig. 4) these values were in the range of selenium content (0.01 to 0.6 µg g−1) reported elsewhere in seaweed (Liu et al. 1987, Maher et al. 1992, Barwick & Maher 2003). These seaweeds accumulated selenium to concentrations 3 to 4 orders of magnitude above the ambient concentration in the seawater. The Se content for phytoplankton (0.9 ± 0.3 µg g−1) was 10 to 30 times higher than for seaweeds (Fig. 4), and the value was within the range of those previously published for marine phytoplankton (0.5 to 4.5 µg g−1) (Liu et al. 1987, Baines & Fisher 2001, Sherrard et al. 2004). The highest mean Se contents were observed in bivalves, i.e. scallops (3.6 ± 0.7 µg g−1) and oysters (1.6 ± 0.4 µg g−1); these values were consistent with
the range in bivalves reported elsewhere (0.24 to 4.6 µg g⁻¹) (Liu et al. 1987, Baldwin & Maher 1997, He & Wang 2013).

DISCUSSION

Influence of phytoplankton on selenium distribution

As shown in Table 1, Se(IV) concentrations were lower in summer and higher in spring, while the opposite was true for chl a concentrations. Studies have indicated that Se(IV) and Se(VI) can both be assimilated by phytoplankton, with Se(IV) being the preferred species for phytoplankton uptake (Apte et al. 1986, Vandermeulen & Foda 1988, Baines & Fisher 2001). Moreover, as illustrated in Fig. 2m,o, Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratios along the coast decreased towards offshore. In the coastal regions of the bay, the ratio was >0.5 during all 3 seasons, while the ratio in the freshwater end member (river and groundwater) was normally <0.2 (Table 2). There has been a paucity of investigations on selenium species in the Yellow Sea; the mean Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio for Bohai Sea is 0.45 (Yao & Zhang 2005) and the value for East China Sea was 0.32 (Y. Chang et al. unpubl.). The relatively high Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratios in the bay compared to rivers and surrounding marine basins suggest that either Se(IV) is produced or Se(VI) is preferentially consumed in the bay. Both anions can be assimilated into biomass, but phytoplankton usually has a higher affinity for Se(IV) than for Se(VI) (Fig. 5). Therefore, preferential uptake of Se(VI) probably cannot explain the observed pattern. However, the organic selenium is later released into the water column where it oxidizes to Se(IV) (Cutter & Bruland 1984), as dissolved oxygen is high in the bay. In contrast, the rate constant of the oxidation from Se(IV) to Se(VI) was 8.7 × 10⁻⁴ yr⁻¹, which means it takes >1000 yr to oxidize Se(IV) to Se(VI) (Cutter & Bruland 1984); therefore, this process can be ignored. This may also be the reason why Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratios are elevated at the mouth of the bay during summer, as large amounts of algae would release organic selenium, which would then be oxidized to Se(IV) (Fig. 2n).

Influence of mariculture species on selenium distribution

The distribution of selenium was greatly affected by the mariculture species, as shown in Fig. 3. Laboratory studies have indicated that both Se(IV) and Se(VI) can increase the growth of macroalgae, with Se(IV) taken up more readily than Se(VI) (Fries 1982, Horne 1991). Kelp can bioaccumulate >50 times more inorganic selenium in a Se(IV) enriched culture medium than in seawater (Yan et al. 2004). The kelp in the bay is generally cultivated in November and is harvested in late May. Thus, due to the fast growth of kelp, Se(IV) would be preferentially taken up by the seaweed (Fries 1982). This would explain the relatively low concentrations of Se(IV) in the kelp monoculture region (S-region) in spring (Fig. 3a). Utilization of Se(VI) is more limited compared with Se(IV) (Fries 1982), resulting in the high levels of Se(VI) present in the S-region during spring (Fig. 3b). Moreover, the elevated Se(VI) may be caused by the Yellow Sea input. The G. lemaneiformis monoculture is planted after the harvest of kelp in late May. The assimilation of selenium by G. lemaneiformis is similar to that of kelp (Fries 1982).

Bivalves mainly accumulate selenium from particulate sources by ingestion and assimilation, while passive uptake from dissolved phases is negligible (Wang & Fisher 1996, Griscom & Fisher 2004, Luoma & Presser 2009). Bivalves have been observed to accumulate ingested selenium to concentrations markedly higher than those present in the algal diet, due to high assimilation rates of cytosolic selenium (Wang & Fisher 1996, Reinfelder et al. 1997). After ingestion, bivalves excrete selenium as dissolved phases probably in the forms of inorganic selenium, including Se(IV) and Se(VI), and organic selenium (Wang & Fisher 1996), and the organic selenium can then be
oxidized to Se(IV) (Cutter & Bruland 1984). These processes probably resulted in the high Se(IV) and Se(VI) concentrations in the bivalve monoculture region (B-region) during autumn (Fig. 3a,b). Another possible reason for elevated Se(VI) concentrations in B-region was the input from river or groundwater.

The intensive kelp and bivalve aquaculture activities occurring over large areas of Sanggou Bay have a significant influence on the distribution of selenium in the bay. A conceptual diagram of the biological effects of phytoplankton, seaweed and bivalves on the selenium species in Sanggou Bay is shown in Fig. 5. Phytoplankton and seaweeds (kelp and *G. lemaneiformis*) preferentially assimilate Se(IV) and convert it to organic selenium (Fries 1982, Vandermeulen & Foda 1988, Horne 1991, Besser et al. 1994, Hu et al. 1997, Baines & Fisher 2001). Dissolved organic selenium regenerated from biogenic particles (as phytoplankton cells die and/or bivalves excrete) is quickly oxidized to Se(IV) in the oxygenated water (Cutter & Bruland 1984, Wang & Fisher 1996, Luoma & Presser 2009). However, neither Se(IV) nor organic selenium are reconverted to Se(VI), as these reactions have a half reaction time of hundreds of years (Cutter & Bruland 1984). Thus, the seaweeds assimilate both Se(IV) and Se(VI), resulting in low levels in the water column, while the bivalves assimilate particulate selenium but excrete dissolved selenium, replenishing selenium levels in the water column.

**DISe budget for Sanggou Bay**

*Inputs of selenium to Sanggou Bay*

The riverine input of DISe into Sanggou Bay (*Y_R*) can reach 15 ± 4 kg yr⁻¹ (see Fig. 6) by multiplying the mean DISe concentrations with the annual mean river water discharge.

The submarine groundwater discharge into the bay during summer is (9.45 to 11.20) × 10⁹ m³ yr⁻¹, as determined by calculation based on the non-conservative inventory of ²²⁶Ra and ²²⁸Ra (Wang et al. 2014). As submarine groundwater discharge includes recycled seawater (75 to 90%) as well as fresh groundwater (Moore 1996), the hypothesis of groundwater discharge over the whole bay—instead of just along the shoreline—overestimates the discharge volume. Therefore, we assumed that 5% of the submarine groundwater discharge represented a best estimate of the fresh groundwater discharge, resulting in a value of 0.47 × 10⁹ m³ yr⁻¹. The annual input of DISe from fresh groundwater (*Y_G*) into the bay was then estimated by multiplying the mean DISe concentrations of groundwater and annual fresh groundwater discharge, giving a value of 146 ± 39 kg yr⁻¹.

The diffusional flux of DISe from the sediment was calculated for the bay using Eq. (1). The DISe concentrations in near-bottom water and porewater for Stn SG5 were 0.32 nmol l⁻¹ and 0.45 nmol l⁻¹, respectively, and DISe concentrations in near-bottom water and porewater for Stn SG6 were 0.3 and 0.41 nmol l⁻¹, respectively. Calculations demonstrate that there can be a ~0.68 and a ~0.58 nmol m⁻² yr⁻¹ flux of DISe between the sediment and water column at Stns SG5 and SG6, respectively. The negative values indicate flux of DISe out of the sediment. The diffusional flux from the sediment to the water column in the bay was estimated by averaging the diffusional flux from each of the different regions. The DISe flux from the sediments (*Y_S*) was (7.2 ± 0.8) × 10⁻³ kg yr⁻¹.

The selenium concentration in rainwater ranges from 1.3 to 2.6 nmol l⁻¹ in China (Zhu & Tan 1988). A rainwater sample was collected during summer in the Bay with a selenium concentration of 1.7 nmol l⁻¹, which is within the range of rainwater values in China (Zhu & Tan 1988). Thus, the annual wet deposition of selenium was estimated to be 17.5 kg yr⁻¹, by multiplying the selenium concentration in rainwater with the amount of annual rainfall. The amount of selenium in dry deposition is not known for this region; therefore, the value for the East China Sea (soluble dry deposition of 0.27 ± 0.48 µg m⁻² d⁻¹) (Hsu et al. 2010) has been adopted to estimate the annual dry deposition of selenium into the bay. Accordingly, the total atmospheric input (wet and dry deposition) of selenium into the bay (*Y_P*) was 32 ± 25 kg yr⁻¹.

**Biological utilization of selenium**

The elemental Se:C ratio for phytoplankton in seawater was (8.5 ± 3.0) × 10⁻⁶, which were within the range of those previously published for marine phytoplankton (Liu et al. 1987, Baines & Fisher 2001, Sherrard et al. 2004), and the carbon fixed by phytoplankton in Sanggou Bay is 9.5 × 10⁶ kg yr⁻¹ (Jiang et al. 2015). Utilization of selenium by phytoplankton in Sanggou Bay was estimated to be −81 ± 29 kg yr⁻¹ (negative values indicate removal of selenium from the bay through assimilation by biological organisms; see Fig. 6) by multiplying the carbon fixed by phytoplankton and the elemental Se:C ratio for phytoplankton in Sanggou Bay.
Intensive aquaculture activities have a large influence on selenium levels in Sanggou Bay. The amount of selenium removed from the bay by aquaculture activities was calculated by multiplying aquacultural production in the bay (Rongcheng Fisheries Technology Extension Station 2012) and the selenium content in the cultured species, including G. lemaneiformis, scallops, and oysters. Thus, the amount of selenium fixed by kelp, G. lemaneiformis, scallops and oysters was 2.7 ± 0.4, 1.6 ± 0.2, 54 ± 11, and 98 ± 54 kg yr⁻¹, respectively. The highest selenium utilization was by scallops and oysters (151 ± 30 kg yr⁻¹), followed by phytoplankton (81 ± 29 kg yr⁻¹) and seaweed (4.31 ± 0.5 kg yr⁻¹), and the total selenium utilization was estimated to be about 236 ± 42 kg yr⁻¹ (see Fig. 6).

Selenium budget for Sanggou Bay

The steady-state box model, illustrated in Fig. 6, calculates the water and salt budgets, and then estimates the mass balance of DISe in Sanggou Bay, including exchange with the Yellow Sea. Freshwater inputs from river discharge (Qᵣ), groundwater discharge (Gᵣ) and precipitation (Pᵣ) are 0.19 × 10⁹ (Editorial Board of Annals of Bays in China 1991), 0.47 × 10⁹ (Wang et al. 2014) and 0.13 × 10⁹ m³ yr⁻¹ (Shandong province Rongcheng City the Local Chronicles Compilation Committee 1999), respectively. These inputs are reduced by evaporation (Eᵣ), which is 0.15 × 10⁹ m³ yr⁻¹ (Shandong province Rongcheng City the Local Chronicles Compilation Committee 1999). From the water mass balance, net water exchange (Vₑᵣ) is from Sanggou Bay to the Yellow Sea, with a residual flow of −0.64 × 10⁹ m³ yr⁻¹ (positive values indicate transport into the bay, negative values export from the bay to the Yellow Sea). Using a salinity of 0 for freshwater input, and salinities of 30 and 32 for Sanggou Bay and the Yellow Sea (Lin et al. 2005), respectively, the water exchange flow from the Yellow Sea to Sanggou Bay (Vₓ) is 9.96 × 10⁶ m³ yr⁻¹, based on the salt balance in the bay. When calculating the net DISe transport from the Yellow Sea to Sanggou Bay, the mean the DISe concentration (1 ± 0.44 nmol l⁻¹) of Bohai Sea (Yao & Zhang 2005) and the East China Sea (Y. Chang et al. unpubl. data) was used as the value for the Yellow Sea, where DISe data are lacking. The net transport (Yₑᵣ) from Sanggou Bay to the Yellow Sea is −42 ± 12 kg yr⁻¹ (positive values indicate transport into the bay, negative values export from the bay to the Yellow Sea), and the exchange (Yₓ) between the Yellow Sea and Sanggou Bay is 253 ± 71 kg yr⁻¹. The data obtained in this study allow for the calculation of selenium budgets in Sanggou Bay (Fig. 6). Atmospheric dry and wet depositions (Yₚ), riverine input (Qᵣ), groundwater influx (Gᵣ), exchange between Yellow Sea and Sanggou Bay (Yₓ), net transport from the Yellow Sea to Sanggou Bay (Yₑᵣ), sediment diffusion (Sᵣ), and biological fluxes are all shown in Fig. 6. The exchange between Sanggou Bay and the Yellow Sea (Yₓ) was the major source of selenium to Sanggou Bay, contributing 57 ± 19% of total DISe inputs. Groundwater discharge (Gᵣ) accounted for 33 ± 10% of the total DISe input, making it the second largest source of selenium into the bay. However, the exchange of DISe flux (0.002 ± 0.0004 %) between the sediment and the water column was negligible compared with other sources. The sediment–water exchange of selenium is also a negligible source in San Francisco Bay, as indicated by stable isotope ratios (Johnson et al. 2000) and sediment porewater values (Mesbeck & Cutter 2012). The net transport of DISe from Sanggou Bay to the Yellow Sea accounted for 10 ± 3% of the DISe export.

Fig. 6. Selenium budget in Sanggou Bay, showing inputs and outputs (Y) as absolute values (kg yr⁻¹; mean ± SD) and as percentages of total input. Positive values of Y indicate transport into Sanggou Bay; negative values indicate export of dissolved inorganic selenium (DISe) from Sanggou Bay or assimilation by biological organisms. Yₓ: atmospheric deposition; Ṣₑᵣ: riverine input; Gᵣ: groundwater input; Yₑᵣ: exchange between Sanggou Bay and Yellow Sea; Yₑᵣ: net transport from Yellow Sea to Sanggou Bay; Sᵣ: sediment/diffusion; ΔY: net internal sink.
The amount of selenium utilized by bivalves was 151 ± 30 kg yr⁻¹. This amount was 34 ± 9% of the total DISe input (Fig. 6), making bivalves the most important selenium sink. Phytoplankton assimilation was another sink of selenium, using 18 ± 7% of the total DISe input, while the seaweed assimilated only 1 ± 0.2% of the total DISe input (Fig. 6). Thus, biological activity removed nearly 53 ± 12% of the DISe out of the water column and was the major sink of DISe in Sanggou Bay. This means with the harvesting of marine products, nearly half of the selenium was removed out of the bay.

The input of DISe was 445 ± 85 kg yr⁻¹, and output of DISe was 280 ± 42 kg yr⁻¹ in the bay. There was a net imbalance between the input and output of dissolved selenium, however. The selenium budgets in Sanggou Bay are only approximations, which depend on the accuracy of the freshwater, water exchange fluxes between Sanggou Bay and the Yellow Sea. If these flux estimates are valid, however, there is a net internal sink of –165 ± 95 kg yr⁻¹ (37 ± 22%) in the bay (Fig. 6). Studies have shown that algae can form dimethylselenide and dimethyldiselenide, which can be volatilized and released into the atmosphere (Anside & Yoch 1997). The selenium flux of dimethylselenide to the atmosphere was estimated to be 60 to 260 kg yr⁻¹ in Gironde Estuary (Anside & Yoch 1997), and methylation was estimated to account for 10 to 30% of the selenium removed from San Francisco Bay (Hansen et al. 1998). Thus, internal sinks of DISe in Sanggou Bay might include emission from the water column into the atmosphere as volatile selenium, dissimilatory reduction of Se(VI) and Se(IV) to inorganic reduced phases (Se0, Se-II) (Stüeken et al. 2015), and/or transformation to other organic and particulate forms of selenium. To better constrain the uncertainty of budget calculations, more observations are required to understand the biogeochemical process of selenium in Sanggou Bay.

Sensitivity analysis

Box model sensitivity analysis were exampled by changing model parameters by 10% in order to evaluate model response. The sensitivity was quantified by calculating a normalized sensitivity defined as the percentage change in a variable produced by a percentage change in the parameter.

Table 3. Normalized parameter sensitivity of the net internal sink of dissolved inorganic selenium (DISe) (ΔY) for each parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment (%)</th>
<th>Normalized sensitivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River discharge (V_Q)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater discharge (V_G)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (V_P)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporation (V_E)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISe concentration in Yellow Sea</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISe concentration in Sanggou Bay</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISe concentration in rivers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISe concentration in groundwater</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISe concentration in rainwater</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble dry deposition</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISe flux in sediments (V_S)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se content in phytoplankton</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se content in kelp</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se content in G. lemaneiformis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se content in oysters</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se content in scallops</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fixed by phytoplankton</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of kelp</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of G. lemaneiformis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of oysters</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of scallops</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ten percentage changes in parameters of DISe concentration for Yellow Sea, DISe concentration for Sanggou Bay and groundwater discharge result in changes in net internal sink (ΔY) yield of 47, −32 and 18%, respectively, while changes in other parameters result in changes in ΔY yield of <10% (Table 3). There has been a paucity of investigations on selenium species in the Yellow Sea; the DISe concentration in Yellow Sea which was used to calculate the net DISe transport from the Yellow Sea to Sanggou Bay (Y_X) was obtained by averaging the values of Bohai Sea (Yao & Zhang 2005) and the East China Sea (Y. Chang et al. unpubl. data). The sensitivity analysis showed that DISe concentration in the Yellow Sea was the most critical parameter and therefore in cases where selenium data are available, it would be worth using a more accurate parameterization of DISe concentration in the Yellow Sea. The DISe concentrations in Sanggou Bay presented significantly variation between seasons and the relative annual mean variation was 42%, which is greater than the 10% changes for sensitivity analysis. Therefore, in future selenium budget calculation, it may be possible to reduce uncertainty in calculating the budget in seasonal levels. Moreover, the box model’s sensitivity to changes in groundwater discharge suggested that any improvement in estimates of groundwater discharge is likely to improve the model accuracy. Finally, the budgets were relatively insensitive to riverine input (Y_G), atmospheric
deposition ($Y_d$), sediment diffusion ($Y_s$), and to biological fluxes. This suggested that inaccuracies in riverine, atmospheric deposition, sediment diffusion and biological data sets have relatively minor impacts on selenium budget calculation, especially in comparison with inaccuracies associated with other model inputs.

CONCLUSION

Distributions of dissolved inorganic selenium species observed in Sanggou Bay provide relevant information that can be linked to the dynamics and biological reactions that take place in the region. Average concentrations of DISe and Se(IV) in surface waters of the bay were 0.67 and 0.28 nmol l$^{-1}$, respectively, with ranges of 0.21–1.36 and 0.07–0.58 nmol l$^{-1}$, respectively. The average Se(IV)/Se(VI) ratio was 0.74, indicating that Se(VI) was the predominate inorganic selenium species in large proportion of the bay. DISe concentrations in Sanggou Bay remained 2 orders of magnitude below the critical selenium limit for water in China. The highest selenium content was observed in scallops (3.6 ± 0.7 µg g$^{-1}$), followed by oyster (1.6 ± 0.4 µg g$^{-1}$), phytoplankton (0.9 ± 0.3 µg g$^{-1}$), *G. lemaneiformis* (0.063 ± 0.008 µg g$^{-1}$) and kelp (0.032 ± 0.005 µg g$^{-1}$).

The DISe and Se(IV) concentrations were low in summer and high in spring and autumn. The distribution of DISe and Se(IV) in the bay showed strong horizontal gradients from the coast to offshore. The intensive seaweed and bivalve aquaculture present over large areas had a strong influence on selenium distribution. The Se(IV) concentrations in the seaweed monoculture region were low in spring, probably caused by the bivalves assimilating particulate selenium but excreting dissolved selenium, thereby replenishing selenium levels in the water column.

A simple budget for DISe in Sanggou Bay was estimated in this study. The major source of DISe into Sanggou Bay was water exchange with the Yellow Sea. Groundwater discharge was the second largest source of selenium into the bay. However, intensive bivalve aquaculture removed 34 ± 9% of the DISe input, making it the most important sink.
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Distribution and seasonal variation of picoplankton in Sanggou Bay, China
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ABSTRACT: Picoplankton abundance and biomass in Sanggou Bay, China, were investigated in 4 successive seasons (April, August and October 2011, January 2012). Different distribution patterns of picoplankton abundance and biomass were observed according to season and culture areas (bivalves or macroalgae). *Synechococcus*, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic prokaryotes exhibited higher abundance and biomass in warm seasons (summer and autumn) than in cold seasons (spring and winter). Over all 4 seasons, picoplankton abundance was higher in the bivalve culture area than in the macroalgae culture area. Among picoplankton, picoeukaryotes contributed most to the carbon standing stock in summer and autumn. In spring and winter, the heterotrophic component biomass exceeded that of the autotrophic picoplankton. Picoeukaryotes were an important contributor (21−27%) to total phytoplankton carbon biomass in spring to autumn. In spring, heterotrophic prokaryote biomass accounted for more than 56% of total phytoplankton biomass, and even exceeded phytoplankton biomass at some stations. As revealed by multiple stepwise regression analysis, physicochemical factors and protist grazing were the most important variables that controlled picoplankton distribution and variation. The reduction in grazing pressure, as well as phosphorus release by bivalves, is likely to explain the higher abundance of picoplankton in the bivalve culture area of Sanggou Bay.

KEY WORDS: *Synechococcus* · Picoeukaryotes · Heterotrophic prokaryotes · Sanggou Bay

INTRODUCTION

Marine picoplankton are generally defined as plankton in the size range ≤2 µm in diameter. Picoplankton consist mostly of cyanoprokaryotes of the genera *Synechococcus* (SYN) (Johnson & Sieburth 1979, Waterbury et al. 1979) and *Prochlorococcus* (Chisholm et al. 1988); picoeukaryotes (PEUK), a very diverse assemblage of eukaryotes; and heterotrophic prokaryotes (HP). Picoplankton have a ubiquitous distribution and contribute significantly to phytoplankton biomass and primary production in the ocean (Agawin et al. 2000, Bell & Kalff 2001). Picophytoplankton are major contributors to phytoplankton biomass in oligotrophic oceanic ecosystems (Li et al. 1983, Morán et al. 2004). SYN is present in inshore or coastal waters (Jochem 1988) and could account for 20% of the biomass of all living organ-
isms in the ocean (Caron et al. 1991). In some areas, PEUK are major biomass contributors (Worden et al. 2004). HP play a central role in the carbon flux in aquatic ecosystems. It is estimated that HP could consume ~50% of primary production and be responsible for 10–20% of daily organic matter production (Ducklow & Carlson 1992, Ducklow 2000).

The aquaculture of bivalves depends on the natural production of plankton. Suspension-feeding bivalves clear seston particles >3 µm in diameter from the water column (Newell 2004), and therefore adult bivalves cannot efficiently capture picoplankton. Although picoplankton do not directly contribute to the growth of bivalves, they can provide a large proportion of the food source for heterotrophic nanoflagellates and ciliates in the water column (Sherr & Sherr 2002). By quantifying the ingestion of protists feeding on picoplankton, it is possible to determine how bivalves can use the microbial energy indirectly (Le Gall et al. 1997). Furthermore, some bivalve larvae can use picoplankton as part of their food source (Gallager et al. 1994), and therefore the study of picoplankton abundance and biomass is considered to provide useful information on the microbial food web in aquacultural regions such as Sanggou Bay.

Sanggou Bay is a semi-circular bay on the northeastern coast of China, with a large entrance towards the Yellow Sea in the east. Sanggou Bay has been used for aquaculture for >20 yr (Guo et al. 1999). Nearly 2/3 of the area has been used for bivalves and seaweed aquaculture since 1983. The main cultivated species include the seaweed *Laminaria japonica* and longline culture of Chinese scallops *Chlamys farreri* and Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* (Zhang et al. 2009). Although Sanggou Bay is one of the most important aquaculture areas for shellfish and seaweed in northern China, picoplankton distribution and seasonal variation, as well as their contribution to total phytoplankton biomass in Sanggou Bay, remain poorly documented. In the present study, we investigated picoplankton distribution over 4 successive seasons to gain insights into the factors and processes that regulate picoplankton abundance in Sanggou Bay.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area and sampling strategy**

Four cruises were conducted in Sanggou Bay (Fig. 1) over 4 successive seasons: April 2011 (spring), August 2011 (summer), October 2011 (autumn) and January 2012 (winter) using the fishing boat ‘Lu Rong Yu Yang 65536’. The bivalve culture areas (collectively referred to as ‘B-area’ here) are located at the head of the bay, and the macroalgae culture areas (‘M-area’) are located at the mouth of Sanggou Bay (Lu et al. 2015a). During each cruise, seawater samples were collected from the sea surface (0.5 m depth) at 19 stations (Fig. 1) using a Ruttner sampler (HYDROBIOS). In situ parameters such as water temperature and salinity were determined with a YSI® Professional Plus series multiprobe water quality meter.

**Sample analysis**

Seawater samples (5 cm$^3$) for picoplankton flow cytometry analysis were fixed with paraformaldehyde (final concentration 1%) immediately after collection. After 15 min at room temperature, the samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen until analysis was carried out in the laboratory (Marie et al. 2000b).

![Fig. 1. Study area and location of sampling stations in Sanggou Bay, China. Grey area: macroalgae culture (M-area), dashed area: bivalve culture (B-area)](image-url)
Picoplankton flow cytometry analyses were run with a FACS Vantage SE flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) equipped with a water-cooled Argon laser (488 nm, 1 W; Coherent). Protocols were adapted from the literature (Marie et al. 2000a,b). Fluorescent beads (2 µm; Polysciences; concentration unknown) were used as the internal standard for the instrument set-up and enumeration of picoplankton cells (Olson et al. 1993).

For SYN and PEUK analysis, forward scatter, side scatter and 2 fluorescence signals (red, range: 695 ± 20 nm; orange, range: 585 ± 21 nm) were recorded. Signals were triggered on red fluorescence to discard signals from heterotrophic organisms and inorganic particles. SYN and PEUK were distinguished on the basis of their scatter and fluorescence signals.

For HP analysis, seawater sub-samples were diluted 5-fold with TE buffer (Tris-EDTA, 100 mM Tris-Cl, mM EDTA, pH 8.0; Sigma), and then stained with the nucleic acid dye SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes; final dilution 10⁻⁴, v/v) and kept in the dark at room temperature for 20 min before analysis. HP cell groups were resolved on the basis of their green (range: 530 ± 15 nm) fluorescence signal in the green fluorescence vs. sideward scatter cytogram.

For the determination of chlorophyll (chl) a concentration, 50–200 cm³ seawater samples were filtered onto GF/F glass-fibre filters (Whatman) under low vacuum. The filters were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept frozen at −80°C until analysis in the laboratory. Chl a was extracted with 90% acetone at 4°C in the dark for 20 h. Chl a concentrations were determined by the acidification method using a Turner Design (Model Trilogy 040) fluorometer, which was calibrated with pure chl a (Sigma) (Parsons et al. 1984).

Seawater samples for determining nutrient concentration were filtered through acid-washed, pre-cleaned (with ultrapure water), 0.45 µm pore-size acetate cellulose filters (Development Center of Water Treatment Technology, Hangzhou, PR China). The filtrates were poisoned by the addition of saturated HgCl₂ (ca 1.5 × 10⁻³ v/v), preserved in low-density polyethylene bottles at room temperature and then analysed in the laboratory.

Nutrient concentrations including those of NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ were determined spectrophotometrically using a SKALAR SAN plus autoanalyser, while NH₄⁺ and PO₄³⁻ concentrations were determined by manual methods (Parsons et al. 1984). The concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) was calculated as the sum of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺.

The enumeration of heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF) followed specifications by Lu et al. (2015a). The enumeration of ciliates was carried out according to Yu et al. (2013). Picoplankton biomass was derived from the abundance of the cell groups resolved by flow cytometry. The abundance/biomass conversion factors used for SYN, PEUK and HP were 250 fg C cell⁻¹ (Li et al. 1992), 1500 fg C cell⁻¹ (Zubkov et al. 1998) and 20 fg C cell⁻¹ (Lee & Fuhrman 1987), respectively. Total phytoplankton biomass per unit volume was estimated from the chl a concentration assuming a constant C:chl a ratio of 50 (mg:mg) (Krempin & Sullivan 1981).

Flow cytometry data were collected and analysed with CellQuest software (version 3.3, Becton Dickinson). Contour plots were generated using Surfer (version 8.0, Golden Software). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS (version 19, IBM SPSS Statistics). Two independent-sample t-tests were used to compare picoplankton abundance between the B- and M-areas. Spearman correlation analysis was used to detect significant relationships between variables. As an attempt to explain the variation in picoplankton distribution, stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed to assess the relative influence of potential factors controlling picoplankton abundance (temperature, salinity, nutrient and chl a concentrations and other biological components). The abundance data of picoplankton, HNF and ciliates used for statistical analysis were log-transformed to achieve homogeneity of the variance.

RESULTS

Physicochemical conditions

The seasonal distribution of seawater variables is shown in Fig. 2. The average surface water temperature of Sanggou Bay was 9.00, 21.36, 16.47 and 3.76°C in spring, summer, autumn and winter, respectively (Table 1). In spring and summer, water temperature decreased from inside Sanggou Bay to the open sea, while the opposite trend was observed in autumn and winter. Salinity increased from inside the bay to the open sea in summer, autumn and winter. Minimum average salinity was found in summer. In summer and autumn, high chl a concentrations were observed, especially at coastal stations inside the bay. Maximum chl a concentration reached 38.74 mg m⁻³ at Stn SG13 in summer. Average DIN varied from 4.83 µM in summer to 10.44 µM in autumn. The season-averaged PO₄³⁻ concentration was much lower than that of DIN with a maximum (0.11 µM) in spring and a minimum (0.02 µM) in autumn and winter. At some stations in autumn and winter, PO₄³⁻ concentration was below the detection limit (Fig. 2).
Distribution patterns of picoplankton abundance

Depending on seasons, different distribution patterns of picoplankton abundances were observed in Sanggou Bay (Fig. 3). In spring, SYN abundance was lower at the centre of the bay than at other stations. In summer and autumn, SYN abundance decreased from coastal stations inside the bay to the open sea; however, the opposite trend was observed in winter. Average SYN abundance varied from $0.05 \times 10^3$ cells cm$^{-3}$ in spring to $84.06 \times 10^3$ cells cm$^{-3}$ in autumn, with a difference of about 4 orders of magnitude (Table 1). The season-averaged SYN abundance was significantly higher in summer and autumn than in spring and winter ($p < 0.01$).

PEUK and HP had similar abundance distribution patterns in Sanggou Bay, with seasonal variation in the order winter < spring < autumn < summer. Over all seasons, PEUK and HP abundances decreased from coastal stations inside the bay to the open sea. Both PEUK and HP abundances fluctuated less than that of SYN, with values from $1.80 \times 10^3$ cells cm$^{-3}$ and $3.00 \times 10^5$ cells cm$^{-3}$ in winter to $82.57 \times 10^3$ cells cm$^{-3}$ and $40.77 \times 10^5$ cells cm$^{-3}$ in summer, respectively (Table 1). The abundances of PEUK and HP were significantly higher in summer than in other seasons ($p < 0.01$).
The relationships between picoplankton abundance and environmental and biological factors were complex. In spring, PEUK and HP abundances were positively correlated with each other (Table 2). Both PEUK and HP abundances were positively correlated with water temperature, salinity and ciliate abundance. No significant correlation was found between SYN abundance and the other parameters.

In summer, SYN abundance was positively correlated with PEUK and negatively correlated with salinity. HP abundance was positively correlated with HNF abundance, temperature and DIN, and negatively correlated with salinity. The abundances of all 3 picoplankton groups were positively correlated with chl a concentration. In autumn, SYN, PEUK and HP abundances were positively correlated with each other, as well as with HNF and ciliate abundances, and chl a and PO₄³⁻ concentrations, while they were negatively correlated with temperature and salinity. In winter, no significant correlation was found between picoplankton groups. SYN abundance was positively correlated with temperature and chl a and negatively correlated with HNF and ciliate abundances. PEUK abundance was positively correlated with chl a concentration. HP abundance was negatively correlated with chl a concentration.

Distribution of picoplankton in different aquaculture areas

In warm seasons (summer and autumn), there was an obvious freshwater input to the bay (Fig. 2). All picoplankton groups exhibited higher abundances in the B-area than in the M-area, especially in autumn (Fig. 3, Table 1). In cold seasons (winter and spring), SYN abundance remained low throughout Sanggou Bay, with slightly higher values in the M-area (Fig. 3, Table 1). For PEUK and HP, the abundances were still higher in the B-area, but the difference was not significant in winter.

As revealed by multiple stepwise regression analysis, warm-season grazing by protists was the most
important variable that controlled picoplankton abundance and distribution in the M-area (Table 3). HNF abundance explained 66.5 and 80.8% of the variance for SYN and PEUK, respectively. For HP, chl \( a \) was the most important variable; however, HNF and ciliate abundance also explained about 8.3% of the abundance and distribution of HP. In the B-area, during warm seasons, physicochemical factors and HNF provided the best explanation for SYN and HP distribution, respectively. No significant variable was found for PEUK. In cold seasons, salinity was the most important variable controlling the distribution of picoplankton in both M- and B-areas (Table 3).

Table 2. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient between biological factors and picoplankton abundances in Sanggou Bay over 4 successive seasons (all \( n = 19 \)). SYN: Synechococcus; PEUK: picoeukaryotes; HP: heterotrophic prokaryotes; HNF: heterotrophic nanoflagellates; T: temperature, S: salinity; DIN: dissolved inorganic nitrogen. Picoplankton, HNF and ciliate abundances were log transformed prior to analysis. Only correlations that were significant at the **0.01 level (2-tailed) and *0.05 level (2-tailed) are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Log SYN</th>
<th>Log PEUK</th>
<th>Log HP</th>
<th>Log HNF</th>
<th>Log ciliates</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Chl a</th>
<th>DIN</th>
<th>PO(_4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log SYN</td>
<td>0.786**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.743**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log PEUK</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.714**</td>
<td>0.765**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log HP</td>
<td>0.604**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.645**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log SYN</td>
<td>0.604**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.512*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.515*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log PEUK</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.705**</td>
<td>0.861**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.773**</td>
<td>0.470*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log HP</td>
<td>0.714**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.765**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.515*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log SYN</td>
<td>0.961**</td>
<td>0.978**</td>
<td>0.955**</td>
<td>0.899**</td>
<td>−0.961**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.964**</td>
<td>0.928**</td>
<td>0.586**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log PEUK</td>
<td>0.960**</td>
<td>0.933**</td>
<td>0.828**</td>
<td>−0.899**</td>
<td>−0.946**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.946**</td>
<td>0.925**</td>
<td>0.496**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log HP</td>
<td>0.960**</td>
<td>0.870**</td>
<td>−0.951**</td>
<td>−0.948**</td>
<td>0.953**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.953**</td>
<td>0.581**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log SYN</td>
<td>−0.522*</td>
<td>−0.671**</td>
<td>0.608**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.730**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log PEUK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.607**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log HP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.471*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Carbon biomass contribution of picoplankton to phytoplankton

Among picoplankton, PEUK represented the highest standing stock of carbon biomass in summer and autumn (Fig. 4), contributing to >50% of picoplankton biomass (Fig. 5). In spring and winter, the heterotrophic component of biomass exceeded that of autotrophic picoplankton. HP was the major contributor to picoplankton biomass in spring and winter. SYN biomass was relatively low compared with that of PEUK and HP throughout the 4 successive seasons (Fig. 4).
In spring, summer and autumn, PEUK was an important (21.46–27.74%) carbon contributor to total phytoplankton biomass (Fig. 6). This contribution decreased to 6.39% in winter. HP biomass amounted to >50% of total phytoplankton biomass in spring, and at some stations even exceeded phytoplankton biomass. SYN contributed 6.82% to phytoplankton biomass in autumn and <1.5% in other seasons.

**DISCUSSION**

**Picoplankton seasonal distribution and variation**

This is the first report on picoplankton abundance distribution and its seasonal variation in Sanggou Bay, China, with results comparable to those reported from other coastal waters (Vaquer et al. 1996, Kamiyama 2004, Bec et al. 2005, Kamiyama et al. 2009, Thomas et al. 2010, Bouvy et al. 2012). Picoplankton abundance distribution and its variations depend on both abiotic and biotic factors. Abiotic factors, also called bottom-up controls, include water temperature and salinity, as well as light and nutrient availability. The biotic factors (top-down controls) are essentially predation by nano- and micro-zooplankton, and lysis by virioplankton.

Picoplankton abundance is particularly affected by water temperature and nutrient availability (Agawin et al. 2000). Seasonal variation of SYN and HP abundances in temperate waters usually follows patterns with maxima in summer and minima in winter (Li 1998). In Sanggou Bay, a clear seasonality for picoplankton abundance and biomass was observed, associated with physicochemical features. High abundances and biomasses of SYN, PEUK and HP were found during summer and autumn, in agreement with previous reports (Vaquer et al. 1996, DuRand et al. 2001, Bec et al. 2005). SYN abundance is about 4 orders of magnitude higher in
autumn than in spring in Sanggou Bay. Similar SYN variation was also found in previous studies (Durand et al. 2001, Li & Dickie 2001, Agawin et al. 2003). A 10 yr monthly observation (2006–2015) in Jiaozhou Bay, China, at a similar longitude and latitude, has revealed the same variation (T. Xiao, L. Zhao unpubl. data).

Picoplankton in the aquaculture area

Being an important component of the aquatic food web, picoplankton feed larger zooplankton that channel their carbon biomass from microbial to higher trophic levels (Azam et al. 1983). The aquaculture of bivalves depends on the production of natural plankton. Picoplankton (<2 µm) are too small to be efficiently retained by most bivalves, including the scallop Chlamys farreri and oyster Crassostrea gigas (Barillé et al. 1993, Kreeger & Newell 1996, Hawkins et al. 2001). However, the distribution of picoplankton is still affected by bivalves in aquaculture areas. Although picoplankton do not directly contribute to the growth of bivalves, they can provide a large proportion of the food source for HNF and ciliates in the water column (Sherr & Sherr 2002). Most HNF (2–20 µm) and ciliates (mostly >10 µm) are much larger and can be efficiently captured by most bivalves (Riisgård 1988, Fournier et al. 2012). Therefore, bivalves can use the microbial energy indirectly (Le Gall et al. 1997). Nano- and micro-zooplankton grazing are important top-down control factors for picoplankton (Sherr & Sherr 2002). Protists are recognized as the main consumers of SYN, PEUK and HP in similar environments (Kamiyama 2004, Bec et al. 2005). In the macroalgae culture area of Sanggou Bay, grazing by protists was the most important variable controlling picoplankton abundances and distribution in both warm and cold seasons. However, no such correlation could be observed in the bivalve culture area. Physicochemical factors such as temperature and salinity were the main control factors of picoplankton distribution. It is possible that HNF and ciliates in the B-area were efficiently retained by bivalves, alleviating grazing pressure on picoplankton and enabling a significantly higher abundance of picoplankton in this area, especially in warm seasons. We found possible collinearity between some variables used in the stepwise regressions (Table 3). It is possible that the estimates of the multiple regressions may change erratically in response to small changes in the data. To remedy the analysis, data were log transformed prior to analysis to standardize the variables in our study. Despite its shortcomings, stepwise regression has nevertheless been a suitable method used to predict influential factors in other research (e.g. Kimmel et al. 2012, Oberbeckmann et al. 2012).

A predominance of picoplankton has also been reported in other areas of intense bivalve farming (Dupuy et al. 2000). Traditionally, picophytoplankton has been viewed as having a critical growth dependence on inorganic nutrients. At low nutrient concentrations, picoplankton cells can take up nutrients better than large plankton cells, owing to their higher surface area to volume ratio (Morel et al. 1991, Chisholm 1992). In Sanggou Bay, phosphorus (P) was found to be deficient, whereas DIN was sufficient (Sun et al. 2007). Bivalve culture can release P into the environment (Carlsson et al. 2012, Cranford et al. 2012), and the release of P by bivalves may have induced the high abundance of picoplankton in the Sanggou Bay B-area. Indeed, a 7 d in situ enclosure experiment in Sanggou Bay demonstrated that scallop cultivation increased the PO4 3− concentration, as well as the abundance of picoplankton, total nanoflagellates and ciliates (Lu et al. 2015a,b). In addition to P release, bivalves can excrete important amounts of ammonium ions, which also favours picophytoplankton (Chisholm 1992, Courties et al. 1994). Although we lack data on ammonium ion concentration in Sanggou Bay, it is possible that ammonium ions stimulated the growth of picoplankton in the bivalve culture area.

Picoplankton biomass contribution

In cold seasons (winter and spring), heterotrophic picoplankton carbon biomass exceeded that of autotrophic picoplankton. This result is in agreement with observations in the Sargasso Sea, where the microbial carbon biomass was dominated by non-photosynthetic prokaryotes (Fuhrman et al. 1989, Bouvy et al. 2012). When the water temperature rose, PEUK biomass became predominant (>50%) within the picoplankton biomass. These results differ from previous observations in distinct environments such as the northeastern Atlantic Ocean (Partensky et al. 1996), the South Pacific Ocean (Grob et al. 2007) and the Yellow Sea (Zhao et al. 2011), where SYN or HP was predominant in the picoplankton biomass. Bec et al. (2005) reported that PEUK were predominant within picoplankton, and could serve as an important carbon source for the protozoan community. Our observations are in line with these findings, support-
pling the suggestion that PEUK could make a large contribution to the carbon flow towards higher trophic levels in coastal regions.

CONCLUSION

Our study is the first report on picoplankton seasonal abundance distribution and its variations in Sanggou Bay, China. Different distribution patterns of picoplankton abundance and biomass were observed. Physicochemical factors and protist grazing were the most important variables controlling the distribution of and variation in picoplankton abundance in Sanggou Bay. Picoplankton were more abundant in the bivalve culture area than in the macroalgae culture area, especially in warm seasons. Among the picoplankton, PEUK contributed most to the carbon biomass standing stock in summer and autumn. The reduction in protist grazing pressure, as well as P release by bivalves, are likely explanations for the higher picoplankton abundance in the bivalve culture area. In spring and winter, the heterotrophic component of the biomass exceeded that of the autotrophic picoplankton. In spring to autumn, PEUK contributed >20% to the assessed autotrophic biomass. HP biomass amounted to >56% of the assessed autotrophic biomass in spring, and at some stations the percentage was even larger.
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A model for the growth of mariculture kelp *Saccharina japonica* in Sanggou Bay, China
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ABSTRACT: The kelp *Saccharina japonica* is one of the most important mariculture species in China. To predict kelp growth and provide a component for a general multitrophic ecosystem model, a dynamic individual growth model was developed to evaluate environmental effects on kelp growth. This model was calibrated and validated using data from 2 annual mariculture cycles (2008–2009, 2011–2012) from Sanggou Bay, China. Gross growth of *S. japonica* was described as functions of temperature, light and nutrient contents in plant tissues (internal nutrients), and nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in seawater. Net growth was defined as gross growth minus respiration. The simulation results showed that nutrients were the key limiting factor for growth throughout the kelp growth cycle, whereas both temperature and light only limited kelp growth during simulation days 60–120, i.e. from 1 January to the end of February. Scenario simulations showed that fertilizing with nitrogen could improve kelp growth by as much as 4.4 times. The model also predicted that individual dry weight of *S. japonica* would increase by 18% when lifting the culture ropes up to the surface. Sensitivity analysis indicates that the empirical coefficient of respiration (*r*), maximum growth rate (*μ* Max) and minimum internal quota for nitrogen (*N* imin) were among the most sensitive parameters. This model shows that the introduction of culture methods such as cage culture, which allows more effective fertilization and depth control, would result in more effective kelp farming.

KEY WORDS: Kelp · *Saccharina japonica* · Suspended long-line culture · Environmental variables · Individual growth model · Sanggou Bay

INTRODUCTION

The kelp *Saccharina japonica* (previously *Laminaria japonica*) is one of the most intensively cultured seaweed species in the world. The annual production of this species was ~5.09 million t in China in 2013 (FAO 2014). For management of kelp mariculture, it is important to understand the environmental effects on growth and production and to be able to estimate the carrying capacity of the culture ecosystems (Liu et al. 2013).

Large-scale seaweed cultivation has been proposed as a method for mitigating eutrophication in coastal ecosystems (Fei 2004). Co-culture of kelp with other species in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) systems has been shown to improve water quality, daylight oxygen levels were reported to have increased and excreted nutrients decreased (Chopin et al. 2001, Trolelet al. 2003, Buschmann et al. 2008). A model of the physiological behaviour and growth of kelp would be an important contribution to a general IMTA model which could be used in optimising production of each trophic species in IMTA systems.

Macroalgal growth modelling is a powerful tool and is being increasingly used in sustainable man-
agement of coasts and estuaries (Duarte et al. 2003, Aveytua-Alcázar et al. 2008), as a component of ecosystem models to estimate carrying capacity of polyculture ecosystems (Duarte et al. 2003, Nuneset al. 2003, Shi et al. 2011). However, the application of these sub-models of kelp is limited because they are over-simplified and do not consider all the key limiting factors, particularly the effect of the internal state on growth. The recent development of a green-algal model is probably the most comprehensive macro-algal model (Ren et al. 2014) in which most of these factors have been incorporated. To our knowledge, there are no similar models available as yet for kelp.

The objective of this study was to develop a generic growth model of kelp for predicting its response to dynamic environmental conditions, to understand the relationship between potential increases in production and environmental fertilization, and to investigate the effects of climate change (temperature increase) on mariculture. The main purpose of the model is to guide maricultural activity. Fertilization (by the hanging bag method) and adjusting culture depth are the most commonly used techniques in kelp mariculture in China. Using these techniques, the cultivation efficiency can be assessed by comparison with the model prediction.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The growth model was developed for Sanggou Bay, which is located at the eastern end of Shandong Peninsula, PR China (37° 01−09 N, 122° 24−35 E) (Fig. 1). The total area is 140 km², with an average depth of 7.5 m. The tidal elevation in Sanggou Bay is irregularly semidiurnal with a maximum tidal range of ~2 m. The flooding tide current enters the bay along the northern side, flows anti-clockwise and exits along the southern side; the ebbing tide is in the opposite direction (Shi et al. 2011). There are several seasonal stream rivers for freshwater input to Sanggou Bay, with runoff (approx. 1.7−2.3 × 10⁷ m³) accounting for ca. 17% of the total volume of the bay (Li et al. 2014). Temperature ranges from 2 to 26°C and average salinity is 30.6‰. The bay is one of the most intensively cultured bays in China and aquaculture was already introduced in the 1980s. The main cultivated species are kelp (*Saccharina japonica*), scallop (*Chlamys farreri*) and Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). Annual production of kelp is ~68 000 t in dry weight. Kelp occurs mainly near the mouth of the bay as a monoculture and integrates with bivalves towards the middle of the bay. Kelp is typically cultivated from November to the end of May in the following year. The sample site was in the northern Sanggou Bay.

**Available data and data analysis**

Field data were obtained during 2 mariculture cycles of *S. japonica* at the experimental site in Sanggou Bay (Fig. 1B), from November to May for the years 2008−2009 and 2011−2012. Length and dry weight of kelp fronds were measured twice each month, while environmental parameters were measured monthly, including water temperature, salinity, total suspended particulate materials and nutrient concentrations. Total suspended matter concentrations were obtained by filtering a known volume of water onto a pre-weighed and pre-dried (450°C, 5 h) Whatman GF/F glass fiber filter. The filter was then oven-dried at 60°C for 24 h and total suspended solids were calcu-
lated. Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (i.e. NH$_4$-N + NO$_3$-N + NO$_2$-N, hereafter called N), and phosphorus (i.e. PO$_4$-P, hereafter called P) were analyzed with colorimetric methods (Grasshoff et al. 1983).

Model description

A conceptual diagram of the model is depicted in Fig. 2. The equations for the main parameters are presented below. The environmental variables influencing the growth of kelp in the present model are temperature ($T$), irradiance ($I$) and nutrient concentration ($N$ and/or $P$) in the water. The model was run with STELLA 9.1.3 software using a time step of 1 d for 180 d, which started from the beginning of November to May, corresponding to culture day 1 through 180.

Main processes and state variables

Kelp biomass can be defined as a balance between 2 dynamic processes: gross macroalgal production (growth) and removal of macroalgal biomass by respiration and erosion, or ‘apical frond loss’. The biomass of kelp ($B$, g dry weight [DW] ind.$^{-1}$) is governed by the following equation:

$$
\frac{dB}{dt} = (NGR - ER) \times B
$$

where NGR is the net growth rate (d$^{-1}$), ER is the individual erosion rate (d$^{-1}$) per kelp and $t$ is time (d).

NGR is defined by the difference between gross growth rate ($G_{growth}$, d$^{-1}$) and respiration rate ($R_{esp}$, d$^{-1}$):

$$
NGR = G_{growth} - R_{esp}
$$

It is generally accepted that respiration, like any other biochemical reaction, is temperature dependent and can be described by an Arrhenius function (Duarte & Ferreira 1997, Martins & Marques 2002):

$$
R_{esp} = R_{max20} \times e^{(T_{w} - 20)}
$$

where $R_{max20}$ is the maximum respiration rate at 20°C, $r$ is the empirical coefficient and $T_{w}$ is seawater temperature (°C).

$G_{growth}$ is described as a function of water temperature, irradiance and internal concentration of nutrients (NP) (Solidoro et al. 1997, Duarte & Ferreira 1997, Martins & Marques 2002), with $\mu_{max}$ being the maximum growth rate:

$$
G_{growth} = \mu_{max} \times f(T) \times f(NP) \times f(I)
$$

Kelp growth depends on temperature, which is described by a temperature-optimum curve (Duarte et al. 2003). The temperature limitation is expressed as follows:

$$
f(T) = \frac{2.0[1 + \beta] \times X_{T}}{X_{T}^{2} + 2.0 \times \beta \times X_{T} + 1.0}
$$

where $X_{T} = \frac{T_{w} - T_{\text{opt}}}{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{opt}}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$ is the upper temperature limit above which growth ceases (°C), $T_{\text{opt}}$ is the optimum temperature for growth (°C), and $\beta$ is an adjustment parameter (°C).

Light attenuation through a column of water is one of the primary limiting variables in the growth of macroalgae. Kelp production is limited by light intensity. Similar to Shi et al. (2011), the functional response is integrated over depth:

$$
f(I) = \frac{I}{I_{0}} \times e^{\left[1 - \frac{I}{I_{0}}\right]}
$$

where $I_{0}$ is the optimum light intensity for growth (W m$^{-2}$) and $I$ is the light intensity at depth $Z$ defined by the Lambert-Beer law:

$$
I = I_{0} \times e^{-kZ}
$$

where $k$ is the coefficient of light attenuation (m$^{-1}$), $Z$ is the depth of kelp mariculture (m) and $I_{0}$ is the light intensity at the surface (W m$^{-2}$), expressed by the following cosine function of time, which is based on Shi et al. (2011):

$$
I_{0} = 200.38 - 116.47 \times \cos[2\pi(t - 1)/365]
$$

The light extinction coefficient ($k$, m$^{-1}$) is influenced by suspended particles in
the water column. The suspended particles include many different forms such as phytoplankton, and particulate organic and inorganic matter. The $k$ value depends on the type of the particles (Parsons et al. 1984). For simplicity, $k$ was calculated from an empirical relationship with total particulate matter (TPM; the concentration of total suspended particulate materials, mg l$^{-1}$) (Duarte et al. 2003):

$$k = 0.0484 \text{TPM} + 0.0243$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Similar to most macroalgae, kelp store nutrients (N and P) in the tissue for growth, thus buffering the kelp against external nutrient shortage (Chapman & Craigie 1977, Pedersen & Borum 1996). Growth and photosynthesis are directly dependent on internal rather than external nutrient concentrations. Therefore, the model considers kelp growth to be a function of internal concentration of nutrients (NP). Nutrient limitation is calculated as follows:

$$f(NP) = \text{Min}\{f(N), f(P)\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The preference of kelp for ammonium and nitrate is not considered in the model. Above a threshold level of N-quota, kelp growth increases with an increase of N-quota; below the threshold, growth did not occur. Following Ren et al. (2014), N-quota-dependent growth is calculated as:

$$f(N) = 1 - \frac{N_{\text{min}}}{N_{\text{int}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $N_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum internal cell quota for N and $N_{\text{int}}$ the internal content of N in kelp tissue. The relationship between macroalgal growth and P-quota is defined similarly (Ren et al. 2014):

$$f(P) = 1 - \frac{P_{\text{min}}}{P_{\text{int}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $P_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum internal cell quota for P and $P_{\text{int}}$ is the internal P concentration. Growth stops ($f(N) = 0$) when $N_{\text{int}} < N_{\text{min}}$. Also, growth stops ($f(P) = 0$) when $P_{\text{int}} < P_{\text{min}}$. Variations in internal nutrient concentrations are determined by subtracting consumed nutrients ($\Psi$) from the uptake of nutrients ($\Psi$).

The uptake of nutrients is described in Eq. 13, with the amount of consumed nutrients depending on macroalgal growth rate:

$$\Psi_X = \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{int}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}} \times V_{\text{max}} \times \frac{X_{\text{ext}}}{K_X + X_{\text{ext}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$\Psi_X$ is the nutrient ($X = N$ or P) uptake rate. The factor $\frac{X_{\text{ext}}}{K_X + X_{\text{ext}}}$ represents simple Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Holling 1959). $X_{\text{ext}}$ is the external nutrient concentration (in water) and $X_{\text{int}}$ is the internal nutrient concentration (within the kelp tissue). $K_X$ is the half-saturation constant for the uptake of the nutrient. The factor $\frac{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{int}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}}$ accounts for the internal nutrient reserve concentrations (Solidoro et al. 1997). $X_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum internal concentration, $X_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum internal concentration, and $V_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum uptake rate of the nutrient. The use of internal nutrients is described as:

$$\gamma_X = X_{\text{int}} \times G_{\text{growth}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $X_{\text{int}}$ is the internal nutrient concentration (within the plant).

Model parameters

Definitions and values of parameters used in the model are summarized in Table 1. The values for maximum N and P uptake rate were set to $V_{\text{max N}} = 60$ µmol gDW$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and $V_{\text{max P}} = 7$ µmol gDW$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively. Ozaki et al. (2001) report $V_{\text{max N}}$ for $S. japonica$ to range from 0.54 to 1.95 µg cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, leading to 27.8–100 µmol gDW$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, and $V_{\text{max P}}$ from 0.17 to 0.31 µg cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, leading to 3.95–7.2 µmol gDW$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$. Ozaki et al. (2001) reported $K_N$ values ranging from 1.76 to 3.36 µmol l$^{-1}$, and a value of 3 µmol l$^{-1}$ was chosen for our model. $N_{\text{min}}$ and $N_{\text{max}}$ were set to 500 µmol gDW$^{-1}$ and 3000 µmol gDW$^{-1}$, respectively (Chapman et al. 1978, Sjøtun 1993).

Our $P_{\text{max}}$ and $P_{\text{min}}$ values were set in accordance with the values of Mizuta et al. (2003). $K_P$ was set to 0.1 µmol l$^{-1}$ in our model, but data were limited. For brown macroalgae, the value of $K_P$ varies from 0.14 to 11.17 µmol l$^{-1}$ (Rees 2003). For $Laminaria japonica$ (now $Saccharina japonica$), Ozaki et al. (2001) found $K_P$ ranging from 0.09 to 0.18 µmol l$^{-1}$.

$I_g$ was set to 180, according to the experimental result by Zhu et al. (2004). $S. japonica$ is usually cultured on ropes, with farmers adjusting culture depth as kelp weight increases. A depth of 0.2 m was set for $Z$. The temperature parameters $T_a$ and $T_{\text{opt}}$ were obtained from Petrell et al. (1993), Duarte et al. (2003) and Wu et al. (2009).

Chapman et al. (1978) reported the growth rate of the congeneric $S. latissima$ (as $Laminaria saccharina$) to be 0.18 d$^{-1}$. The maximum growth rate value in our model was set to 0.135 d$^{-1}$, based on our own data (J. Zhang unpubl.). The values for $R_{\text{max20}}$ and $r$ were obtained from EPA (1985). Most algal models use empirical equations or set loss rates equal to some constant proportion of seaweed biomass, varying...
Table 1. Definitions and values of the parameters used in the kelp growth model. These are final values obtained by experimental procedure, field measurement, literature and/or calibration. DW: dry weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{max20}$</td>
<td>Maximum respiration rate at 20°C</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>EPA (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>Empirical coefficient</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>EPA (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{max}$</td>
<td>Maximum growth rate</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>J. Zhang (unpubl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{opt}$</td>
<td>Optimum temperature for growth</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Petrell et al. (1993), Duarte et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{max}$</td>
<td>Upper temperature limit above</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Petrell et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_o$</td>
<td>Optimum light intensity for growth</td>
<td>W m$^{-2}$</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Tseng (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>Water depth of kelp mariculture</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>This study (adjusted to 0 m in the simulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{min}$</td>
<td>Minimum internal quota for nitrogen</td>
<td>µmol gDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Chapman et al. (1978), Sjøtun (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{max}$</td>
<td>Maximum internal quota for nitrogen</td>
<td>µmol gDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Chapman et al. (1978), Sjøtun (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{maxN}$</td>
<td>Maximum nitrogen uptake rate</td>
<td>µmol gDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Ozaki et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_N$</td>
<td>Half-saturation constant for nitrogen uptake</td>
<td>µmol l$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ozaki et al. (2001), Shi et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{min}$</td>
<td>Minimum internal phosphorus concentration</td>
<td>µmol gDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Mizuta et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{max}$</td>
<td>Maximum internal phosphorus concentration</td>
<td>µmol gDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Mizuta et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_P$</td>
<td>Half-saturation constant for phosphate uptake</td>
<td>µmol l$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Ozaki et al. (2001), Kitadai &amp; Kadowaki (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{maxP}$</td>
<td>Maximum phosphate uptake rate</td>
<td>µmol ngDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kitadai &amp; Kadowaki (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Individual erosion rate</td>
<td>µmol gDW$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.01% (day 130);</td>
<td>This study (adjusted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.015% (days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130–180)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from 0.0005 to 0.03 d$^{-1}$ (Canale & Auer 1982). With the hole-punching method, Suzuki et al. (2008) measured an erosion rate of *Fucus japonica* in the range of 0.3–2.3 g wet weight d$^{-1}$, which corresponds to 1.8–4% d$^{-1}$. As temperature increases, rates of erosion of more mature plants also increase. For our simulations, we adjusted ER to 0 for simulation days 0–128, 0.01% d$^{-1}$ for day 130, and 0.015% d$^{-1}$ for days 130–180.

Calibration, validation and statistical analysis

Model calibration was conducted using data from the 2011–2012 culture cycle, whereas data from the 2008–2009 culture cycle was used for validation. The goodness-of-fit of model performance was evaluated by linear regression between the observation (on the x-axis) and simulation (on the y-axis), which was tested against the model $y = x$. Limiting factors for growth depended on $f(T)$, $f(I)$ and $f(NP)$, being functions with normalized values between 0 (maximum limitation) and 1 (no limitation).

Following Majkowski (1982) and Ren et al. (2014), we performed sensitivity analyses to assess the responses of the model to changes in model parameters. For each model run, 1 parameter was changed by $\pm 10\%$ (for temperature this is equivalent to a $\pm 3°C$ shift), and the relative change in model output was used to calculate sensitivity. The difference ($S$) between the unperturbed and perturbed results from the model was measured by:

$$S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{x_{it} - x_{i0}}{x_{i0}}$$

where $n$ is the number of simulation days, $x_{i0}$ is the individual dry weight of kelp predicted with the calibrated set of parameters set at time $t$, and $x_{it}$ is the individual mass with 1 perturbed parameter of $i$ at time $t$. Two model runs were performed for each parameter, differing by $\pm 10\%$. The averaged percentage change in individual dry weight from the 2 model runs was used as a measure of sensitivity of the change in the parameter value.

RESULTS

Fig. 3 shows the environmental data collected during 2008–2009 and 2011–2012. Temperature and nutrient data from November were used for initial model conditions.

During model calibration (using 2011–2012 data), reasonable agreement was achieved between the modelled and observed dry weight of kelp (Fig. 4A), and during validation, simulated DW also matched observed data with significant linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.969$; ANOVA, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 4B).
The simulated and observed values for 2011–2012 and for 2008–2009 were plotted in Fig. 5. For 2001–2012, $y = x$ regression of the data revealed a significant correlation ($R^2 = 0.996$; ANOVA, $p < 0.001$).
Statistical analysis showed that predicted values did not significantly differ from observed values (ANOVA, \(p > 0.64\)). In addition, the model achieved a reasonably low root mean square error (RMSD = 12.4). For 2008–2009, there was no significant difference between prediction and observation (RMSD = 19.0; ANOVA, \(p > 0.65\)). The model satisfactorily reproduced the growth of kelp in both years, but achieved a much better result for the period 2011–2012 than 2008–2009.

The effects of nutrients, light intensity and temperature on growth are shown in Fig. 6, plotting normalized functions of these parameters (0 = maximum limitation, 1 = no limitation). During the culture cycle (November–May), nutrients were the greatest limiting factor in kelp growth, while there was also substantial light and temperature limitation. The values of \(f(NP)\) varied between 0.15 and 0.5 in 2011–2012, and 0.30–0.54 in 2008–2009, with the lowest value on simulation days 150–180 (from mid-March to late April). For \(f(I)\) and \(f(T)\), the values ranged from 0.66–0.99 and 0.78–1.0, respectively, both with the lowest value on simulation day 60 (the beginning of January).

The effects of N and P limitation on growth are shown in Fig. 7. At simulation days 15–130 in 2011–2012, \(f(N)\) values were lower than \(f(P)\) values, whereas \(f(P)\) values were lower than \(f(N)\) values on days 130–180 (Fig. 7A). While in 2008–2009, the values of \(f(N)\) were lower than \(f(P)\) from days 15–180 (Fig. 7B).

Sensitivity analysis revealed that the model is relatively sensitive to changes in most model parameters (Table 2). \(S\) was <100% in all cases tested, suggesting that uncertainties in parameters and initial conditions are not amplified in the values of the state variables. The empirical coefficient of respiration (\(r\)) was found to exert the greatest influence on the prediction result of the model, in which 10% change resulted in an extensive change in model output (89.45%). Other relatively sensitive parameters were the maximum growth rate (\(\mu_{\text{max}}\)) and the minimum internal quota for nitrogen (\(N_{\text{imin}}\)).

The simulations of unlimited nutrient supply showed that in 2011–2012, assuming \(f(N) = 1\), DW of kelp increased 3.4 times, and \(f(P) = 1\), DW of kelp increased 3.0 times (Fig. 8A). However, in 2008–2009, only fertilization with N resulted in an increase in kelp growth (Fig. 8B). Raising the culture
ropes from 0.2 m depth up to the surface would result in the final DW of kelp being increased by 18.8% in 2011–2012 (Fig. 9).

**DISCUSSION**

The kelp growth model reflects the relationship between productivity and the environment. It is a useful tool for the management of kelp mariculture.

**Temperature**

Recent research has focused on the influence of increased seawater temperature on the growth of *Saccharina japonica* in cold-water environments (Ohno & Matsuoka 1992, Suzuki et al. 2006). The influence of low temperature on kelp growth is not being concerned about: However, from this study, we found that the growth of *S. japonica* in Sanggou Bay was largely limited by temperature, particularly during culture days 60–120 in January–February. A previous study showed that the uptake rates of nitrate and phosphate by *S. japonica* decreased when water temperature dropped to <5°C (Ozaki et al. 2001). In Sanggou Bay in 2011–2012, water temperature fell <5°C for over 2 mo in winter and became the main limiting factor for *S. japonica* growth in this season.

Table 2. Sensitivity analyses as percent relative change from the baseline of dry weight of cultured *Saccharina japonica* predicted by the kelp growth model after changing each model parameter by ±10% (except $T_{\text{min}}$ changed only +10% from standard value of $T_{\text{min}} = 0.5^\circ$C). Parameters with very low sensitivity coefficients (<1%) are not presented. See Table 1 for parameter definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model parameter</th>
<th>% change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>89.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>25.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{imin}}$</td>
<td>18.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{maxN}}$</td>
<td>15.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{opt}}$</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{imin}}$</td>
<td>14.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{maxP}}$</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{maxN}}$</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_N$</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_P$</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{imax}}$</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{imax}}$</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 8. Simulation results on the potential effect of fertilization with dissolved inorganic nitrogen (N) or phosphorus (P) on the individual dry weight of cultured kelp *Saccharina japonica* in comparison to predicted growth without fertilization. Addition of nutrients to fertilize kelp was implemented by running the model with the normalized functions of N and P set to 1 (i.e. absence of nutrient limitation). (A) 2011–2012, (B) 2008–2009](image)

![Fig. 9. Simulated growth (individual dry weight) of kelp *Saccharina japonica* when lifting the culture ropes from 0.2 m depth to the surface](image)

**Light**

The surface radiation and extinction of light in the water column affect the light intensity available to kelp. Although clouds and dust in the atmosphere
could influence the incident surface radiation to some extent, there is considerable variation in the incident surface radiation with geographical position. Our results showed that although kelp was cultured near the water surface in Sanggou Bay, light intensity was still a limiting factor in winter (Fig. 9). Extinction of light depends on depth and suspended particles in the water. Despite diurnal and seasonal variations of the light extinction coefficient \( k \), the use of total suspended particulate materials to calculate \( k \) still achieved reasonable results. In addition, self-shading by macroalgal thalli can also affect \( k \). However, most reports were on natural macroalgae, such as Ulva, where the density of a natural population is not uniform and can be very high (e.g. Enríquez et al. 1994, Ren et al. 2014). In maricultural macroalgae, density is controlled. The suspended long-line culture usually allows the kelp fronds to float horizontally, which reduces self-shading. Therefore, in the model, we did not consider the influence of self-shading on the growth of kelp.

Earlier types of models to assess the carrying capacity for kelp (Shi et al. 2011) or for multi-species (kelp and scallop) culture in Sanggou Bay (Duarte et al. 2003) do not consider light to be a limiting factor, because the depth of kelp culture ropes was manually adjusted to overcome light limitation in the past. As kelp weight increases, the culture rope is submerged under water. Farmers adjust the depth of culture ropes by increasing or decreasing the number of floating balls. However, with increasing scale and labor costs of present-day mariculture, this practice is no longer feasible considering the economic value of kelp, although our model shows that the DW of kelp could theoretically be increased by 18% with raising culture ropes to the surface when light is limited.

**Nutrients**

The availability of nutrients is one of the primary factors regulating macroalgal growth in a marine ecosystem. In this study, we identified nutrient availability to be the key limiting factor for kelp growth and there were seasonal and inter-annual variations of limitations in N or P. N was the main limiting factor in the culture period 2008–2009 and on simulation days 15–130 in 2011–2012. The kelp farming area is not only in the middle area of the bay, but also has been expanded to the mouth and even outside the bay. Therefore, both the rafts and seaweeds impede water exchange of the bay with the Yellow Sea, which limits influx of supplementary oceanic nutrients. Coupled with the absorption of nutrients by seaweed and little riverine input, nutrient concentrations within Sanggou Bay are usually lower during the kelp cultural season than during the rest of the year (Liu et al. 2003, Sun et al. 2007, Zhang et al. 2011). Consequently, variability in nutrient availability can strongly influence kelp productivity (Rosell & Srivastava 1984, Ahn et al. 1998). In the past, farmers have increased mariculture seaweed yield by fertilizing them with ammonia or urea. On the northern coast of China, Tseng et al. (1955) conducted experiments to investigate the effect of fertilizer application on the growth of S. japonica, and found that the harvest could be increased by 3 to 4 times with increasing the DIN concentration. Our model simulations also showed that kelp DW could be increased by 3.4 times in the absence of N limitation. Although the application of nitrogen fertilizers can increase the yield of kelp, few farmers in Sanggou Bay fertilize with N during the kelp culture period.

Recent studies have shown that phosphates and silicates can become limiting factors for phytoplankton growth in Sanggou Bay (Qu et al. 2008, Zhang et al. 2011). However, there are no reports on the influence of phosphates on kelp mariculture. Our results revealed that low P availability was a key limiting factor for kelp growth only on simulation days 130–180 in 2011–2012. As one of few studies reporting on the relationship between P availability and macroalgal growth, Lapointe et al. (1992) found that low availability of P limited macroalgal productivity in oligotrophic waters. Although Sanggou Bay is not known to be oligotrophic, the concentrations of phosphate became too low to support potential growth during March to May in 2012 (Fig. 3). According to our model, enriching waters with phosphates could have improved kelp growth in 2012, but not in 2009. Therefore, whether to fertilize or not and at which seasons depends on the specific circumstances of the environment in a particular year.

The addition of nutrients may improve kelp growth, but it may also cause potentially detrimental ecological responses. Nutrient addition can stimulate the growth of phytoplankton and other macroalgae, increasing the competition for nutrients and causing light limitation; effects that, in concert, could cause more serious ecological problems, such as the formation of red tides.

IMTA is a form of ecological engineering that combines the biological processes of cultured fish and extractive co-cultured species to remove waste loadings associated with intensive aquaculture systems.
(Troell et al. 2009). Co-cultures of macroalgae have been successfully used to reduce the amount of aquaculture-derived inorganic nutrients (Yu et al. 2014). Similarly, the IMTA practice could stimulate the growth of kelp, because the release of aquaculture-derived inorganic nutrients is equivalent to kelp fertilization. In view of the observed nutrient limitation in Sanggou Bay, the results of the present modelling study indicate that the introduction of cage culture-derived inorganic nutrients is equivalent to kelp growth (Ahn et al. 1998).
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ABSTRACT: Field observations were made from 2012 to 2014 at an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) site in Sanggou Bay (SGB), China, to characterize the nutrients associated with aquaculture activities, and to assess the effects of aquaculture on nutrient cycles in the bay. Dissolved inorganic and organic nutrient levels were measured in rivers, groundwater, and SGB. Seasonal variations in nutrient concentrations were detected in the rivers, particularly enrichment of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and silicate (DSi). Nutrient concentrations showed considerable seasonal variation, with higher and significantly different concentrations occurring in autumn than in the other seasons. The composition and distribution of nutrients were also affected by the species being cultured. Dissolved organic nitrogen and phosphorus (DON and DOP) accounted for 27 to 87% of total dissolved nitrogen and 34 to 81% of total dissolved phosphorus, respectively. Phosphorus may be a potentially limiting nutrient for phytoplankton growth in summer. Nutrient budgets were developed based on a simple steady-state box model. These showed that bivalve aquaculture was the major source of PO4^3− (contributing 64% of total influx) and led to increased riverine fluxes of PO4^3−. The results indicated that substantial quantities of nitrogen and DSi accumulated in sediments or were transformed into other forms (e.g. phytoplankton cell composition or particles). Large quantities of DIN and PO4^3− were removed from the bay through harvesting of seaweeds and bivalves, which represented up to 64 and 81% of total outflux, respectively. The results show that aquaculture activities play the most important role in nutrient cycling in SGB.

KEY WORDS: Nutrients · IMTA · Budgets · Aquaculture activities · Sanggou Bay

INTRODUCTION

With an annual average increase of 8.7% over the past 40 yr, aquaculture is the fastest-growing food production sector in the world, and is overtaking capture fisheries as a source of food fish (Herbeck et al. 2013). The rapid growth of aquaculture has given rise to a wide variety of environmental problems, including ecosystem degradation and water pollution (Neori et al. 2004). One of the largest of impacts of aquaculture effluents to local ecosystems is imbalance created in nutrient dynamics and eutrophic
conditions (Marinho-Soriano et al. 2009, Bouwman et al. 2011). In addition, excess nutrients cause stress in the cultivated organisms, with deleterious effects including smaller size, reduced production, and mass mortality (Newell 2004, Mao et al. 2006). Due to increasing concerns about the environmental impacts of aquaculture, a new method of aquaculture with a smaller ecological footprint has been developed. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) has the potential to mitigate the environmental impacts of aquaculture (Buschmann et al. 2008).

IMTA is described as the cultivation of aquatic species from different trophic levels within a shared water system (Bostock et al. 2010). Such systems significantly increase the sustainability of aquaculture and recycle waste nutrients from high trophic-level species into production of lower trophic-level crops of commercial value (Troell et al. 2009). Seaweeds are used in IMTA systems for their nutrient- absorbing and sequestering properties. Nutrients excreted and egested by bivalves can be absorbed by macro-algae and recycled into valuable biomass (Newell 2004, Buschmann et al. 2008), and this amount of nutrient waste can be effectively removed from the ecosystem. In addition, a number of studies have confirmed that suspension-feeding bivalves can exert top-down control on phytoplankton (Newell & Koch 2004, Wall et al. 2008); larger nanoplankton will be removed in comparison with smaller (<3 µm diameter) picoplankton species, thereby reducing turbidity (Newell 2004). The resulting increased light penetration can potentially enhance the production of benthic plants (Newell & Koch 2004). If high levels of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) regenerated by bivalves are sufficient to allow the relatively slow-growing nanoplankton to grow fast enough to overcome grazer control, primary production can be stimulated through recycling of nitrogen (Smaal et al. 2001). Some marine IMTA systems have been commercially successful at industrial scales, especially in Asia (China) (Troell et al. 2009).

China is the largest aquaculture producer in the world, with a total production of 34.1 million tons, which accounts for 62% of total global production and 51% of the global value (Yang et al. 2005, FAO 2010, Yuan et al. 2010, Yu et al. 2012). The area devoted to aquaculture increased from 11.2 × 10^4 ha in 1977 to 218 × 10^4 ha in 2012 (The People’s Republic of China Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries Bureau 2013). The rapid growth of aquaculture has led to eutrophication of coastal waters (Wu et al. 2014), and to the occurrence of aquatic diseases that have resulted in major economic losses (Fei 2004); for example, in 1998, more than 10 billion Chinese Yuan (approximately US$ 1.5 billion) were lost because of mariculture disease (Fei 2004). To improve the environmental sustainability of aquaculture and benefit the local economy, IMTA was developed in China. Sea-ranching and suspended aquaculture are the 2 main forms of IMTA in China, and the latter is used in Sanggou Bay.

Sanggou Bay (SGB) is located in northern China and has been used for aquaculture for over 30 yr (Zhang et al. 2009). It has been estimated that more than 300 t of inorganic nitrogen have been excreted into the bay by cultivated and fouling animals (Troell et al. 2009). Studies of core sediments also indicated that the total nitrogen (TN) content has increased in recent decades as a consequence of aquaculture activities (Song et al. 2012). Bivalves clear seston particles >3 µm in diameter from natural water and are not supplied with additional feed in the bay. The absolute and relative abundances of dinoflagellate cells in the bay are lower inside the scallop culture area than outside (Zhang et al. 2005), and the phytoplankton community has changed as a result; meanwhile, the reduction in phytoplankton biomass has a negative impact on bivalve growth (Duarte et al. 2003, Shi et al. 2011a). In addition, kelp can compete with phytoplankton for nutrients, and 80 000 t of dried kelp can be produced annually through uptake of inorganic nitrogen from the bay (Zhang et al. 2009). In pursuing high levels of productivity, SGB has been subject to a rapid growth in aquaculture, with long-line culture of kelp having expanded to areas more than 8 km away from the coast, where the water depth is between 20 and 30 m (Troell et al. 2009, Fu et al. 2013).

Much attention has been focused on the carrying capacity of shellfish and kelp mariculture (Bacher et al. 2003, Nunes et al. 2003, Shi et al. 2011a), ecology (Song et al. 2007, Hao et al. 2012), nutrient levels (Wang 2012, Zhang et al. 2012), and nutrient fluxes at the sediment–water interface (Jiang et al. 2007, Sun et al. 2010) in SGB, but the effects of aquaculture activities on nutrient cycling have not been well studied in the bay. The objective of this study was to determine the amounts and composition of dissolved nutrients in the bay and associated rivers and groundwater, to assess the sources and transport of nutrients, to evaluate the impact of aquaculture activities on nutrient cycling, and to discriminate the importance of internal nutrient inputs vs. physical transport, based on the land–ocean interactions in the coastal zone (LOICZ) nutrient model (Gordon et al. 1996).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

SGB (Fig. 1) is a semi-enclosed water body of approximately 144 km² at the eastern end of Shandong Peninsula, and has an average depth of 7.5 m (Zhang et al. 2009). The bay is characterized by semi-diurnal tides having an average tidal range of 2 m, and is connected to the Yellow Sea through an 11.5 km wide channel (Mao et al. 2006, Jiang et al. 2007). It is dominated by land–ocean climate, with water temperatures ranging from 2 to 26°C (Kuang et al. 1996). Approximately 73.3% of annual precipitation in the area (819.6 mm) occurs during the wet season, from June to September. The average river discharge into the bay is 1.7−2.3 × 10⁸ m³ yr⁻¹, and this carries an annual sediment load of 17.1 × 10⁴ t. More than 70% of the area of SGB is currently used for aquaculture (Zhang et al. 2009, 2010, Fu et al. 2013). It is one of the largest aquaculture production sites in China, and is extensively used for the culture of scallops (Chlamys farreri), Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas, and seaweeds (Saccharina japonica and Gracilaria lemaneiformis) (Zhang et al. 2009). These species are grown in both monoculture and polyculture, from suspended longlines (Fang et al. 1996a) (Fig. 1). S. japonica monoculture occurs mainly near the mouth of the bay, bivalves are mainly cultured in the western part of the bay, and kelp and bivalve polyculture occurs in the middle part of the bay (Fig. 1). The co-cultivation of abalone Haliotis discus hannai with kelp (S. japonica) has also been developed, with the abalones held in lantern nets hanging vertically from the longlines. In 2012, production included approximately 84 500 t dry weight of S. japonica, 25 410 t wet weight of G. lemaneiformis, and approximately 15 000 and 60 000 t wet weight of C. farreri and C. gigas, respectively (data from Rongcheng Fishery Technology Extension Station). The main cultured species has shifted from scallop to oyster since 1996 because of reduced scallop production as a consequence of disease (Zhang et al. 2009).

To increase production, aquaculture has expanded from the bay to the open sea since the 1990s (Fang et al. 1996a). However, the total aquaculture production of kelp has not increased (Shi et al. 2011a). This may be related to a reduced supply of nutrients resulting from a decrease in the water exchange rate, which has been a consequence of reduced circulation because of the increase in aquaculture activities (Fang et al. 1996b). The hydrodynamic conditions have changed significantly because of the presence of suspended aquaculture (Shi et al. 2011a). Current speeds can be reduced by aquaculture facilities including rafts, and ropes impose drag (Grant & Bacher 2001, Duarte et al. 2003). The renewal of suspended particles for bivalve culture and nutrient regeneration for kelp have also been reduced (Grant & Bacher 2001, Duarte et al. 2003). Compared with the period of farming activities up to 1983, tidal currents had decreased by 50% by 1994 because of large-scale cultivation (Zhao et al. 1996). Based on a 2-dimensional model, Grant & Bacher (2001) estimated a reduction of 41% in the water exchange rate in SGB because of increased bottom friction with expansion of intensive suspended aquaculture. The vertical current has also changed because of suspended aquaculture (Fan & Wei 2010).

Sample collection

Sampling took place during 31 May to 4 June 2012 (early summer), 20 September to 2 October
2012 (early autumn), 22 to 25 April 2013 (spring),
21 to 25 July 2013 (summer), 16 to 17 October
2013 (autumn), and 15 to 17 January 2014 (winter)
(Fig. 2). Two anchor stations for monitoring over
complete tidal cycles of 25 h were established, one
in April 2013 in the northern mouth of the bay (D1),
and the other in October 2013 in the southern
mouth (D2) (Fig. 2), respectively. At each station,
surface water samples were collected by submers-
ing a 1 l acid-cleaned polyethylene bottle from a
boat, and bottom water samples were collected
using a 5 l polymethyl methacrylate water sampler.
River water samples were collected from the river
edge in 0.5 l acid-cleaned polyethylene bottles,
and groundwater was collected from wells around
the bay (Fig. 2).

Water temperature and salinity were measured
in situ using a WTW MultiLine F/Set3 multi-parameter
probe. Each water sample was immediately filtered
through a 0.45 µm pore size cellulose acetate filters
(pre-cleaned with hydrochloric acid, pH = 2) into a
polyethylene bottle that had previously been rinsed 3
times with some of the filtered water sample. The fil-
trates were fixed by the addition of saturated HgCl2
solution (Liu et al. 2005), and the filters were dried at
45°C and weighed to determine the mass of sus-
pended particulate matter (SPM).

**Chemical analysis**

Dissolved nutrient concentrations were measured
in the laboratory using an Auto Analyzer 3 (Seal
Analytical). Total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and total
dissolved phosphorus (TDP) were measured accord-
ing to the methods of Grasshoff et al. (1999). The DIN
concentration was determined as the sum of the NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, and NH$_4^+$ concentrations. The concentrations of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) were estimated by subtracting DIN from TDN and PO$_4^{3-}$ from TDP, respectively. The analytical precision of NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$, PO$_4^{3-}$, dissolved silicate (DSi), TDN, and TDP was <5%.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the software SPSS 20.0 by IBM. One-way ANOVAs were used to analyze the individual effects of seasons and particular cultivation area on variations in SPM, and 2-way ANOVAs were used to analyze the combined effects of seasons and cultivation area on variations in SPM. Two-way ANOVAs were also used to analyze the effects of surface/bottom and seasons on variations in nutrient concentrations. Based on a *posteriori* homogeneity tests, Tukey’s HSD or Tamhane’s T2 comparisons were applied to assess the statistical significance of differences (p < 0.05) following ANOVA.

### Nutrient budgets

Dissolved nutrient budgets for the study system were constructed based on the LOICZ box model (Gordon et al. 1996). This model has been widely used to construct nutrient budgets defining the internal biogeochemical processes and external nutrient inputs of estuarine and coastal ecosystems (Savchuk 2005, Liu et al. 2009). For our model, we assumed that the study system was in a steady state, and the bay was treated as a single well-mixed box. The water mass balance, salinity balance, and the non-conservative fluxes of nutrient elements based on nutrient concentrations and water budgets were estimated according to Eqs. (1) to (3), respectively:

\[
V_R = V_{in} - V_{out} = -V_Q - V_P - V_G - V_W + V_E \quad (1)
\]

\[
V_X(S_1 - S_2) = S_R V_R \quad (2)
\]

\[
\Delta Y = \text{outflux} - \text{influx} = V_R C_R + V_X C_X - V_Q C_Q - V_P C_P - V_G C_G - V_W C_W \quad (3)
\]

where $V_R$ is the residual flow, and $V_Q$, $V_P$, $V_G$, $V_W$, $V_E$, $V_{in}$, $V_{out}$, $V_X$, and $\Delta Y$ are the river discharge, precipitation, groundwater, wastewater, evaporation, inflow of water to the system of interest, outflow of water from the system of interest, the mixing flow between the 2 systems and nonconservative flux of nutrients, respectively. The volume of aquaculture effluent discharged directly into the system of interest was not considered, as the data were limited. We assumed that the salinity of fresh water ($V_Q$, $V_P$, and $V_E$) was 0. In Eq. (2), $S_R = (S_1 + S_2)/2$, where $S_1$ and $S_2$ are the average salinity of the system of interest and the adjacent system, respectively. The total water exchange time ($\tau$) of the system of interest was estimated from the ratio of $V_S$ to ($V_R + V_Q$), where $V_S$ is the volume of the system. In Eq. (3), $C_Q$, $C_P$, $C_G$, $C_W$, $C_R$, and $C_X$ are the average concentrations of nutrients in the river discharge, the precipitation, groundwater, wastewater, the residual flow, and the mixing flow, respectively. $C_R$ and $C_X$ equate to ($C_1 + C_2$)/2 and ($C_1 - C_2$), respectively. $C_1$ and $C_2$ are the average concentrations of nutrients in the system of interest and the adjacent system, respectively. Outflux and influx are the total nutrient flux out of and into the system of interest, respectively. A negative or positive sign for $\Delta Y$ indicates that the system of interest was a sink or a source, respectively.

### RESULTS

#### Hydrographical characteristics

The surface water temperature (Table 1) reflected the seasonality of this temperate system. The surface water temperature decreased from the mouth to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>SPM (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>6.00–9.60 (7.60)</td>
<td>6.10–9.90 (7.80)</td>
<td>30.2–31.3 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>13.3–25.9 (20.0)</td>
<td>13.5–20.6 (17.0)</td>
<td>28.2–30.8 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>17.7–25.0 (20.1)</td>
<td>16.6–23.3 (19.3)</td>
<td>29.1–30.0 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>1.80–5.70 (3.50)</td>
<td>0.90–5.30 (3.15)</td>
<td>29.2–30.6 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
west of the bay in spring and summer, but increased in this direction in autumn and winter. The horizontal distribution of temperature in the near-bottom layer was similar to that in surface water, but the temperatures were generally lower. The salinity of both surface and bottom water gradually increased from the west of the bay to mouth, except in winter. The salinity was lowest in autumn (Table 1).

The SPM concentrations varied considerably among seasons and cultivation areas, as evidenced by the large ranges shown in Table 1 and Fig. 3. The average concentration of SPM showed minor differences between surface and bottom waters in spring and winter, but was significantly less in surface water than in the bottom layer in both summer and autumn between different cultivation areas, especially those involving oyster and scallop monoculture (Fig. 3). A 1-way ANOVA indicated very significant differences in SPM concentration in bottom water of the bay in different seasons (p < 0.05). The subsequent post hoc Tamhane’s T2 test showed that the concentrations of SPM in bottom water in summer and autumn differed significantly from those in spring and winter. In addition, a 1-way ANOVA indicated highly significant differences between different cultivation areas (p < 0.05). The subsequent post hoc Tamhane’s T2 test showed that the values of SPM in both bottom and surface waters in the fish, oyster, and scallop cultivation areas differed significantly from those in the kelp, offshore, and bivalve and kelp areas.

**Nutrients in rivers**

Nutrient concentrations in rivers adjacent to SGB varied greatly during the study period (Table 2). The rivers were generally enriched with DIN relative to PO$_4^{3-}$ (Table 2). The DIN was dominated by NO$_3^-$, which accounted for 73 to 98% of DIN among all seasons. The NO$_2^-$ concentrations in rivers were generally >2 µM except Bahe river (0.14–1.13 µM; Table 2). The PO$_4^{3-}$ concentration ranged from 0.08 to 6.02 µM in the rivers, with an annual average of 1.45 µM. Seasonal variation of PO$_4^{3-}$ in the Bahe river was similar to that in the Guhe river, and the PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations in the Bahe and Guhe rivers were lower than in the Shilihe and Sanggouhe rivers (Table 2). The DSi concentrations were high in our study rivers (average 182 µM; Table 2), indicating a high weathering rate associated with rivers adjacent...
to the SGB. Except for Bahe river, the DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ molar ratios in the rivers were significantly higher than the Redfield ratio (Table 2), indicating that phytoplankton might be limited by phosphorus despite high NO$_3^-$ values, especially in summer in the Bahe and Guhe rivers. The high concentrations of DIN led to DSi:DIN ratios that were less than or approached a value of 1.

### Spatial and temporal variations of nutrients in SGB

The concentrations of dissolved inorganic nutrients decreased gradually from offshore to the inner part of SGB in spring (April 2013; Fig. 4a), while the DON and DOP concentrations showed the opposite horizontal distribution (Fig. 4a). The concentrations of NO$_3^-$ accounted for 53–92% and 56–89% of the DIN in surface and near-bottom layers, respectively. DON contributed 27–46% of TDN in surface water outside the bay, where kelp monoculture occurs, and accounted for 46–87% of TDN inside of the bay. DON represented 40–84% of TDN in the near-bottom layer. For phosphorus compounds, PO$_4^{3-}$ and DOP accounted for approximately 66 and 34% of TDP in the bay, respectively. The molar ratios of DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ ranged from 7.8 to 31 (average 19 ± 7.9 SD) in surface water, and from 9.4 to 69 in the near-bottom layer, respectively. The average DSi:DIN ratio was higher than the Redfield ratio in both surface (1.3 ± 0.8) and bottom (1.2 ± 0.6) waters. Studies of nutrient uptake kinetics have shown that the threshold values for phytoplankton growth are 1.0 µM DIN and 0.1 µM PO$_4^{3-}$ (Justi et al. 1995). In the western part of the bay, DIP concentrations were lower than the threshold values for phytoplankton growth (Fig. 4a). This suggests that phosphorus may be the most limiting element for phytoplankton growth in the following season.

During June 2012 (Fig. 4b), the levels of dissolved inorganic nutrients were lower than those in spring (Fig. 4a). The NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, and NH$_4^+$ concentrations decreased gradually from offshore to the inner part of the bay, while PO$_4^{3-}$ and DSi concentrations showed the opposite horizontal distribution. With respect to nitrogen compounds, NO$_3^-$ comprised 24–78% of DIN in surface water and 34–72% in bottom water. Surface water was depleted in PO$_4^{3-}$ (0.03–0.17 µM), which led to the DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ ratios being significantly higher than the Redfield ratio. The DIN:DSi molar ratios ranged from 0.4 to 3.2 (average 1.6 ± 0.7). In July 2013, nutrient concentrations increased significantly from the mouth of the bay to the inner part (Fig. 4c), and were higher in the near-bottom layer than in surface water. The DIN was dominated by NH$_4^+$, which contributed 32–89% (mean 62%) and 32–69% (mean 52%) to DIN in surface water and the near-bottom layer, respectively. DON comprised 57–88% of the TDN in the entire bay, and DOP accounted for 34–75% and 46–81% of the TDP in surface water and the near-bottom layer, respectively. The molar ratios of DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ were higher than the Redfield ratio in surface water, and the DSi:DIN ratios were higher than or comparable to the Redfield ratio. The PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations in surface water at 70% of the stations in June 2012 (Fig. 4b), and in the southeastern part of the bay in July 2013 (Fig. 4c), were lower than the threshold values. This suggested that phytoplankton growth might be limited by P in summer. In the western part of the bay (the main area for bivalve culture) the DIN concentrations were lower than or comparable to the threshold values, suggesting that N might be potentially limiting for phytoplankton growth in this part of the bay.

### Table 2. Nutrient concentrations (µM) and molar ratios in surface water in rivers adjacent to Sanggou Bay, China, in different seasons during the study. DSi: dissolved silicate. Dates are given as year-month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_2^-$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>PO$_4^{3-}$</th>
<th>DSi</th>
<th>N:P</th>
<th>Si:N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bahe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-06</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-09</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-04</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-10</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-01</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guhe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-06</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-09</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>7157</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-04</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-07</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-10</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-01</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shilihe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-06</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-09</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>2821</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-04</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-07</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sanggouhe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-06</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1505</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-09</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-04</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-07</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-10</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-01</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yatouhe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-10</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-01</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. Horizontal distributions of nutrients (µM) in Sanggou Bay: (a) April 2013; (b) June 2012; (c) July 2013; (d) October 2012; (e) October 2013; (f) January 2014. DIP (DOP): dissolved inorganic (organic) phosphorus, DSi: dissolved silicate, DON: dissolved organic nitrogen. s: surface; b: bottom
During the September–October 2012 study period, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations decreased from south to north in the bay; NO$_2^-$, DSi, and DOP increased gradually from west to east, and the PO$_4^{3-}$ concentration increased from northeast to southwest (Fig. 4d). Throughout the entire bay, NO$_3^-$ comprised 52–86% of DIN, and NH$_4^+$ comprised 6–38%. In October 2013, the NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, DON, DIP, and DSi concentrations decreased from the mouth to the southwestern part of the bay (Fig. 4e). Throughout the entire bay, NO$_3^-$ accounted for 55–84 % of DIN. DON comprised 27–48% of TDN inside the bay, and 51–61 % in the kelp monoculture area. DOP contributed to 12–36% and 16–50% of TDP in surface water and the bottom layer, respectively. In autumn in both 2012 and 2013, the average DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ ratios were higher than the Redfield ratio, while the DSi:DIN ratios in the water column were comparable to the Redfield ratio.

In winter, the horizontal distribution of nutrients was similar to that in spring (except for the NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations), with higher concentrations in the near-bottom layer than in surface water (Fig. 4f).
Fig. 4 (continued)
In the entire bay, NO$_3^−$ accounted for 66–92% of DIN. DON was the dominant species of TDN, which represented 53–81% of TDN in the water column, and DOP represented 35–67% of TDP. The molar ratios of DIN:PO$_4^{3−}$ ranged from 20 to 62 and 17 to 46 in surface and bottom waters, respectively. The average DSi:DIN ratio in surface and bottom waters was comparable and significantly lower than the Redfield ratio. The results suggest that phosphorus may be a limiting element for phytoplankton growth in winter.

Seasonality in nutrient concentrations was evident in SGB (Figs. 4 & 5). At all sites, the NO$_3^−$, PO$_4^{3−}$, and DSi concentrations were significantly higher in autumn than in the other seasons. The average NO$_3^−$ concentrations in surface (9.44 ± 4.00 µM) and bottom (9.72 ± 4.48 µM) waters in autumn exceeded those in summer by factors of 7.4 and 5.3, respectively. DIN was dominated by NO$_3^−$, except in summer. The DON concentrations in winter (16.0 ± 1.67 µM) were comparable to those in summer, and were signifi-
Fig. 4 (continued)
significantly higher than the concentrations in spring and autumn (Fig. 4). TDN was dominated by DON (59–82%), except in autumn (approximately 40%). Two-way ANOVA indicated highly significant differences in nutrient concentrations among seasons and layers (p < 0.01). The subsequent post hoc Tukey’s HSD test showed that the nutrient concentrations in autumn differed significantly from those in other seasons (p < 0.01). Two-way ANOVA also indicated highly significant differences in nutrient concentrations among seasons and cultivation areas (Fig. 6; p < 0.01), suggesting that aquaculture activities significantly affect the nutrient composition in SGB.

Nutrients at the anchor stations

In April 2013, all nutrients changed during the tidal cycle at Stn D1 (Fig. 7a). The maximum concentrations usually occurred during high tide, indicating the outer bay as a nutrient source. The vertical profiles for concentrations of all dissolved inorganic nutrients at Stn D1 showed that the water column was well mixed (Fig. 7a). High concentrations of DON (9.01–13.8 µM) were found throughout the water column, and comprised up to 50% of TDN. The DIN:PO₄³⁻ ratio ranged from 23 to 74 in surface water and from 30 to 132 in near-bottom water, and the DSi:DIN ratio ranged from 0.5 to 0.8 in surface water and from 0.4 to 0.9 in near-bottom water. At Stn D2, the nutrient concentrations were higher in near-bottom waters than in surface water, the exception being NH₄⁺ and DOP (Fig. 7a). The DON (8.26–10.5 µM) comprised 66–87% of TDN. The concentrations of DOP (0.08–0.35 µM) represented 25–73% of TDP, and indicated a well-mixed profile. The DIN:PO₄³⁻ ratio increased from 8.0–20 in surface water to 11–37 in near-bottom water, while the DSi:DIN ratio decreased from 1.6–3.2 in surface water to 1.0–1.5 in near-bottom water. The nutrient concentrations at Stn D1 were higher than at D2.

Analysis of the concentrations of all nutrients during 18–19 October 2013 showed that the water column at Stn D1 was well mixed (Fig. 7b). No parameter showed significant differences between day and night, indicating that tidal mixing was the main factor affecting concentration changes. The concentrations of DON were 5.38–10.5 µM, which comprised 26–83% of TDN. The DOP concentrations were 0.05–0.34 µM, which represented 8–39% of TDP. The DIN:PO₄³⁻ ratio was 23–36 (average 27) in surface water, and 22–51 (average 28) in bottom water. The DSi:DIN ratio was 0.7–1.0 (average 0.9) in surface water and 0.5–1.0 (average 0.8) in bottom water. At Stn D2, the concentrations of all nutrients in surface water showed a general decrease with increasing tide height. The DIN:PO₄³⁻ and DSi:DIN ratios in surface water ranged from 22 to 32 and 0.8 to 1.0, respectively. The nutrient concentrations at Stn D1 were lower than at D2.

Water and nutrient budgets in SGB

Domestic wastewater is discharged directly into rivers adjacent to SGB, and so in developing a water
Fig. 6. Nutrient cycles, averaged for various aquaculture regions in Sanggou Bay. Left: nutrients in surface water, right: nutrients in the near-bottom layer. DON: dissolved organic nitrogen, DOP: dissolved organic phosphorus, DSI: dissolved silicate.
budget for the bay, sewage discharge was included in river discharges. The Guhe is the largest major river that directly empties into SGB. In developing the water budget (Fig. 8), we used the average discharge ($V_Q$) of the Guhe during 2011. The submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) was estimated based on submarine groundwater measurements made in June 2012. The groundwater discharge into SGB was calculated to be $(2.59-3.07) \times 10^7$ m$^3$ d$^{-1}$, based on the naturally occurring $^{228}$Ra isotope (Wang et al. 2014). Generally, recirculated seawater accounts for 75 to 90% of total SGD (Moore 1996). Based on Ra isotopes, Beck et al. (2008) reported that recirculated seawater could account for approximately 90% of total SGD, and could increase as a consequence of precipitation (Guo et al. 2008). In our study, groundwater samples were collected during a summer in which substantial rainfall occurred. Based on the assumption that recirculated seawater could account for 90% of total SGD in SGB, the SGD was estimated to be $(2.59-3.07) \times 10^6$ m$^3$ d$^{-1}$. As the volume ($V_S$) of SGB is $10.8 \times 10^8$ m$^3$, the total water exchange time ($\tau$) for SGB, estimated from the ratio $V_S/(V_R + V_X)$, was 22.4 d.

Scallop (Chlamys farrei) and oyster (Crassostrea gigas) are the main shellfish cultured in SGB. Aquaculture wastewater effluents are discharged directly into the bay. The minimum individual wet weight of oysters and scallops at harvest are 40 and 23 g (Nunes et al. 2003), respectively, and 60 000 t of oyster (wet weight) and 15 000 t of scallop are harvested annually from the bay (data from Rongcheng Fishery Technology Extension Station). Based on these data, we estimated that bivalve cultivation involved approximately $2.15 \times 10^9$ individuals during 2012. Based on excretion rates determined for bivalves and oysters in Sishili Bay (China) (Zhou et al. 2002a), the quantities of DIN and phosphate excreted by scallops were 3.84 and 0.21 µmol h$^{-1}$ ind.$^{-1}$, respectively, and by oysters were 3.57 and 0.25 µmol h$^{-1}$ ind.$^{-1}$, respectively. The bivalve growth

Fig. 7. Concentrations of nutrients (µM) at: (a) the anchor station in April 2013; (b) next page the anchor station in October 2013. The water depth (m) in April 2013 and tide heights (cm) in October 2013 are provided, and the filled circles represent the nutrient sampling times. DSi: dissolved silicate, DON (DOP): dissolved organic nitrogen (phosphorus).
periods were mainly from May in one year to November in the following year (approximately 500 d). Hence, the total DIN and phosphate excreted by scallops and oysters in SGB amounted to $70.9 \times 10^6$ and $4.19 \times 10^6$ mol yr$^{-1}$, respectively. Nutrients are removed from the bay as a consequence of bivalve harvest. The dry weight nitrogen content of the soft tissue and shell of $C. \text{gigas}$ is 8.19 and 0.12\% (Zhou et al. 2002b), respectively, while the phosphorus content is 0.379 and 62.1 $\times 10^{-4}$\% (Zhou et al. 2002b), respectively. The dry weight nitrogen and phosphorus content of the soft tissue of $C. \text{farreri}$ is 12.36 and 0.839\% (Zhou et al. 2002b), respectively, and in the shell is 0.09 and 62.1 $\times 10^{-4}$\%, respectively. Therefore, in total the harvest of $C. \text{farreri}$ and $C. \text{gigas}$ removes 304 t of nitrogen and 16.7 t of phosphorus from the bay.

$Saccharina \text{ japonica}$ and $Gracilaria \text{ lemaneiformis}$ are the main algae cultivated in SGB. The weight of individual kelp plants at seeding is 1.2 g, and the cultivation area and density are 3331 ha and 12 ind. m$^{-2}$, respectively (Nunes et al. 2003). The dry weight:wet weight ratio of kelp is 1:10 (Tang et al. 2013). Hence, the dry weight of kelp at seeding is 48 t, while 87 040 t of dried kelp are produced annually in the bay (data from Rongcheng Fishery Technology Extension Station). The dry weight nitrogen and phosphorus content of kelp is 1.63 and 0.38\% (Zhou et al. 2002b), respectively. Hence, 1419 t of nitrogen and 331 t of phosphorus are removed from the bay as a consequence of kelp harvest. Similarly, 25 410 t wet weight of $G. \text{lemaneiformis}$ are produced annually in the bay (data from Rongcheng Fishery Technology Extension Station). Therefore, 41.4 t of nitrogen and 9.66 t of phosphorus are removed from the bay as a consequence of $G. \text{lemaneiformis}$ harvesting.

The nutrient transport fluxes from rivers and groundwater into SGB were determined from surveys undertaken during the period 2012 to 2014. The nutrient concentrations in rainwater were based on measurements at Qianliyan Island, in the western Yellow Sea (Han et al. 2013). Benthic fluxes in SGB were based on surveys undertaken during the same period.
Fig. 8. Water and salt budgets for Sanggou Bay (SGB). Units: water volume, $10^7$ m$^3$; water and salt fluxes, $10^7$ m$^3$ mo$^{-1}$, respectively. $V_V$, $V_R$, $V_S$, and $V_X$ are the mean flow rate of river water, precipitation, evaporation, groundwater, the volume of the system of interest, the residual flow, and the mixing flow between the system of interest and the adjacent system, respectively. For comparison, salinity of the adjacent system = 32.23 psu m$^{-3}$ mo$^{-1}$, respectively.

Table 3. Nutrient budgets for Sanggou Bay, China. $V_V C_V$: residual nutrient transport out of the system of interest (Eq. 1); $V_X C_X$: mixing exchange flux of nutrients (Eq. 2); influx (outflux): total nutrient flux into (out of) the system of interest. $\Delta = \Sigma_{\text{outflux}} - \Sigma_{\text{influx}}$ is the non-conservative flux of nutrients. Negative and positive signs of $\Delta$ indicate that the system is a sink or a source, respectively. DIP (DIN): dissolved inorganic phosphorus (nitrogen), DSI: dissolved silicate (units: $10^6$ mol).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DIP</th>
<th>DSI</th>
<th>DIN</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River input ($V_V C_V$)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric deposition ($V_P C_P$)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>Han et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater discharge ($V_G C_G$)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthic fluxes</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>Ning et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve excretion</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2002a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Influx</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.49</strong></td>
<td><strong>47.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>382</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelp harvest</td>
<td>−10.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>−101</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2002a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracilaria lemaneiformis harvest</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.96</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2002a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve harvest</td>
<td>−1.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>−21.7</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2002a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual flow ($V_V C_V$)</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>−8.26</td>
<td>−7.31</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing exchange ($V_X C_X$)</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>−16.1</td>
<td>−26.2</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outflux</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>24.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>159</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta Y (=\Sigma_{\text{outflux}} - \Sigma_{\text{influx}})$</td>
<td><strong>6.71</strong></td>
<td><strong>−23.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>223</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

**Nutrient transport in rivers**

Nutrient levels in rivers varied widely (Table 2). The DIN concentrations in the rivers fell between those for polluted waters (110 µM) and severely polluted waters (350 µM) (Smith et al. 2003), except for the Bahe river. The DIN concentrations in the studied rivers were also higher than in most other small to medium-sized rivers in temperate China (Liu et al. 2009), and high relative to major Chinese rivers including the Yellow, Yangtze, and Pearl rivers (Liu et al. 2009). The extremely high DIN concentrations resulted in the high DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ ratios in these rivers.

The DIN loading to streams is directly related to the extent of agriculture in the catchment (Heggie & Savage 2009). The high NO$_3^-$ concentrations, which dominated the DIN in rivers, is primarily attributable to anthropogenic nutrient sources, particularly to washout of fertilizers not used by target plants (Bellos et al. 2004). Rivers in the study area flow through villages and Rongcheng City, then discharge directly into SGB. Untreated industrial and domestic sewage is also discharged directly into rivers. The drainage...
areas of the Yatouhe, Sanggouhe, and Shilihe rivers are small (<30 km²) and are therefore readily affected by human activities. We conclude that the high NO₃⁻ concentrations in rivers are derived from agricultural, urban, and industrial wastewater in their drainage basins, as well as surface runoff from Rongcheng City.

The concentrations of PO₄³⁻ in the Bahe and Guhe rivers were between those for pristine (0.5 µM) and clean (1.4 µM) water, and apparently lower than in the Shilihe and Sanggouhe rivers (Table 2). The high PO₄³⁻ concentration (up to 6.02 µM) in the Sanggouhe, and industrial and domestic sewage, might be the most important sources of PO₄³⁻ to water bodies. DSI is little affected by human activities (Jennerjahn et al. 2009) and mainly originates from natural sources. The high DSI levels in rivers adjacent to SGB may be related to the underlying rock types and weathering rates.

Rain events can result in nutrient inputs derived from hinterland areas. Approximately 73.3% of annual precipitation occurs during summer (June to September), and the annual rainfall in Rongcheng City is 819.6 mm. River discharges can be enhanced by rainfall, and weathering rates are affected by precipitation and temperature (Liu et al. 2011), which can lead to higher nutrient values during the wet seasons. High nutrient concentrations (especially dissolved silicate) but low salinities were found in the bay (Fig. 4), suggesting that rainfall might be an important factor affecting nutrient supply to SGB in summer.

**Nutrient fluxes from the bay to the Yellow Sea**

In this study, nutrient budgets were developed to provide an overview of nutrient cycles under the impact of aquaculture activities. Despite some uncertainties, the nutrient budgets indicated that large quantities of nitrogen and silicate would probably be buried in the sediment or transformed into other forms in the bay (Table 3). Seaweeds can absorb large amounts of nutrients from the water column, resulting in the removal of these nutrients from the system when the plants are harvested (Schneider et al. 2005). The budgets indicated that a large proportion of DIN and DIP were removed during seaweed and bivalve harvesting (Table 3), demonstrating that aquaculture activities are a significant sink for nutrients in the bay.

Based on the budgets, nutrient fluxes from SGB to the Yellow Sea were estimated as the sum of the net residual flux (VR,C_R) and mixing flux (V_C,X) (Table 3). With the exception of DIN, nutrient fluxes to the Yellow Sea were 1.1 to 3.6 times the riverine input (F_model = V_C,Q), indicating that nutrient cycling in the bay (including regeneration, aquaculture effluents) may magnify the riverine fluxes, especially bivalve excretion, which contributed to 65% of the total DIP influx. Additionally, the molar ratios of DIN:PO₄³⁻ and DSI:DIN were approximately 49 and 0.2 in all external nutrient inputs to the studied system, respectively, while the corresponding flux ratios in the output waters to the Yellow Sea were approximately 35 and 0.7. These ratios deviated significantly from the Redfield ratio, indicating that aquaculture activities have significantly influenced nutrient cycling in the bay.

Wang et al. (2014) estimated that approximately 4.76 × 10⁷ mol mo⁻¹ of DIN and 5.58 × 10⁶ mol mo⁻¹ of PO₄³⁻ are input from fertilizer and feed, based on protein data of shellfish and kelp in the bay during summer being used to construct a mass balance. Based on their data, fertilizer and feed would be the major source of nutrients in the bay. By visiting local farming households, we confirmed that fertilizers were used; however, fertilizer and feed are only used in fish farming during summer in SGB, thus the amounts might be far below the estimated values. If fertilizer and feed for fish farming were taken into account, the uncertainty might rise. Hence, nutrient input from feed was ignored in the box model. Furthermore, aquaculture effluents were not taken into account. Consequently, more studies on nutrient cycling in relation to aquaculture activities in SGB are needed to improve our understanding of the nutrient sink or source function of the bay.

**Effects of aquaculture activities on nutrient biogeochemical cycles**

The nutrient concentrations varied significantly among seasons in SGB. The dissolved inorganic nutrient levels in SGB in summer were quite low compared with other seasons; they increased from summer to autumn and reached the highest values in October (Figs. 4 & 5), indicating a shift from consumption to autumn accumulation. These seasonal variations corresponded with aquaculture activities in the bay, and this was confirmed by statistical analysis. Zhang et al. (2012) reported that nutrient biogeochemical processes and cycles were significantly affected by intensive kelp and bivalve aquaculture activities in SGB. Shi et al. (2011a) also reported that
*Saccharina japonica* assimilates substantial nutrients in spring. During the growth period of kelp from November to May, the NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations decreased rapidly because of assimilation by kelp (Fig. 6). Nitrogen removed through kelp harvesting accounted for 64% of total outflux (Table 3). Kelp was a net sink for nutrients during winter and spring, and competed with phytoplankton for nutrient utilization during kelp seeding; as a consequence, phytoplankton growth was restrained. Following the kelp harvest in late May, phytoplankton could grow fast because of adequate solar radiation and temperature. As a result, the dissolved inorganic nutrient concentrations continued to decrease (Figs. 4–6).

Shellfish aquaculture generally commences in May, during the period when kelp is harvested. Bivalves in turn become another source of nutrients through excretion. During early summer, the bivalves are in the early growth stage, and produce only low levels of nutrients. The dissolved nutrients released through bivalve excretion have the potential to stimulate phytoplankton production at local scales and promote the risk of harmful algal blooms (Pietros & Rice 2003, Buschmann et al. 2008). The highest concentrations of chlorophyll *a* have been reported in summer (Hao et al. 2012). The dissolved nutrients in aquaculture effluents, coupled with high solar radiation, result in high phytoplankton production in summer (Shpigel 2005). At this time, *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* replaces kelp, and is cultivated from June to October in SGB; because it can use available nitrogen efficiently (Buschmann et al. 2008), it absorbs nutrients from seawater and probably reduces the nutrient levels in summer. This probably leads to the nutrient levels dropping rapidly to the lowest level in summer (Fig. 6).

In September, the bivalves are in active growth stages and generate large quantities of metabolic byproducts. The maximum metabolic rates for oysters are recorded in July and August (Mao et al. 2006), and lead to high nutrient concentrations in seawater (Fig. 5). Bivalves filter phytoplankton larger than 3 µm in size, thereby reducing their biomass in the water column (Newell, 2004). Phytoplankton growth is also limited by the level of solar radiation (Shi et al. 2011b). Thus, as nutrient utilization by phytoplankton decreased, the dissolved inorganic nutrient concentrations increased as a result, and increased to a greater extent in regions where bivalve monoculture occurred. Based on the nutrient budget in our study, phosphorus released from bivalve excretion could account for 65% of total influx to SGB. Hence, from June to October, prior to kelp seeding, bivalves and fish excretion may constitute an important nutrient source in SGB, leading to increased nutrient levels. Particulate waste material (feces or pseudofeces) from bivalves and phytoplankton are consumed by bivalves, and the nutrients involved may be removed through bivalve harvesting (Shpigel 2005, Troell et al. 2009). As top-down grazers, bivalves filter phytoplankton, which results in a reduction in the nutrient turnover time and speeds up nutrient cycling.

Nutrients can be produced indirectly via remineralization and subsequent release from enriched sediments (Forrest et al. 2009). Nutrient release from sediment is also a common phenomenon occurring beneath bivalve farms in SGB (Cai et al. 2004, Sun et al. 2010). The nutrient budgets also show that benthic flux is another important source of nutrients in SGB, especially for DIP and DSi (Table 3), and that this is significantly affected by aquaculture activities in the bay (Ning et al. 2016). Based on studies of other bivalve culture systems and natural or restored oyster reefs, it is evident that benthic fluxes are determined by processes involving filter feeding and excretion of dissolved nutrients, as well as biodeposition and sediment remineralization of nutrients (Newell 2004, Forrest et al. 2009). The TDN in SGB was dominated by DON in both summer and winter (Figs. 4 & 5), as observed in land-based aquaculture (Jackson et al. 2003, Herbeck et al. 2013). Burford & Williams (2001) reported that most of the dissolved nitrogen leaching from feed and shrimp feces was in organic rather than in inorganic forms. Hence, DON leaching from feces or pseudofeces might be an important source of DON in the bivalve cultivation regions in SGB (Fig. 6). Furthermore, increased sedimentation of organic matter from feces and pseudofeces underneath mussel farms can have significant ecosystem effects on the biogeochemical cycles of nitrogen and phosphorus (Stadmark & Conley 2011).

Biogeochemical cycling of DSI can be affected by diatom dissolution, sediment resuspension, and terrigenous input. In our study, the average concentrations of DSI increased by 9.0 µM from July to October, and decreased rapidly from 14.2 to 4.76 µM in January. Phytoplankton abundance was tightly controlled by filter feeding of oysters (Hyun et al. 2013), so the high metabolic rates of oysters may result in a reduction of diatom biomass, leading to high levels of DSI in autumn. In addition, as the water depth in SGB is ≤20 m, sediment resuspension and diatom dissolution might be important sources of DSI during the summer to autumn period. The dissolution of diatom frustules depends on a variety of factors, including microbial activity (Olli et al. 2008). Bacteria can
attack the organic matrix protecting the diatom frustule, exposing biogenic silica, and substantially increase the dissolution rate (Bidle & Azam 1999). The maximum biomass in SGB occurred in autumn (Chen 2001), and diatoms dominated in the bay in summer. Consequently, dissolution of diatom frustules may be an important source of DSi in the bay.

Although the aquaculture area and quantities of effluents released in SGB were high (Table 3), nutrient levels in the bay were not significantly elevated compared with other bays used for aquaculture, including Jiaozhou Bay (Liu et al. 2007) and Sishili Bay (Zhou et al. 2002b). This is attributed to the fact that nutrients released from shellfish are taken up by seaweeds during their growth periods. Large-scale kelp cultivation plays an important role in keeping nutrients at low levels and maintaining relatively good water quality.

**Effects of physical factors on nutrient changes**

The marine IMTA culture system used in SGB is suspended aquaculture. Water exchange between SGB and the Yellow Sea could be hindered by kelp (S. japonica), especially during kelp harvesting (Zeng et al. 2015). Our depth study showed that nutrient changes over the tidal cycle generally closely followed changes in water depth at Stn D2 (Fig. 7), indicating that water exchange is greater at Stn D1 (in the northern mouth of SGB), and weaker at Stn D2. Furthermore, in April 2013, the nutrients were well mixed at Stn D1, while at Stn D2, the nutrient concentrations were higher in bottom water than in the surface water (Fig. 7). This indicates that the current was affected by the aquaculture facilities and kelp at Stn D2, which may have led to higher nutrient concentrations in the bottom water than in the surface water. These results are consistent with the *in situ* measurements of Zeng et al. (2015), which showed that the vertical tidal flux at the northern entrance of SGB was much larger than at the southern entrance. In addition, the current structure in SGB has been significantly changed by the presence of aquaculture activities (Shi et al. 2011a). The tidal current in the surface layer is only half that in the middle layer when kelp is at its maximum length (Shi et al. 2011a). As a result, particulate matter and nutrients in bottom waters are constrained from entering the upper water layers because of the influence of aquaculture facilities and species (Wei et al. 2010).

The current flow generally tends to decrease in suspended aquaculture areas because of the extra drag caused by the presence of aquaculture facilities. In SGB, bivalves and fish are grown in cages, nets, or other containers hung from floats or rafts. Based on a 3-dimensional physical–biological coupled aquaculture model (Shi et al. 2011a), the average current flow speed can be reduced by approximately 63% by aquaculture facilities and cultured species. Moreover, Grant & Bacher (2001) reported a 20% reduction in current speed in the main navigation channel in SGB, and a 54% reduction in the middle of the culture area because of the effects of suspended aquaculture. Nutrients are likely to be retained in the bay because of the weaker current in the bivalve culture areas. The nutrient budgets showed that bivalve excretion was an important source of nutrients (Table 3). Large quantities of nutrients could accumulate in the west of the bay, and red tides have occurred in SGB in recent years (Zhang et al. 2012). The effects of consequent shading and competition pressure from the increased algae biomass on the valuable habitats involved may negatively affect the seagrass meadows in the southwest of the bay, and the production of bivalves may be reduced. To conserve the natural services provided by the bay, aquaculture effluents should be treated before they are released into natural water bodies.

Water exchange can also cause differences in nutrient species inside and outside SGB. Wei et al. (2010) observed that the flow speed declined by approximately 70% from the mouth to the southwestern part of the bay, and the outflow was slowed by the increased aquaculture activities and infrastructure (Fan & Wei 2010). Thus, movement of nutrients from the southwest of the bay to the open sea may be impeded, which was suggested by the high concentrations of nutrients found in this part of the bay in summer and autumn (Fig. 4).

**Long-term trends of nutrients in SGB**

Fig. 9 shows compiled data for DIN, DSI, and PO$_4^{3-}$ in SGB, based on historical data and our observations (Song et al. 1996, P. Sun et al. 2007, S. Sun et al. 2010, Zhang et al. 2010, 2012, this study), reflecting the long-term variations for the period 1983 to 2014. No trends in the PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations were evident because of the high variability in this parameter (Fig. 9). In contrast, the DIN concentrations increased over time and were significantly higher in 2003 to 2011 than in previous years (Fig. 9). Prior to the 1980s, kelp was the main aquaculture species, and the DIN concentration was low in the bay (Fang et al. 1996a,
Ning et al. (2016). Polyculture was introduced into the bay for economic reasons (Fang et al. 1996a), and its rapid development may have been responsible for increasing levels of nutrients in the bay, and resulted in long-term alterations to the nutrient conditions (Shi et al. 2011a, Zhang et al. 2012). In SGB, nutrient-rich aquaculture effluents are released into the natural water body without prior treatment. The high concentrations of nitrogen in aquaculture effluents mainly originate from excess feed or from excretion from the farmed animals (Burford & Williams 2001).

As a result of the increased nitrogen levels, the DIN:DIP ratios in SGB shifted from severe nitrogen limitation in 1983 to the ecologically desirable Redfield ratio (16) in summer 1994, and continued to increase until summer 2006, when the DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ ratio reached 105; phytoplankton growth is now limited by phosphorus in summer. The increase in the DIN:PO$_4^{3-}$ ratios in SGB is a common phenomenon observed in long-term studies of estuarine and coastal areas affected by human activities, and also in semi-closed bays used for aquaculture, including Chesapeake Bay in the US (Tango et al. 2005), and Jiaozhou (Shen 2002, Sun et al. 2011) and Daya Bays (Wang et al. 2009) in China. Turner et al. (1998) reported that the risk of harmful algal blooms increases with shifts in the DSi:DIN ratio to values <1, when phytoplankton becomes dominated by non-diatom species. Molar ratios of DSi:DIN in SGB changed from 1.4−18 in 1983 to <1 during the 2003 to 2011 period. Red tides were observed in April 2011 (Zhang et al. 2012), and were apparent in small areas in 2013. In addition, an increase in the DIN concentration will lower the DSi:DIN ratio, and could change ecosystem structure of the bay (Billen & Garnier 2007).

Because of its combination of environmental, economic, and social benefits (Allsopp et al. 2008, Nobre et al. 2010), IMTA has been gaining recognition as a sustainable approach to aquaculture, and the water quality in SGB has remained in good condition compared with other bays affected by aquaculture activities. Environmental management strategies will need to include both reduction of nutrient pollution and monitoring of the relative abundance of nutrients. The ecological and economic health of SGB should be tightly monitored to ensure a rapid response to critical changes.

**CONCLUSION**

We have reported on the nutrient dynamics of SGB, which represents a typical watershed for IMTA. The results of our investigation show that aquaculture activities play an important role in nutrient cycling in SGB. Nutrients showed considerable seasonal variation in the bay, and nutrient composition and distribution were also affected by the cultured species in the bay. The nutrient budgets showed that SGB behaved as a source of PO$_4^{3-}$ and as a sink of DSi and DIN. The model results indicated that PO$_4^{3-}$ was mainly derived from bivalve excretion. Bivalve excretion may be an important source of PO$_4^{3-}$ when phytoplankton growth is phosphorus-limited in the bay. Seaweed and bivalve harvesting play an important role in removing DIN and PO$_4^{3-}$ from the bay. Under the combined effects of natural processes and aquaculture activities, nutrient biogeochemistry in the bay has been affected.
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